

aw Book Publishers
1 MAIN STREET
BUFFALO 3, N. Y.

P9115p



UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA LOS ANGELES

SCHOOL OF LAW LIBRARY





PATENT CASE INDEX:

CONTAINING

LISTS OF ALL THE CASES INVOLVING PATENTS FOR INVENTIONS

AS REPORTED IN

THE STATE AND FEDERAL REPORTS, ROBB'S AND FISHER'S PATENT CASES, AND THE PATENT OFFICE GAZETTE,

UP TO THE PRESENT TIME;

TOGETHEI:

WITH A BRIEF SYNOPSIS OF THE LAW POINTS DECIDED,
ARRANGED ALPHABETICALLY.

BY W. P. PREBLE, JR.,

W. Dymon 3.

BOSTON: LITTLE, BROWN, AND COMPANY. 1880. Copyright, 1880, By W. P. Preble, Jr.

University Press:

John Wilson and Son, Cameridge.

CONTENTS.

I. A LIST OF THE CASES INVOLVING PATENTS FOR IN
VENTIONS, ARRANGED ALPHABETICALLY Page
II. An Alphabetical Synopsis of Law Points relating
TO PATENTS FOR INVENTIONS, REFERRING TO THE
Cases in the first List
II. A LIST OF THE INVENTIONS ON WHICH SUITS HAVE
BEEN DECIDED, WITH REFERENCES TO THE FIRST
List
V. AN ALPHABETICAL LIST OF THE DEFENDANTS IN THE
Cases in the first List



PART I. LIST OF CASES.



PATENT CASE INDEX.

PART I. LIST OF CASES.

A.

- Adams v. Burke. Coffin-lids. Article sold passes out of monopoly. Infringement, use by purchaser outside of limits of territorial license. 1 Holmes, 40; 4 Fisher, 392; 1 Off. Gaz. 282.
- Adams v. Burke. Coffin-lids. Above affirmed. Right to use distinguished from right to make and sell. What sale of patented machine implies. 17 Wall. 453.
- Adams v. Edwards. Fire-proof iron safes. What substantially the same means. What invention is. Utility, extensive use as evidence. Abandonment, causes of. Patent is prima facie good. Public use for two years. 1 Fisher, 1.
- Adams v. Joliet Manufacturing Co. Corn-sheller. Novelty. Technical defects in patents. Infringement, combination, change of position of parts. Better work. 12 Off. Gaz. 93.
- Adams v. Jones. Door-locks. Abandonment, involuntary delays. Infringement, colorable alteration. 1 Fisher, 527.
- Adams & Westlake Manufacturing Co. v. St. Louis Wire Goods Co. Wire sieve. Infringement, combination, particular patent. 12 Off. Gaz. 940.
- Adamson v. Dedrick. Soles for boots and shoes. Particular patent held invalid. 2 Off. Gaz. 523.

- Adjustable Window Screen Co. v. Boughton. Window-screen. Particular patent, too broad claims. 31 Leg. Int. 254.
- AGAWAM COMPANY v. JORDAN. Wool machine. Infringement, burden of proof. Original inventor. Fraud as a defence not admissible under that of want of novelty. Who is entitled to a patent. Suggestions. Allegation of public use, notice required of places, &c. Abandonment. Reissue not for same invention. 7 Wall. 583.
- AIKEN v. BEMIS. Saw-set. Motion for new trial, excessive damages, against weight of evidence, newly discovered evidence, costs, nonsuit for a variance. Right to cross-examine. 3 W. & M. 348; 2 Robb, 644.
- AIKEN v. DOLAN. Knitting-needles. Conditional assignments. Title to sue. Patentability in general. Extensions, fair profit. Disclaimer. Infringement, substantial equivalents. 3 Fisher, 197.
- AIKEN v. MANCHESTER PRINT WORKS. Knitting-needles. Sale gives right to use and repair, but not to make new ones. When State court is proper tribunal. 2 Cliff. 435.
- Albright v. Celluloid Harness Trimming Co. Harness. Abandoned experiments. Reissue not for same invention. Infringement, experimental making and use. 12 Off. Gaz. 227.
- ALDEN v. Dewey. Scythe-snaths. Evidence, defendant's machine better. Excessive damages. Effect of oath of inventor. 1 Story, 336; 2 Robb, 17.
- Allen v. Blunt. Fire-arms. Reissue prima facie right. Experts as witnesses. 3 Story, 742; 2 Robb, 288.
- ALLEN v. BLUNT. Fire-arms. Motion for new trial, evidence, excessive damages. Reissue presumed legal. What constitutes first invention. 2 W. & M. 121; 2 Robb, 530.
- Allen v. Blunt. Fire-arms. New trial. Service of subpæna.

 Jurisdiction, not citizenship but subject-matter. 1 Blatch.

 480.
- ALLEN v. CITY OF BROOKLYN. Seats for public buildings. City not liable for use of patented article by its school committee. 4 Fisher, 598.

- ALLEN v. HUNTER. Metallic plates. Patent is prima facie evidence. Patents void on their face for uncertainty. Real relation of inventors to the public. Construction of patents. 6 McLean, 303.
- ALLEN v. SPRAGUE. Fire-arms. Preliminary injunction, prior decisions at law. Reissue not for same invention. 1 Blatch. 567.
- AMERICAN COTTON TIE Co. v. SIMONS. Bale ties. Sale of patented article takes it out of the monopoly of the patent. 13 Off. Gaz. 967.
- AMERICAN DIAMOND ROCK BORING Co. v. SULLIVAN COM-PANY. Rock-drill. Infringement, colorable alteration. Reissue not for same invention. Want of novelty. Preliminary injunctions. 14 Blatch. 119.
- AMERICAN HIDE AND LEATHER SPLITTING AND DRESSING MACHINE Co. v. AMERICAN TOOL AND MACHINE Co. Hides and leather. Public use for two years. Abandonment. Notice of defense. Instructions to juries. 1 Holmes, 503; 4 Fisher, 284.
- AMERICAN MANUFACTURING Co. v. Lane. Umbrellas. Infringement, particular patent. 14 Blatch. 438; 15 Off. Gaz. 421.
- AMERICAN MIDDLINGS PURIFIER Co. v. ATLANTIC MIDDLINGS
 Co. Flour process. Preliminary injunctions. Previous
 decisions. Invalidity of patent. Infringement. 4 Dillon,
 100.
- AMERICAN MIDDLINGS PURIFIER Co. v. ATLANTIC MIDDLINGS Co. Flour. Reissue not for same invention. 15 Off. Gaz. 467.
- AMERICAN MIDDLINGS PURIFIER Co. v. CHRISTIAN. Flour process. Preliminary injunctions. Hearing on bill and affidavits. Previous decisions on patent. 4 Dillon, 448.
- AMERICAN NICOLSON PAVEMENT CO. v. CITY OF ELIZABETH.
 Wood pavement. Preliminary injunction, previous judgments. Original inventor. Infringement, colorable alteration. 4 Fisher, 189.

- AMERICAN NICOLSON PAVEMENT Co. v. CITY OF ELIZABETH.
 Wood pavement. Original inventor. Want of novelty.
 Reissue not for same invention. Public use. Infringement,
 combination, additions. 6 Fisher, 424; 3 Off. Gaz. 522.
- American Nicolson Pavement Co. v. City of Elizabeth.
 Wood pavement. Exceptions to Master's report. Improvements by defendant charged and allowed. License fee. 6 Off. Gaz. 764.
- AMERICAN NICOLSON PAVEMENT Co. v. HATCH. Wood pavement. Infringement, combination of two things not by one and a third. 3 Fisher, 432.
- AMERICAN NICOLSON PAVEMENT Co. v. JENKINS. Wood pavement. Assignment of patent, what expression "term for which are or may be granted" carries. 14 Wall. 452; 5 Fisher, 491; 1 Off. Gaz. 465.
- AMERICAN PIN Co. v. OAKVILLE Co. Pins. Infringement, different means of getting same result. 3 Blatch. 190.
- AMERICAN SADDLE Co. v. Hogg. Saddle-pad. Notice of defense. Prior patent not mentioned in answer, only admitted to show state of art. 1 Holmes, 133; 5 Fisher, 353; 2 Off. Gaz. 59.
- AMERICAN SADDLE Co. v. Hogg. Saddle-pad. Motion for rehearing. Agreement of counsel to admit evidence not set up in answer. 1 Holmes, 177; 6 Fisher, 67; 2 Off. Gaz. 595.
- AMERICAN SHOE TIP Co. v. NATIONAL SHOE TOE PROTECTOR Co. Boots and shoes. Preliminary injunction, long acquiescence, previous decisions. Disclaimer by mistake. Infringement. 11 Off. Gaz. 740.
- AMERICAN WHIP Co. v. LOMBARD. Gage lathe. Original inventor, patent *prima facie* evidence. Infringement, combination, substantial equivalents. 14 Off. Gaz. 900.
- AMERICAN WOOD PAPER Co. v. FIBRE DISINTEGRATING Co. Wood pulp. Original inventor. Infringement, lower pressure in process. 6 Blatch. 27; 3 Fisher, 362.
- AMERICAN WOOD PAPER Co. v. FIBRE DISINTEGRATING Co. (See WOOD PAPER PATENT.)

- AMERICAN WOOD PAPER Co. v. GLEN FALLS PAPER Co.
 Wood pulp. Extension of patents is judicial act, and not to be impeached collaterally.
 8 Blatch. 513; 4 Fisher, 324.
- AMERICAN WOOD PAPER Co. v. GLEN FALLS PAPER Co. Wood pulp. Rehearing. Statute for filling vacancies. 8 Blatch. 518; 4 Fisher, 561.
- American Wood Paper Co. v. Heft. Wood pulp. Invalid reissues. Infringement, lower pressure in process. 3 Fisher, 316.
- AMERICAN WOOD PAPER Co. v. HEFT. Wood pulp. Appeal dismissed, plaintiffs owning both sides of the litigation. 8 Wall. 333.
- AMES v. HOWARD. Paper. Liberal construction of patents. New trial, surprise, evidence. 1 Sumner, 482; 1 Robb, 689.
- Andrews v. Carman. Wells. Particular patent, utility. Patentability. Construction of patents. Original inventor. Prior use. Abandoument. 13 Blatch. 307; 9 Off. Gaz. 1011.
- Andrews v. Denslow. Wells. Motion to set aside a decree taken pro confesso regularly obtained. Denied. 14 Blatch. 182.
- Andrews v. Spear. Wells. Preliminary injunction. 4 Dillon, 472.
- Andrews v. Wright. Wells. Reissue not for same invention. Want of novelty. Patentability. 13 Off. Gaz. 969.
- ANNUNCIATOR CO. (See United States Annunciator Co.)
- Anthony v. Carroll. Paper pulp. Demurrer. Statute of Limitations. 9 Off. Gaz. 199.
- Appleton v. Bacon. Paper folding. Bill for surrender of a patent issued to wrong man. 2 Black, 699.
- ARKELL v. HURD PAPER BAG Co. Paper bags. Infringement, particular patent. 7 Blatch. 475.
- Armstrong v. Hanlenbeck. Cutlery polisher. Assignments and licenses distinguished. 3 N. Y. Leg. Obs. 43.

- Asbestos Felting Co. v. United States and Foreign Salamander Felting Co. Felting. Senior and junior patents. Injunctions against suits under patents not yet declared invalid. 13 Blatch. 453; 10 Off. Gaz. 828.
- Ashcroft v. Boston and Lowell Railroad. Steam safety-valves. Reissue not for same invention. Original inventor. Infringement. 1 Holmes, 366; 5 Off. Gaz. 725.
- ASHCROFT v. BOSTON AND LOWELL RAILROAD. Steam safety-valves. Infringement, particular patent. Above affirmed. 7 Otto, 189; 13 Off. Gaz. 865.
- Ashcroft v. Cutter. Pencils. Original inventor, particular patent. 6 Blatch. 511.
- ASHCROFT v. HOLLINGS. Lamps. Want of novelty. Infringement, particular patent. 11 Off. Gaz. 879.
- Ashcroft v. Walworth. Pipe tongs. Infringement. Conveyance under insolvent law of Massachusetts. 1 Holmes, 152; 5 Fisher, 528; 2 Off. Gaz. 546.
- ATLANTIC GIANT POWDER Co. v. CALIFORNIA POWDER WORKS. Explosives. Reissues not for same inventions. 3 Sawyer, 448.
- Atlantic Giant Powder Co. v. California Powder Works. Explosives. Reissue must be for same invention. Power of commissioner. Demurrers to whole bill and to part. 8 Otto, 126. 15 Off. Gaz. 289.
- Atlantic Giant Powder Co. v. Goodyear. Explosives. Infringement, combination. Preliminary injunction, same question pending in other circuits. 13 Off. Gaz. 45.
- ATLANTIC GIANT POWDER Co. v. Mowbray. Explosives. Infringement, combination, mere substitute. 12 Off. Gaz. iii., Oct. 2.
- Atlantic Giant Powder Co. v. Townsend. Explosives. Infringement, combination. Preliminary injunction, same questions pending in other circuits. 13 Off. Gaz. 45.
- Atterbury v. Gill. Jelly-glass. Survivor of actions for infringement. 13 Off. Gaz. 276.

- Attorney-General ex rel. Hecker v. Rumford Chemical Works. Pulverulent acid. Proceedings to vacate patents. Relation of patentee to public. Jurisdiction. 9 Off. Gaz. 1062.
- AULTMAN v. HOLLEY. Harvester. Want of parties. Reissue not for same invention. Infringement, same principle. Want of novelty. 11 Blatch. 317; 6 Fisher, 534; 5 Off. Gaz. 3.1
- Avery v. Bushnell. Self-operator. Replevin, patented machine. Contract to pay price. 123 Mass. 349.
- Ayling v. Hull. Caoutchouc. Original inventor. Suit too soon after issue. Reasonable diligence. 2 Cliff. 494.

В.

- Bachelder v. Moulton. Sewing-machines. Infringement, particular patent. 11 Blatch. 304; 6 Fisher, 488; 4 Off. Gaz. 501.
- BADISCHE ANILIN AND SODA FABRIK v. HAMILTON MANU-FACTURING Co. Alizarine. Expiration of foreign patents. Reissue not for same invention. 13 Off. Gaz. 273.
- Badische Anilin and Soda Fabrik v. Higgin. Alizarine. Reissue not for same invention. Want of novelty. Infringement, same result, different process. 14 Off. Gaz. 414.
- Bailey Washing and Wringing Machine Co. v. Lincoln. Clothes-wringer. Danger of reissues. Want of novelty. Infringement, combination. 4 Fisher, 379.
- BAIN v. Morse. Telegraph. Interfering patents, decision of commissioner. What interference is. Importance of form and structure. 6 West. L. J. 372.
- Baker v. Mason. Planing-machine. Covenant, interpretation of 3 R. I. 45.
- Baldwin v. Bernhard. Hats, bonnets, &c. Affidavits taken before bill filed, withholding injunction when defendant will take license. 5 Fisher, 442; 2 Off. Gaz. 320; 9 Blatch. 509, note.

¹ Fullest report of case.

- Baldwin v. Schultz. Hats, bonnets, &c. Reissues, infringement. Rehearing. 9 Blatch. 494; 5 Fisher, 75; 2 Off. Gaz. 317.
- BALDWIN v. SIBLEY. Shoe-peg machine. Assignments of patents. Parol declarations of patentees. Evidence. 1 Clifford, 150.
- Ball v. Bailie. Ovens. Construction of particular patent. 6 Off. Gaz. 933.
- Ball v. Murry. Lock. Debt on bond. Assignment. Who is entitled to a patent. 10 Penn. St. 111.
- Ball v. Withington. Ovens. Construction of particular patent. 6 Off. Gaz. 933.
- BANCROFT v. ACTON. Damages, statute, counsel fees. 7 Blatch. 505.
- Bantz v. Elsas. Furnaces. Infringement, combination.
 Original inventor. Reissue not for same invention. 6 Off.
 Gaz. 117.
- BARCLAY v. THAYER. Bracelets. Infringement, particular patent. 12 Blatch. 107; 6 Off. Gaz. 2.
- BARKER v. STOWE. Chain pumps. Reissue not for same invention. Want of novelty. Infringement. 14 Off. Gaz. 559.
- Barnard v. Gibson. Woodworth's planing-machine. Appeals can be taken only from final decrees. 7 Howard, 650.
- Barnes v. Morgan. Assignment, and what it means. 3 Hun (N. Y.), 703; 6 Thomp. & Cook (N. Y.), 105.
- Barnes v. Straus. Corset springs. Want of novelty, particular patent. 9 Blatch. 553; 5 Fisher, 531; 2 Off. Gaz. 62.
- BARRETT v. HALL. Dyeing cloth. Experts as witnesses.

 Joint patents. Patents in general. Want of novelty. 1

 Mason, 447; 1 Robb, 207.
- BARRY v. EVERETT. Tin-can machine. Inventor must claim the features of his model to protect them. 5 Fisher, 452; 1 Off. Gaz. 382.

- BARRY v. GUGENHEIM. Tin-can machine. Inventor must claim the features of his model to protect them. 5 Fisher, 452; 1 Off. Gaz. 382.
- Bartholomew v. Sawyer. Valve-cocks. Motion for new trial. Evidence under general issue. 4 Blatch. 347; 1 Fisher, 516.
- BATES v. Coe. Drilling-machines. Special defenses under general issue. What these defenses are. Printed publications. Reissue not for same invention. Inventions may be kept secret. Burden of proof of infringement. Defenses not set up in answer. 8 Otto, 31; 15 Off. Gaz. 337.
- Batten v. Kear. Coal-breaker. Quantum valebant for use of patented invention. United States courts have exclusive jurisdiction. 2 Phila. (Penn.) 301.
- BATTEN v. SILLIMAN. Coal-breaker. Injunctions, prior decisions, acquiescence. 3 Wall. Jr. 124.
- BATTEN v. TAGGERT. Coal-breaker. Abandonment. Inventor of part claiming the whole. 2 Wall. Jr. 101.
- Batten v. Taggert. Coal-breaker. Combination of what he invented and what he did not. Claiming the whole. 17 How. 74.
- BAW v. HAWKINS. (See LA BAW v. HAWKINS.)
- Bean v. Smallwood. Rocking-chair. Old thing to new purpose, not patentable. 2 Story, 408; 2 Robb, 133.
- Beane v. Orr. License, fraud. 9 Off. Gaz. 255.
- Bedford v. Hunt. Boots, &c. What utility is. First inventor defined. 1 Mason, 302; 1 Robb, 148.
- Belding v. Turner. Sewing-silk. Assignability of license. 8 Blatch. 321; 4 Fisher, 446.
- Bell v. Bonney. Biscuit-machine. Sale of machine which infringes a patent. Suit to recover price. 7 La. Ann. 170.
- Bell v. Daniels. Steam-boilers. Defective specification. Utility. Public use. Effect of caveats. Abandonment. 1 Bond, 212; 1 Fisher, 372.
- Bell v. McCulloch. Steam-boilers. Verbal license. Increasing damages. 1 Bond, 194; 1 Fisher, 380.

- BELL MANUFACTURING CO. (See GONG-BELL MANUFACTURING CO.)
- Bellas v. Hays. Steam-boiler. Writ of error. Contract, executed by attorney, lack of consideration. 5 S. & R. (Penn.) 427.
- Bennet v. Fowler. Hay elevator. Motion for new trial. What a single patent may cover. 8 Wall. 445.
- Berdan Fire-arm Manufacturing Co. v. Remington. Fire-arms. Improvement by workman in construction of model. 3 Off. Gaz. 688.
- BERGER v. PETERSON. Key-coupling instruments. Contract. Evidence in chancery. Offer to pay, as a defense. 78 Ill. 633.
- BEVIN v. EAST HAMPTON BELL Co. Metallurgic furnaces. Two years' prior use. Abandonment. 9 Blatch. 50; 5 Fisher, 23.
- BICKNELL v. TODD. Woodworth's planing-machine. Injunctions. License. 5 McLean, 236.
- BIERCE v. STOCKING. Lamps. Note, worthless patent. Competency of expert. 11 Gray (Mass.), 174.
- BIGELOW v. CITY OF LOUISVILLE. Wood pavement. Effect of release of those primarily liable. 3 Fisher, 602.
- BIGELOW v. MATTHEWS. Soda-water. Infringement, particular patent. 7 Blatch. 77.
- Billings v. Ames. Putting up hams. State jurisdiction where patents are brought in collaterally. Contracts in restraint of trade. Measure of damages, depreciation of price. 32 Mo. 265.
- BINNEY v. Annan. Paper bags. Assignment of patent compelled. 107 Mass. 94.
- Birdsall v. Coolidge. Amalgamator. Damages, established royalty. Infringement. 3 Otto, 64; 10 Off. Gaz. 748.
- BIRDSELL v. ASHLAND MACHINE Co. Clover-machine. Abandonment. Reissue not for same invention. Want of novelty. Infringement, combination. 6 Off. Gaz. 682.

- BIRDSELL v. HAGERSTOWN AGRICULTURAL IMPLEMENT MANU-FACTURING Co. Clover-machine. Preliminary injunctions. Previous decisions. Additional evidence. 6 Off. Gaz. 604.
- BIRDSELL'v. HAGERSTOWN AGRICULTURAL IMPLEMENT MANUFACTURING Co. Clover-machine. Contempt. Violation of injunction. 1 Hughes, 59; 11 Off. Gaz. 420.
- BIRDSELL v. HAGERSTOWN AGRICULTURAL IMPLEMENT MANUFACTURING Co. Clover-machine. Motion to enjoin plaintiffs from suing defendant's vendees, granted. 1 Hughes, 64; 11 Off. Gaz. 641.
- Birdsell v. McDonald. Clover-machine. Abandonment. Reissue not for same invention. Want of novelty. Infringement, combination. 6 Off. Gaz. 682.
- Birdsell v. Perego. Clover-machine. Contract. Demurrer to pleas. 5 Blatch. 251.
- BISHCHOFF v. WETHERED. Steam generator. Judgment in English Court of Common Pleas. Experts as witnesses. 9 Wall. 812.
- BLACK v. HUBBARD. Furnace. Right to use, how acquired. 12 Off. Gaz. 842.
- BLACK v. MUNSEN. Furnace. Exceptions to Master's report, rule of damages. 14 Blatch. 265.
- Black v. Stone. Water-wheel. Recording assignments. Equitable relief, mistake. Equity jurisdiction, full legal remedy. 33 Ala. 327.
- BLACK v. THORNE. Furnace. Reissue not for same invention. Want of novelty. Infringement. 10 Blatch. 66; 5 Fisher, 550; 2 Off. Gaz. 388.
- BLACK v. THORNE. Furnace. Master's report. Account of profits. Evidence. 12 Blatch. 20; 7 Off. Gaz. 176.
- Black v. Wells. Furnace. Exceptions to Master's report, rule of damages. 14 Blatch. 265.
- BLAISDELL v. Tufts. Soda-water apparatus. Construction of patent, limited by state of the art. 15 Off. Gaz. 881.
- BLAKE v. EAGLE WORKS MANUFACTURING Co. Stone-breaker.
 Testimony of single witness on anticipation. 3 Bissell, 77;
 4 Fisher, 591.

- BLAKE v. GREENWOOD CEMETERY. Stone-breaker. Preliminary injunction. License. 14 Blatch. 342; 13 Off. Gaz. 1046.
- BLAKE v. RAWSON. Stone-breaker. Abandoned experiments. Merits opened by motion for injunction. 1 Holmes, 200; 6 Fisher, 74; 3 Off. Gaz. 122.
- BLAKE v. ROBERTSON. Stone-breaker. Preliminary injunction. Prior decisions. Want of novelty. Infringement, combination. 6 Off. Gaz. 297; 6 Fisher, 509.
- BLAKE v. ROBERTSON. Stone-breaker. Infringement, combination, particular patent. 11 Blatch. 237.
- BLAKE v. ROBERTSON. Stone-breaker. Utility. Want of novelty. Infringement, combination. Cross-appeals. 4 Otto, 728; 11 Off. Gaz. 877.
- BLAKE v. Sperry. Bed-castors. Want of novelty, particular patent. 2 N.Y. Leg. Obs. 251.
- BLAKE v. STAFFORD. Stone-breaker. Reissue not for same invention. Fraud. Infringement, combination, old elements. New trial. Want of novelty. 6 Blatch. 195; 3 Fisher, 295.
- BLAKENAY v. GOODE. Water-wheel. Ohio law on assignments of patents. 30 Ohio St. 350.
- BLANCHARD v. BEERS. Lathe for turning irregular forms. Extensions by Congress. Infringement, combination. 2 Blatch. 411.
- BLANCHARD v. ELDRIDGE. Lathe, irregular forms. Assignments of patents. Parties to sue. 1 Wall. Jr. 337; 2 Robb, 737.
- BLANCHARD v. HAYNES. Lathe. Effect of extensions by special act. 6 West. L. J. 82.
- BLANCHARD v. PUTTMAN. Wood-bending machine. Patents are prima facie good whether held by plaintiff or defendant. 2 Bond, 84; 3 Fisher, 186.
- BLANGHARD v. PUTTMAN. Wood-bending machine. Original inventor, patent prima facie evidence. Infringement. Reverses above. 8 Wall. 420.

- BLANCHARD v. REEVES. Lathe, irregular forms. Preliminary injunctions, prior decisions, infringement. 1 Fisher, 103.
- Blanchard v. Sprague. Lathe, irregular forms. Construction of patents. Constitutionality of act of 1839. 3 Sumner, 535; 1 Robb, 734; s. c. 2 Story, 164, and 1 Robb, 742.
- BLANCHARD v. Sprague. Lathe, irregular forms. Parties as witnesses. License. 1 Cliff. 288.
- BLANCHARD v. WHITNEY. Lathe, irregular forms. Sale of machine. Extended patent. 3 Blatch. 307.
- BLANCHARD GUNSTOCK TURNING FACTORY v. JACOBS. Lathe, irregular forms. Motion to set aside verdict, evidence. 2 Blatch. 69.
- BLANCHARD GUNSTOCK TURNING FACTORY v. WARNER. Lathe, irregular forms. Motion for new trial. Effect of assignments on extended patents. Infringement. 1 Blatch. 258.
- BLAND, EX PARTE. Sewing-machine. Right of foreign patentee to be free from interferences. 15 Off. Gaz. 828.
- BLANDY v. GRIFFETH. Steam-engines. Prior judgment. Original inventor. Public use. Infringement. 3 Fisher, 609.
- BLANDY v. GRIFFETH. Steam-engines. Petition to file supplemental bill in nature of bill of review. Counter affidavits. Cumulative testimony. 6 Fisher, 434.
- BLANK v. MANUFACTURING Co. Sickles. Account without injunction. Jurisdiction. 3 Wall. Jr. 196.
- BLISS v. CITY OF BROOKLYN. Hose-couplings. Illegal attempt of State to let the city use without pay. 8 Blatch. 533; 4 Fisher, 596.
- BLISS v. CITY OF BROOKLYN. Hose-couplings. Utility. Reissue not for same invention. 10 Blatch. 521; 6 Fisher, 289; 3 Off. Gaz. 269.
- BLISS v. GAYLORD PATENT COUPLING AND MANUFACTURING Co. Hose-couplings. Reissue not for same invention. 7 Blatch. 279.

- BLISS v. HAIGHT. Hose-couplings. Infringement, thing with two functions not by one with one function. 7 Blatch. 7; 3 Fisher, 621.
- BLISS v. NEGUS. Frescoing. Promissory note for a void patent. 8 Mass. 46.
- BLOOMER v. GILPIN. Woodworth's planing-machine. License transferred. Jurisdiction. 4 Fisher, 50.
 - BLOOMER v. McQuewan. Woodworth's planing-machine. Machine bought before extension. Construction of statute. 14 Howard, 539.
 - Bloomer v. Millenger. Woodworth's planing-machine. Machine bought before extension. Rights of patentees. Rights of purchasers. 1 Wall. 340.
 - BLOOMER v. STOLLY. Woodworth's planing-machine. Motion to dissolve injunction. Extension void, operates prospectively only. License. 5 McLean, 158.
 - Boomer v. United Power Press Co. Cheese-presses. Reissue not for same invention, worthless. Want of novelty. Damages. 13 Blatch. 107.
 - BOOTH v. PARKS. Grain separator. Patentable combinations. 6 Chicago Legal News, 407.
 - Boston Elastic Fabric Co. (See Elastic Fabric Co.)
 - Boston Manufacturing Co. v. Fiske. Cotton speeder. Counsel fees allowed by jury as actual damages. 2 Mason, 119; 1 Robb, 320.
 - Boston and Fair Haven Iron Works v. Montague.
 Printing-presses. Chattel mortgage, equity jurisdiction.
 108 Mass. 248.
 - Bourne v. Goodyear. Bill to vacate extension already expired. 9 Wall. 811.
 - BOWKER v. Dows. Mineral waters. Infringement, combination, sale of materials. Patentability. 15 Off. Gaz. 510.
 - BOYCE v. DORR. Water-wheels. Infringement by employé. 3 McLean, 582; 2 Robb, 302.
 - Boyd v. Brown. Bedsteads. What patents protect. Territorial rights. 3 McLean, 295; 2 Robb, 203.

- BOYD v. McAlpin. Bedsteads. Recording assignments. Infringement, sale of product of patented machine. 3 McLean, 427; 2 Robb. 277.
- BOYDEN v. BURKE. Furnishing copies of patents. Exceptions. Evidence. General instructions to jury. Refusal to furnish copies held indefensible. 14 Howard, 575.
- Brady v. Atlantic Works. Dredges. Original inventor. Fraud. Infringement by government. 10 Off. Gaz. 702.
- Brady v. Atlantic Works. Dredges. Gains and profits the proper measure of damages. Master may examine books, &c. 15 Off. Gaz. 965.
- Brammer v. Jones. Stave-machine. Motion to dissolve injunction. Lack of interest. License. 2 Bond, 100; 3 Fisher, 340.
- Brandon Manufacturing Co. v. Prime. Cross-bill. Jurisdiction. New parties by cross-bill. 14 Blatch. 371.
- Brass Co. (See Waterbury Brass Co.)
- Bray v. Hartshorn. Curtains. Motion for new trial. Patentability. Bad and ambiguous patent. Verdict against evidence. 1 Cliff. 538.
- Bridge v. Brown. Tan bark. Reissue not for same invention. Original inventor. Want of novelty. '1 Holmes, 53; 6 Fisher, 236.
- Bridge v. Brown. Tan bark. Infringement, combination, omitting one element. 3 Off. Gaz. 121.
- Bridge Co. (See Keystone Bridge Co.)
- Brodie v. Ophir Silver Mining Co. Amalgamator. Original inventor, patent *prima facie* evidence. Rule of damages. 4 Fisher, 137.
- Brooks v. Bicknell. Woodworth's planing-machine. Defective specification. Effect of answer prematurely filed. Affidavits in support of bill. Illegal extension. Infringement. 3 McLean, 250; 2 Robb, 118.
- Brooks v. Bicknell. Woodworth's planing-machine. Assignment, effect of, by statute. 4 McLean, 64.

- BROOKS v. BICKNELL. Woodworth's planing-machine. Motion for new trial. Renewal of patents. Assignments. Sufficiency of specifications. Want of novelty. Infringement, combination. 4 McLean, 70.
- Brooks v. Byam. Matches. Assignment. License. Apportionment. 2 Story, 525; 2 Robb, 161.
- Brooks v. Fiske. Woodworth's planing-machine. Want of novelty. Reissue not for same invention. Infringement. Three judges dissented. 15 Howard, 212.
- Brooks v. Morehouse. Buttoner. Original inventor. Prior public use. Estoppel by contract to contest the validity of the patent. 13 Off. Gaz. 499.
- Brooks v. Norcross. Woodworth's planing-machine. Juries in chancery practice. Original inventor. French patents. Infringement. 2 Fisher, 661.
- Brooks v. Stolley. Woodworth's planing-machine. Jurisdiction in case of license. Conditional assignments. Cancelling contracts. 3 McLean, 523; 2 Robb, 281.
- Brown v. Duchesne. Gaffs for vessels. Patented articles used in France for French vessel coming here not in our jurisdiction. 2 Curtis, 371.
- Brown v. Duchesne. Gaffs for vessels. Same as above. Interpretation of our laws. Above affirmed. 19 Howard, 183.
- Brown v. Guild. Corn-planter. Original inventor. Want of novelty. Reissue not for same invention. Fraud. Infringement, combination. 23 Wall. 181; 6 Off. Gaz. 392.
- Brown v. Hall. Paint-cans. Original inventor. Want of novelty. Notice of defense in answer. 6 Blatch. 401; 3 Fisher, 531.
- Brown v. Hinkley. Hand-cars for railways. Preliminary injunction, denied, short time since reissue. 6 Fisher, 370; 3 Off. Gaz. 384.
- Brown v. Piper. Preserving fish. Patentability, old process to new purpose. State of art admissible under general issue. Judicial cognizance. 1 Otto, 37; 10 Off. Gaz. 417.

- Brown v. Rubber Step Manufacturing Co. Rubber doormat. Infringement, same function. 13 Off. Gaz. 369.
- Brown v. Selby. Seed-planter. Reissue not for same invention, claim cut down. Infringement, combination. 2 Bissell, 457; 4 Fisher, 363.
- BROWN v. SELBY. Corn-planter. Original inventor. Want of novelty. Reissue not for same invention. Fraud. Infringement, combination. Above reversed. 23 Wall. 181; 6 Off. Gaz. 392.
- Brown v. Shannon. Woodworth's planing-machine. Jurisdiction, contract. Assignment. 20 Howard, 55.
- Brown v. Whittemore. Hay-rakes. Public use. State of art. Infringement, combination. 5 Fisher, 524; 2 Off. Gaz. 248.
- Brown v. Wright. Medicine. Bond, void patent, no consideration. 17 Ark. 9.
- Bruff v. Ives. Curved augers. Want of novelty, particular patent. 14 Blatch. 198; 11 Off. Gaz. 924.
- Bryce v. Dorr (should be Boyce v. Dorr, q. v.).
- Buchanan v. Howland. Paper pulp. Patents in law or equity. Juries in patent causes. Want of novelty. Original inventor. Utility. Infringement, substantially same process. 5 Blatch. 151 (only half of it); 2 Fisher, 341.
- Buck v. Cobb. Cooking-stove. Joinder of parties. Burden of proof. 9 Law Rep. 545.
- Buck v. Gill. Cooking-stove. Infringement, combination, particular patent. 4 McLean, 174; 2 Robb, 510.
- Buck v. Hermance. Cooking-stove. New trial, rejection of evidence. Parties as witnesses. 1 Blatch. 322.
- Buck v. Hermance. Cooking-stove. Construction of patents. Want of novelty, combination. Original inventor. Infringement, combination. 1 Blatch. 398.
- BUERK v. IMHAUSER. Watchman's time-detector. Infringement, combination, particular patent. 5 Off. Gaz. 752.
- BUERK v. IMHAUSER. Watchman's time-detector. New trials.

 Affidavits not entitled. Power of notaries. 10 Off. Gaz. 907.
- BUERK v. IMHAUSER. Watchman's time-detector. Master's report. Rule of damages. 14 Blatch. 19; 10 Off. Gaz. 907.

- Buerk v. Imhauser. Watchman's time-detector. Contempt, sale of articles under patent not considered at the trial. 11 Off. Gaz. 112.
- BUERK v. VALENTINE. Watchman's time-detector. Original inventor. Want of novelty. Infringement, combination. 9 Blatch. 479; 5 Fisher, 366; 2 Off. Gaz. 295.
- Bull v. Pratt. Comb-machine. Invalid patent, sold by fraud. 1 Conn. 342.
- Bullock Printing Press Co. v. Jones. Printing-machines.
 Original inventor. Prior knowledge. Infringement, combination. 13 Off. Gaz. 124.
- Burdell v. Denig. Sewing-machines. Assignment. Contract. Accord and satisfaction. Nominal damages. 2 Fisher, 588.
- Burdell v. Denig. Sewing-machines. New trial. Measure of damages. Interpretation of papers as to their making a contract or not. 2 Otto, 716.
- Burden v. Corning. Horse-shoes. Uncertainty in specification. State of art. Construction of patents. Equivalent defined. Word "combination." Omissions. Disclaimer. Delay. Notice of defense. 2 Fisher, 477.
- Burdett v. Estey. Reed organs. Disclaimers, unreasonable delay. Want of novelty. 15 Off. Gaz. 877.
- Burleigh Rock Drill Co. v. Lobdell. Rock drill. Preliminary injunction, no prior decisions, infringement must be clear. 1 Holmes, 450; 7 Off. Gaz. 836.
- Burns v. Barnes. Furnace. Note for assignment of patent. Fraud. 58 Indiana, 436.
- Burr v. Cowperthwait. Hat-bodies. Original inventor. Want of novelty. Particular patent. Utility. Infringement, process. 4 Blatch. 163.
- Burr v. Duryee. Hat-bodies. Assignment. License. Patents before board of examiners was made. Reissue not for same invention. Infringement. 2 Fisher, 275.
- Burr v. Duryee. Hat-bodies. Reissue not for same invention. What patents are for. Infringement, combination. 1 Wall. 531.

- Burn v. Gregory. Vencers. Sale of patent-right. No jurisdiction of United States court where validity of patent is not involved. 2 Paine, 426.
- Burrall v. Jewett. Threshing-machine. Sale of patented machine. Fraud. Jurisdiction of State courts in patent suits. 2 Paige (N. Y.), 134.
- Burrall v. Rumsey. Corn-sheller. Patent for different result must show process. 13 Off. Gaz. 123.
- Burrows v. Lehigh Zinc Co. Furnace. Held not to be the inventor. 31 Leg. Int. 332.
- Buss v. Putney. Saw. Sale of patented machine and a thing covered by another's patent is license to use the thing. 38 N. H. 44.
- Bussey v. Hicks. Reservoir. Want of novelty. Utility. Construction of patent. Infringement, combination. 9 Off. Gaz. 300.
- Bussey v. Hicks. Reservoir. Perpetual injunction issued. 9 Off. Gaz. 594.
- Bussey v. Wager. Reservoir. Want of novelty. Utility. Construction of patent. Infringement, combination. 9 Off. Gaz. 300.
- BUTCH v. BOYER. Steam-engine. Promissory note. Want of novelty. 8 Phila. (Penn.) 57.
- BUTTON HOLE MACHINE Co. (See SINGER BUTTON HOLE MACHINE Co.)
- Buttrick v. Park. Well-tubes. Tort for deceit, false representations. 103 Mass. 501.
- BYAM v. BULLARD. Matches. Purchase by agent of patentee as evidence of infringement. 1 Curtis, 100.
- BYAM v. Eddy. Matches. Contempt. Want of novelty. Infringement, combination, colorable alteration. Bond. 2 Blatch. 521; 24 Vt. 666.
- Byam v. Farr. Matches. Infringement, known equivalents. Disclaimer. 1 Curtis, 260.
- BYAM v. GOODWIN. Matches. (See RYAN v. GOODWIN.)

C.

- CAHART v. Austin. Reed musical instruments. Reissue not for same invention. Original inventor. 2 Cliff. 528; 2 Fisher, 543.
- Cahill v. Beckford. Leather dressing. Want of novelty, state of the art, new process, new product. 1 Holmes, 48.
- Cahill v. Brown. Leather dressing. Inventors of combinations, rights of. Rules applicable to combinations. Original inventor, patent presumptive evidence. Foreign patents. Credibility of evidence. 15 Off. Gaz. 697.
- Cahoon v. Ring. Seed-planter. Charge to jury. Patent prima facie evidence of what. Abandoned experiments. Prior knowledge. Equivalents. Infringement, combination. Test of similarity. Trial by jury. 1 Cliff. 592; 1 Fisher, 397.
- CALKINS v. BERTRAND. Cultivators. Original inventor. Reissue not for same invention. Certainty in claims of patents.
 Infringement. 6 Bissell, 494; 9 Off. Gaz. 795.
- CAMMEYER v. Newton. Adjustable still-water dam. Infringement, combination, by officer of United States. 12 Blatch. 122; 5 Off. Gaz. 753.
- CAMMEYER v. Newton. Adjustable still-water dam. Assignments before issue. Original inventor. Want of novelty. Particular patent. Utility. Infringement, combination, by United States officer. Above affirmed. 4 Otto, 225; 11 Off. Gaz. 287.
- CAMPBELL v. BARCLAY. Tool to make metallic seams. Rule of damages, price he has sold machine no criterion, profits, advantage. 5 Bissell, 179.
- Cansler v. Eaton. Smut-machine. Assignment, no fraud 2 Jones Eq. (N. C.) 499.
- CAR SPRING CO. (See NATIONAL CAR SPRING CO.)
- CAREW v. Boston Elastic Fabric Co. Vulcanized india rubber. Right of assignee of executor to reissue. Construction of statute. 1 Holmes, 45.

- CAREW v. BOSTON ELASTIC FABRIC Co. Vulcanized indiarubber. Granting of reissues. Title of complainant. Original inventor. Want of novelty. Infringement. Assignees of executors. Practice, interlocutory decree. Profits. Damages. 3 Cliff. 356; 5 Fisher, 90; 1 Off. Gaz. 91.
- CARLETON v. ATWOOD. Abandonment. Five years lying dormant. 2 A. L. T. (U. S.) R. 129.
- Carlton v. Bokee. Lamps. Reissue not for same invention. Want of novelty. Infringement. 6 Fisher, 40.
- Carlton v. Bokee. Lamps. Construction of claims. State of art. Reissue not for same invention. Infringement, combination. Above affirmed. 17 Wall. 463; 2 Off. Gaz. 520.
- CARR v. RICE. Bran-duster. Charge to jury. Patents and patentees. Validity. Want of novelty. Infringement, combination. 1 Fisher, 198.
- CARR v. RICE. Bran-duster. Action at law. New trial. Infringement. 4 Blatch. 200; 1 Fisher, 325.
- Carstaedt v. United States Corset Co. Take-up mechanism for looms. Want of novelty. Infringement, combination. 13 Blatch. 119; 9 Off. Gaz. 151.
- Carstaedt v. United States Corset Co. Take-up mechanism for looms. Contempt. Violation of injunction, substitution of equivalent. 13 Blatch. 371; 10 Off. Gaz. 3.
- Carter v. Baker. Gang-plows. Charge to jury. Patent, prima facie evidence of original inventor. Infringement, well-known equivalents. Damages. 1 Sawyer, 512; 4 Fisher, 404.
- CARTER v. MESSINGER. Extension-tables. Reissue not for same invention. Want of novelty. Patentability. Infringement. 11 Blatch. 34.
- CARTRIDGE CO. (See Union Metallic Cartridge Co.)
- Carver v. Braintree Manufacturing Co. Cotton-gin. Uncertainty in specification. Reissue not for same invention. Questions for jury. Construction of statute. New trial. Courts don't supply deficiencies in legislation. 2 Story, 432; 2 Robb, 141.

W. L. Symme

- Carver v. Hyde. Cotton-gin. Purpose not patentable. Infringement. 16 Peters, 513.
- CASE v. Brown. Seed-planter. Charge to jury. Want of novelty. Original inventor. Reissue not for same invention. Infringement. 1 Bissell, 382; 2 Fisher, 268.
- Case v. Brown. Seed-planter. Exceptions to ruling, on particular patent. Above affirmed. 2 Wall. 320.
- Case v. Morey. "Power." Money paid under contract. Value of patents. 1 N. H. 347.
- Case v. Redfield. Water-wheel. Assignments recorded. Extensions not carried by assignments. Pleading, multifariousness. 4 McLean, 526; 2 Robb, 741.
- CAWOOD PATENT (ILLINOIS RAILROAD v. TURRILL, &c. Five Cases.) Anvil. State of art. Want of novelty. Foreign patents. Infringement, profits. 4 Otto, 695; 12 Off. Gaz. 709.
- CELLULOID MANUFACTURING Co. v. GOODYEAR DENTAL VULCANITE Co. Artificial gums, &c. General law of right to sell. Decision of points not in issue. Jurisdiction. 13 Blatch. 375; 10 Off. Gaz. 41.
- Chabot v. American Button Hole and Overseaming Co. Sewing-machine. Rule of law, employé making experiments. 6 Fisher, 71.
- Chaffee v. Boston Belting Co. India-rubber. Trespass on the case for infringement. Bill of exceptions is part of the record. Assignments. Machine sold passes out of the monopoly. Continue to use. Court below reversed. 22 Howard, 217.
- CHAFFEE v. HAYWARD. India-rubber. Motion to dismiss. Error in citation. Construction of act of 1789. District where defendant lives in civil suits. 20 Howard, 208.
- CHAMBERS v. SMITH. Brick-machine. Suits by licensee in his own name. Notice of license, purchaser's risk. 5 Fisher, 12.
- Chase v. Sabin. Buckles. Want of novelty of particular patent. 1 Holmes, 395; 6 Off. Gaz. 728.

- Chase v. Walker. Wire grating. Effect of assignment on extension. 3 Fisher, 120.
- Chase v. Wesson. Halter-rings. Preliminary injunction, long enjoyment of right, plain infringement. 1 Holmes, 274; 6 Fisher, 517; 4 Off. Gaz. 476.
- CHEMICAL WORKS. (See RUMFORD CHEMICAL WORKS.)
- Chicago and Alton Railroad v. Turrill. (See Cawood Patent.)
- CHICAGO, BURLINGTON, AND QUINCY RAILROAD v. TURRILL. (See CAWOOD PATENT.)
- Chicago Fruit House Co. v. Busch. Fruit-houses. Reissue not for same invention. Infringement, combination. 2 Bissell, 472; 4 Fisher, 395.
- CHICAGO AND NORTHWESTERN RAILROAD v. SAYLES. Carbrakes. Infringement. Particular patent. Construction of patents. Gradual growth. 7 Otto, 554; 15 Off. Gaz. 243.
- CHILD (Mini's Assignee) v. Adams. Lampblack. Alien, false oath. Reissue. Construction of the patent. 3 Wall. Jr. 20; 1 Fisher, 189.
- Child v. Boston and Fairhaven Iron Works. Printingpress. Original inventor. Prior use. Want of novelty. Patentability. 1 Holmes, 303; 6 Fisher, 606; 5 Off. Gaz. 61.
- Chipman v. Wentworth. Carpet lining. Preliminary injunctions, doubt of validity of patent, state of the art. Patents for improvements. 1 Holmes, 96; 5 Fisher, 302; 2 Off. Gaz. 58.
- CITY OF ELIZABETH v. PAVEMENT Co. Wooden pavement. Foreign patent or publications, time of. Public use. Abandonment. Profits by means of improvements. 7 Otto, 126.
- CITY OF NEW YORK v. RANSOM. Fire-engine. Instruction of the court. Profits and damages. 23 How. 487.
- CLAN RANALD v. WYCKOFF. Suit by receiver for assignment of patent. Jurisdiction. 41 N. Y. Supr. 527.
- CLARK v. BOUSFIELD. Printing-bed. Machine to print designs is not a "design." 10 Wall. 133.

- CLARK v. CHURCH. (See FISK ET AL. v. CHURCH.)
- CLARK v. KENNEDY MANUFACTURING Co. Bolts. Construction of patents. State of the art. Reissue not for same invention. Infringement, process. 14 Blatch. 79; 11 Off. Gaz. 67.
- CLARK v. Scott. Hand-mirror. Construction of patents. State of the art. Abandonment. Original inventor, too general statement in answer. 9 Blatch. 301; 5 Fisher, 245; 2 Off. Gaz. 4.
- CLARK v. SMITH. Purifying middlings. Note for reassignment of half-interest in patent. Failure of consideration. 21 Minn. 539.
- CLARK PATENT STEAM AND FIRE REGULATOR Co. v. COPE-LAND. Steam-engines. Charge to jury. Want of novelty, patent prima facie evidence, inventive skill. Infringement. 2 Fisher, 221.
- CLEVELAND v. Towle. Suspenders. Two years' prior use by others than patentee. Infringement, use of invention as element of combination. 3 Fisher, 525.
- CLOTHES WRINGER CO. (See EUREKA CO.)
- Clough v. Gilbert and Barker Manufacturing Co. Gasburners. Infringement, equivalents. 15 Off. Gaz. 1009.
- CLOUGH v. Patrick. Mowing-machine. Note, void patent no consideration. 37 Vt. 421.
- Clum v. Brewer. Electric telegraph. Preliminary injunctions.
 Prior decisions. Sale of invention before patent issues, effect on extension. Assignment to defendant. 2 Curtis, 506.
- COCHRANE v. DEENER. Manufacture of flour. Jurisdiction of Supreme Court of District of Columbia. Want of novelty. Reissue not for same invention. 4 Otto, 780; 11 Off. Gaz. 687.
- COFFIN v. OGDEN. Locks. Construction of patents. Want of novelty. Infringement. 7 Blatch. 61; 3 Fisher, 640.
- COFFIN v. OGDEN. Locks. Want of novelty. Burden of proof. Above affirmed. 18 Wall. 120; 5 Off. Gaz. 270.
- Cohn v. National Rubber Co. Shoes. Licensees violating their contract are to be treated as infringers. 15 Off. Gaz. 829.

- COHN v. UNITED STATES CORSET Co. Corsets. Printed publications. Prior knowledge. Amount of proof. 12 Blatch. 225; 6 Off. Gaz. 259.
- Coin v. United States Corset Co. Corsets. Printed publications. Above affirmed. 3 Otto, 366; 11 Off. Gaz. 457.
- Cole v. Kennedy. Fluting-machine. Decree. Patent declared valid. 9 Off. Gaz. 1110.
- Colgate v. Western Union Telegraph Co. Submarine cable. Interpretation of the patent. Want of novelty. Prior use. Abandonment. 14 Off. Gaz. 943.
- COLLAR CO. (See UNION PAPER COLLAR CO.)
- Collender v. Bailey. Billiard cushions. Infringement. Construction of patent. 13 Off. Gaz. 277.
- Collender v. Came. Billiard cushions. What patents are for. Patent prima facie evidence of original inventor. Defenses in answer must be proved. Infringement. 10 Off. Gaz. 467.
- Collender v. Griffeth. Billiard cushions. Admissibility of evidence. 11 Blatch. 212; 3 Off. Gaz. 689.
- Collins v. Peebles. Furnaces. Limitations in patent suits, State statutes. 2 Fisher, 541.
- COLT v. MASSACHUSETTS ARMS Co. Fire-arms. Charge to jury. Senior and junior patents. Patentees of improvements. Prior invention is not prior use. Date of inventions. 1 Fisher, 108.
- COLT v. YOUNG. Fire-arms. Validity of extensions. Jurisdiction of Commissioner. 2 Blatch. 471.
- COMB CO. (See India-Rubber Comb Co.)
- Commissioner of Patents v. Whitely. Mowing-machine. Application for reissue by sectional assignee. When mandamus will lie. 4 Wall. 522.
- Comstock v. Sandusky Seat Co. Carriage bodies. Want of novelty. Public use. Infringement. Defense in answer. 13 Off. Gaz. 230.
- CONKLIN v. STAFFORD. Cultivators. Appeal from Commissioner's decision. Right to a reissue. Abandonment. Province of Commissioner. Appeals. 5 Off. Gaz. 235.

- CONOVER v. DOHRMAN. Wood-splitting machine. Infringement. Comparison of the machines. 6 Blatch. 60; 3 Fisher, 382.
- Conover v. Mers. Wood-splitting machine. Preliminary injunctions, prior decisions, responsibility of defendant. 3 Fisher, 386.
- Conover v. Mers. Wood-splitting machine. Master's report. Saving by use. Profits. 11 Blatch. 197; 6 Fisher, 506.
- Conover v. Rapp. Wood-splitting machine. Charge to jury. Patent prima fucie evidence of novelty. Infringement, equivalents. Experts as witnesses. Patentees of improvements. Damages. 4 Fisher, 57.
- CONOVER v. ROACH. Wood-splitting machine. Charge to jury. What constitutes invention. Patentability. Infringement, combination, change of form of parts. Equivalents. 4 Fisher, 12.
- Consolidated Fruit Jar Co. v. Whitney. Fruit-jars.
 Modifying injunctions. Subdividing patents not allowed.
 Notice of suit for fraud. Right to injunction. Protection
 for defendants. 31 Leg. Int. 229.
- Consolidated Fruit Jar Co. v. Wright. Fruit-jars. Original inventor. Public use. Abandonment. 12 Blatch. 149; 6 Off. Gaz. 327.
- Consolidated Fruit Jar Co. v. Wright. Fruit-jars. Prior use. Abandonment. Above affirmed. 4 Otto, 92.
- Continental Windmill Co. v. Empire Windmill Co. Wind-wheels. Contract. Invention by employé. Equity jurisdiction. Legal and equitable titles. 8 Blatch. 295; 4 Fisher, 428.
- Converse v. Cannon. Steamboat staging. Infringement, same principle. 2 Woods, 7; 9 Off. Gaz. 105.
- COOK v. Ernest. Metallic bale ties. Right to sue on separate claims. Utility. Want of novelty. Patent prima facie evidence. Infringement. 5 Fisher, 396; 2 Off. Gaz. 89.
- Cook v. Howard. Dust deflector. Defenses set up in answer must be proved. Patentees of improvements. Infringement, formal differences. 4 Fisher, 269.

- COOLIDGE v. McCone. Amalgamating pans. Charge to jury. Infringement, combination of three not infringed by two. 2 Sawyer, 571; 5 Off. Gaz. 458.
- COOPER v. MATTHEYS. Railroad carriages. Injunction not granted where long adverse possession by defendant. Not granted where facts or credibility of witnesses have to be decided. Unreasonable delay in bringing suit. 5 Penn. L. J. 38; 8 Law Rep. 413.
- Corliss v. Wheeler and Wilson Manufacturing Co. Steam-engines. Interpretation of the particular patent. 2 Fisher, 199.
- CORNELL v. AMERICAN BUSH Co. Bushes and wrenches. Construction of patents. Patentability. 7 Bissell, 346; 11 Off. Gaz. 331.
- Cornell v. Downer and Bemis Brewing Co. Bushes and wrenches. Construction of patents. Patentability. 7 Bissell, 346; 11 Off. Gaz. 331.
- CORNELL v. LITTLEJOHN. Bushes and wrenches. Interpretation of the patent. Preliminary injunction. 9 Off. Gaz. 837; s. c. 922.
- Cornely v. Henderickx. Sewing-machine. Merely an order of court. 12 Off. Gaz. 431.
- Corning v. Burden. Nail-machine. Exceptions. Admissibility of evidence. Want of novelty. 15 How. 252.
- COTTON TIE CO. (See AMERICAN COTTON TIE CO.)
- Cowan v. Dodd. Horse-collar machine. False representations on sale of patented machine. Note, lack of consideration. 3 Coldw. (Tenn.) 278.
- COWAN v. MITCHELL. Horse-collar machine. Note, worthless invention. Action of Patent Office on patent is conclusive. 11 Heisk. (Tenn.) 87.
- COWING v. RUMSEY. Cylinder polisher. New trial. Wrong rule of damages. 8 Blatch. 36; 4 Fisher, 275.
- Cox v. Griggs. Drain-plows. Charge to jury. Patent prima facie evidence of original inventor. Utility. Infringement. Rule of damages. 1 Bissell, 362; 2 Fisher, 174.

- CRAGIN v. FOWLER. Seed-sower. Note, worthless patent, no consideration. 34 Vt. 326.
- CRAIG v. FISHER. Attachment and fine for contempt. 5 Pac. L. R. 52.
- CRAIG v. SMITH. Drive-well. Original inventor. Construction of the patent. 2 Dillon, 375.
- Craig v. Smith. Drive-well. Want of novelty. Infringement, combination. 4 Dillon, 349. 2 Cent. L. J. 256.
- Cranson v. Smith. Notes given for patent under Michigan law. 37 Mich. 309.
- Crittenden v. White. Illegality of State legislation on sale of patent-rights. 23 Minn. 24.
- CROCKER'S CASE. Two patents for same invention, one joint and one sole. 2 A. L. T. (U. S.) R. 129.
- Crompton v. Belknap Mills. Looms. Patent prima facie evidence. Want of novelty. Utility. Patentability. Reissue not for same invention. Illegality of extension. Infringement, combination. 3 Fisher, 536.
- Crosby v. Lapouraille. Saw-mill. Patentability, new combination of old elements. Infringement, combination. Taney, Dec. 374.
- Cross v. Huntly. Washing-machine. Want of novelty. Void patent, too large a claim. 13 Wend. (N. Y.) 385.
- CROUCH v. ROEMER. Shawl strap. Patent prima facie evidence. Want of novelty. Public use. 11 Off. Gaz. 1112.
- CROUGH v. Speer. Shawl-strap. Patent prima facie evidence. Want of novelty. Patentability. Utility. 6 Off. Gaz. 187.
- Curtis v. Branch. Circular saws. Reissue not for same invention, too large claims in reissue. 15 Off. Gaz. 919.
- CUTTER CO. (See STEAM CUTTER CO.)
- CUTTING v. MYERS. Steamboats. What the declaration in a patent suit must contain. 4 Wash. 220; 1 Robb, 159.

D.

- Dalton v. Jennings. Ladies' hair-nets. Interpretation of the particular patent. 12 Blatch. 96; 5 Off. Gaz. 615.
- Dalton v. Jennings. Ladies' hair-nets. Want of novelty. Public use. Above affirmed. 3 Otto, 271; 11 Off. Gaz. 111.
- Dalton v. Nelson. Steam-gauge cock. Patentability, change of material. 13 Blatch. 357; 9 Off. Gaz. 1112.
- Dane v. Chicago Manufacturing Co. Lanterns. Date of inventions. Want of novelty. 3 Bissell, 380; 6 Fisher, 130; 2 Off. Gaz. 677.
- Dane v. Chicago Manufacturing Co. Lanterns. Infringement, combination. Want of novelty. Above affirmed. 7 Off. Gaz. 924.
- Dane v. Illinois Manufacturing Co. Lanterns. Infringement, combination. Want of novelty. 3 Bissell, 374; 6 Fisher, 124; 2 Off. Gaz. 680.
- DARST v. BROCKWAY. Cement. Law of patents. Want of novelty. Failure of consideration of note. 11 Ohio, 462.
- DAVID v. PARK. Well-tubes. Tort for deceit. False representations. 103 Mass. 501.
- DAVIS v. Bell.: Tanning hides. Void patent. Too broad claims. Contract. 8 N. H. 500.
- Davis v. Gray. Reaper. Pleading. Note for patent. Exclusion of evidence. 17 Ohio St. 330.
- DAVIS v. McCormick. Plow. Uncertainty in specification. Construction of patent. 2 Brock. 298; 1 Robb, 518.
- Davis v. Palmer. Plow. Uncertainty in specification. Construction of patent. 2 Brock. 298; 1 Robb, 518.
- DAVOLL v. Brown. Cotton-speeder. Construction for the court. Patents, how construed. 1 W. & M. 53; 2 Robb. 303.

¹ Misnamed in the Official Gazette, Dane v. Chicago Manufacturing Co.

- DAVY v. MORGAN. Sleigh shafts. Note. Consideration. Construction of revenue acts. Parol agreement for patent. 56 Barb. (N. Y.) 218.
- Dawson v. Follen. Suspenders. Original inventor for whole world. 2 Wash. 311; 1 Robb, 9.
- DAY v. BANKERS AND BROKERS' TELEGRAPH Co. Telegraph. Want of novelty. Infringement, combination. 9 Blatch. 345; 5 Fisher, 268; 1 Off. Gaz. 551.
- DAY v. BOSTON BELTING Co. India-rubber. What preliminary injunctions are for, in statu quo. Practice as to affidavits. 16 Law Rep. 329.
- DAY v. CANDEE. India-rubber. Cannot convey extended patent before extension. Equitable titles. Powers of attorney. Mischief by injunction. Licensees. Contract. 3 Fisher, 9.
- DAY v. CARY. India-rubber. Construction of grants. Extraneous facts. "Renewal" means "extension," not "reissue." 1 Fisher, 424.
- DAY v. HARTSHORN. India-rubber. Preliminary injunctions. Verdict at law. Motion for new trial pending. License. 3 Fisher, 32.
- DAY v. HAYWARD. India-rubber. Motion to dismiss. Error in citation. Construction of act of 1789. District where defendant lives in civil suits. 20 Howard, 208.
- DAY v. NEW ENGLAND CAR SPRING Co. India-rubber. Preliminary injunctions. Practice of courts. License as a defence. Rebutting evidence. 3 Blatch. 154.
- DAY v. New England Car Spring Co. India-rubber. Action at law. Special plea of license, not attacking validity of the patent. 3 Blatch. 179.
- DAY v. NEWARK INDIA-RUBBER Co. India-rubber. Jurisdiction over foreign corporation, found in State. 1 Blatch. 628.
- DAY v. STELLMAN. India-rubber. Expert testimony. Construction of written instruments. Assignments. 1 Fisher, 487.

- DAY v. UNION INDIA-RUBBER Co. India-rubber. Licensee before extension uses after. Special acts to extend. Right to use. 3 Blatch. 488.
- DAY v. UNION INDIA-RUBBER Co. India-rubber. Right to use before extension. 20 Howard, 216.
- DAYTON v. WRIGHT. Wire sieve. Motion to dissolve injunction, privity of purchaser. 11 Off. Gaz. 197.
- Dean v. Charlton. Wooden pavement. Power of city to make tax-payers pay for use of patented pavement. 23 Wisc. 590.
- DEAN v. Mason. Planing-machine. Master's report. Profits and damages. Decree pro confesso. Motion to file an answer. Discretion of the Circuit Court, no appeal lies. Motion to dismiss for parting with interest. 20 Howard, 198.
- DECKER v. GRIFFETH. Billiard cushions. Infringement, particular patent. 13 Blatch. 187; 8 Off. Gaz. 944.
- Decker v. Grote. Billiard cushions. Utility. Want of novelty. Reissue not for same invention. 10 Blatch. 331; 6 Fisher, 143; 3 Off. Gaz. 65.
- Decker v. New York Belting and Packing Co. Billiard cushions. Jurisdiction. Waiver by corporation. 6 Fisher, 374; 3 Off. Gaz. 441.
- DECKER v. SILVERBRANDT. Billiard cushions. Infringement, particular patent. 13 Blatch. 187; 8 Off. Gaz. 944.
- DE FLOREZ v. RAYNOLDS. Tin preserve-cans. Reissue not for same invention. Original inventor, patent prima facie evidence. Infringement. 14 Blatch. 505.
- Delano v. Scott. Iron safes. Repeal of patent, scire facias. Inventor. False suggestion. 1 Gilpin, 489; 1 Robb, 700.
- Dennis v. Cross. Lantern. Infringement, uncertainty in specification. 3 Bissell, 389; 6 Fisher, 138.
- Dennis v. Eddy. Cultivators. Reissues. Construction of patents. Infringement, change of form. Form may be the essence of invention. 4 Fisher, 423.

- Dennis v. Eddy. Mould-board for plows. Decree. 11 Off. Gaz. 833.
- Densmore v. Schofield. Petroleum car. Infringement, combination, omission of one ingredient. 4 Fisher, 148.
- DENTAL VULCANITE CO. (See GOODYEAR DENTAL VULCANITE CO.)
- Detmold v. Reeves. Economizing fuel. Patentability, practical application not mere idea. 1 Fisher, 127.
- Detroit Stove Works v. Michigan Stove Co. Base-burning stove. Original inventor. Construction of patent. 12 Off. Gaz. 189.
- DE WITT v. ELMIRA NOBLES MANUFACTURING Co. Augermachine. Joint owners of patents. Jurisdiction. 5 Hun (N. Y.), 301.
- DE WITT v. ELMIRA NOBLES MANUFACTURING Co. Augermachine. Attempted recovery for infringement by one half owner of other half. Above affirmed. 66 N. Y. 459.
- DIAMOND ROCK BORING CO. (See AMERICAN DIAMOND ROCK BORING CO.)
- DIBBLE v. Augur. Sewing-machine. Assignment, who should sue. Parties. Infringement, combination. 7 Blatch. 86.
- DIBBLE v. Sibley. Sewing-machine. Infringement, combination, particular patent. 7 Blatch. 209.
- Dickinson v. Hall. Flax-dressing. Note, void patent, failure of consideration. 14 Pick. (Mass.) 217.
- DIXON v. MOYER. Saddle-making. Plea of not guilty, what plaintiff must prove. Experts as witnesses. Original inventor. Prior use *here*, not in England. Date of invention. Uncertainty in specification. 4 Wash. 68; 1 Robb, 324.
- Dobson v. Campbell. Double reflecting baker. Recording assignments, omission to state cured by verdict. 1 Summer, 319; 1 Robb, 681.
- Dodge v. Card. Fireplaces. Preliminary injunction, fair doubt of infringement. 1 Bond, 393; 2 Fisher, 116.
- DOHERTY v. HAYNES. Table tray. Patentability. Original inventor. Reissue not for same invention. 6 Off. Gaz. 118.

- Domestic Sewing Machine Co. v. Hatfield. Sewing-machine. Note for assignment. Pleading. Consideration. Statute, 58 Ind. 187.
- Dorsey Revolving Harvester Rake Co. v. Bradley Manufacturing Co. Harvester. Proper parties. Misjoinder. Territorial assignments. Right to use. Licensee's rights. Refusal of preliminary injunctions. 12 Blatch. 202.
- Dorsey Revolving Harvester Rake Co. v. Marsh. Harvester rakes. Existence of corporation. Plaintiff's title. Extensions. Want of novelty. Reissue not for same invention. Uncertainty in specification. Infringement. 6 Fisher, 387.
- Doubleday v. Bracheo. Bonnets, &c. Construction of particular patent. 2 Fisher, 560.
- Doubleday v. Sherman. Bonnets, &c. Defenses in answer. Infringement of particular patent. 3 Fisher, 369.
- Doubleday v. Sherman. Bonnets, &c. Construction of particular patent. 3 Fisher, 371.
- Doubleday v. Sherman. Bonnets, &c. Motion to dissolve injunction, laches and acquiescence. 6 Blatch. 513.
- Doubleday v. Sherman. Bonnets, &c. Contempt for violating injunction, amount of punishment, poverty of defendant. 4 Fisher, 253.
- Doughty v. Day. Skirt-hoops. Construction of patents. Want of novelty. Infringement. 9 Blatch. 262; 5 Fisher, 224.
- DOUGHTY v. West. Skeleton skirts. Original inventor. Unintentional issue, remedy. 6 Blatch. 429; 3 Fisher, 580.
- DOUGHTY v. West. Skeleton skirts. Special notice of evidence in equity, waiver. Actions at law as evidence.

 Jurisdiction. Preliminary injunctions. 2 Fisher, 553.
- Doughty v. West, Bradley, and Cary Manufacturing Co. Skeleton skirts. Hearings before Master on reference. Taxation of costs, docket fees, fees of Masters and Commissioners. 4 Fisher, 318.
- DRAPER v. HUDSON. Type-blocks. Infringement. Want of novelty. Right to an account. 1 Holmes, 208; 6 Fisher, 327; 3 Off. Gaz. 354.

- Draper v. Potomska Mills. Bobbins. Date of invention. Original inventor. Patentability. Reissue not for same invention. 13 Off. Gaz. 276.
- Dubois v. Philadelphia and Wilmington Railroad Co. Piers for bridges. Estoppel, former suit on want of novelty. 5 Fisher, 208.
- Dudley v. Mayhew. Cooking-stoves. No jurisdiction of patents in State courts. Patentee no rights at common law. 3 N. Y. 9.
- DUNBAR v. MARDEN. Churning-machine. Best evidence, witness of a note. Want of novelty. Utility. 13 N. H. 311.
- Dunbar v. Myers. Sawing-machine. Patentability. Disclaimers. Original inventor, patent prima facie evidence. Right to obtain patent. What is invention? State of art. Infringement, combination. 4 Otto, 187; 11 Off. Gaz. 35.
- Dunham v. Indiana and St. Louis Railroad. Car-brake shoes. Licensee of one of several owners. 7 Bissell, 223.
- Dyer v. Rich. Loom. Promissory note. Want of novelty. Date of a deed. Validity of patent. Oath. 1 Metc. (Mass.) 180.
- Dyson v. Danforth. Carding-engine. Worthless patent. Failure to reissue. 4 Fisher, 133.

E.

- Eames v. Cook. Boot-trees. Construction of particular patent. Utility. 2 Fisher, 146.
- EAMES v. GODFREY. Boot-trees. Infringement, combination, omission of one element. 1 Wall. 78.
- EARL v. DEXTER. Apparatus for oiling wool. Defense in answer. Admission of evidence. Infringement. 1 Holmes, 412; 6 Off. Gaz. 729.
- Earl v. Page. Shingle-machine. Abandonment. Note, failure of consideration. 6 N. H. 477.

- Earl v. Sawyer. Shingle-machine. Want of novelty. Patentability. Drawings are part of specification. Rule of damages. 4 Mason, 1; 1 Robb, 490.
- EARLE v. HARLOW. Sheep shearing. Infringement, substantially same mode of operation. 9 Off. Gaz. 1018.
- EARTH CLOSET CO. v. FENNER. Water-closets. Preliminary injunctions. Reissue not for same invention. Reissues without oath. 5 Fisher, 15:
- Eastman v. Bodfish. Clapboard-machine. Time stated in declaration is binding on complainant when material. 1 Story, 528; 2 Robb, 72.
- Eddy v. Dennis. Cultivators. Reissue not for same invention. Infringement, combination, particular patent. 5 Otto, 560.
- EDWARDS v. RICHARDS. Buckets. Contract, assignment of patent, parol negotiations. Wright (Ohio), 596.
- EGBERT v. LIPPMAN. Corset steels. Two years' public use, consent of inventor. 14 Off. Gaz. 822.
- EICKERMEYER HAT BODY MACHINE Co. v. PEARCE. Hat bodies. Original inventor. Reissue not for same invention. Infringement. 10 Blatch. 403; 6 Fisher, 219; 3 Off. Gaz. 150.
- ELASTIC FABRICS Co. v. EAST HAMPTON RUBBER THREAD Co. Machine. Caoutchouc. Want of novelty, particular patent. 1 Holmes, 372; 5 Off. Gaz. 696.
- ELASTIC FABRICS Co. v. EAST HAMPTON RUBBER THREAD Co. Machine. Caoutchouc. Prior knowledge, degree required. 9 Off. Gaz. 745.
- ELIZABETH. (See CITY OF ELIZABETH.)
- ELKINS v. KENYON. Screw fork, hay elevator. Rescission of contract. Warranty. Fraud. Useless article. 34 Wisc. 93.
- ELLITHORP v. ROBERTSON. Sewing-machine. Object of patent laws. Prior invention. Patentability. Abandoned experiments. Race of diligence. 4 Blatch. 307; 2 Fisher, 83.

- ELM CITY Co. v. Wooster. Crimping-machines. Original inventor. Infringement. Fraudulent misrepresentations in patent. Corporation is in jurisdiction of United States courts. 6 Fisher, 452; 4 Off. Gaz. 83.
- ELMER v. PENNEL. Sash and blind machine. Note for assignment of patent-right, want of consideration. Jurisdiction of patents. 40 Maine, 430.
- ELY v. McKay. Sewing-machine. Specific performance of agreement to convey. 12 Allen (Mass.), 323.
- ELY v. Monson and Brimfield Manufacturing Co. Cottongin. Admissions. Practice, injunctions. Trial by jury. Juries in equity. Questions for the court. 4 Fisher, 64.
- EMERSON v. Hogg. Steam-engine. Interpretation of patents is for court. Several inventions in one patent. Uncertainty in specification. Abandonment. Damages. 2 Blatch. 1.
- EMERSON v. SIMM. Sawing-machine. Master's report, damages, profits. 6 Fisher, 281; 3 Off. Gaz. 293.
- EMIGH v. CHAMBERLAIN. Car-brakes. Assignment, license to company, use by its assignee. 1 Bissell, 367; 2 Fisher, 192.
- EMIGH v. CHICAGO, BURLINGTON, AND QUINCY RAILROAD. Car-brakes. Licenses to railroads. Patentability, combination, old elements with new result. 1 Bissell, 400; 2 Fisher, 387.
- Emmons v. Sladdin. Healds. Assignment of invention before patent. What it conveys. 9 Off. Gaz. 352.
- ENGINE SAFETY TRUCK Co. (See LOCOMOTIVE ENGINE SAFETY TRUCK Co.)
- ENGLAND v. THOMPSON. Tanning-machine. Licensee used another tannery when only allowed one. 3 Cliff. 271.
- Eppinger v. Richey. Tobacco. Want of novelty. Particular patent. 14 Blatch. 307; 12 Off. Gaz. 714.
- ESTABROOK v. DUNBAR. Screw peg. Construction of patents, technical terms, state of the art. 10 Off. Gaz. 909.

- Eurson v. Dodge. Sawing-machine. Assignee's right after extension. Purchase of infringer. 18 Wall. 414; 5 Off. Gaz. 95.
- EUNSON v. PEDDIE. Sawing-machine. Disclaimers. When costs are not allowed. Original inventor. Infringement, mechanical change. 8 Blatch. 446; 4 Fisher, 493.
- Eureka Co. v. Bailey Washing Machine Co. Washing-machine. Contract, estoppel. Fraud of government not open in collateral proceeding. Notice of defense in answer. 11 Wall. 488.
- EVANS v. CHAMBEBS. Flour-machine. Nonsuit. Allegations of petition for patent not recited. 2 Wash. 125; 1 Robb, 7.
- EVANS v. EATON. Flour-machine. Private act. Analysis of patent law. Patentability. Gist of the action. Original inventor. General issue. Peters C. C. 322; 1 Robb, 68.
- Evans v. Eaton. Flour-machine. Retrial on mandate, objection that judgment was still unreversed. Construction of the patent. Original inventor. Bad patent. 3 Wash. 443; 1 Robb, 193.
- EVANS v. EATON. Flour-machine. Evidence. Prior use. Interpretation of patent. Original inventor. Intention of act of Congress. 3 Wheat. 454; 1 Robb, 243.
- Evans v. Eaton. Flour-machine. Original inventor. Prior use. Private act. Interested witnesses. 7 Wheat. 356; 1 Robb, 336.
- EVANS v. HETTICK. Flour-machine. Evidence of declarations by patentee. Taking depositions. Rebutting evidence. Interested witnesses. Original inventor. Prior use. 3 Wash. 408; 1 Robb, 166; s. c. 7 Wheat. 453; 1 Robb, 417.
- EVANS v. JORDAN AND MOREHEAD. Flour-machine. Interpretation of special act. 1 Brock. 248; 1 Robb, 20.
- EVANS v. JORDAN AND MOREHEAD. Flour-machine. Effect of Evans's special act on builders and users before its passage. 9 Cranch, 199; 1 Robb, 57.
- EVANS v. KREMER. Flour-machine. Original inventor. Plea in bar by special notice under general issue. Peters C. C. 215; 1 Robb, 66.

- EVANS v. ROBINSON. Flour-machine. Congress has exclusive power to limit duration of patents. 1 Car. L. R. (N. C.) 209.
- Evans v. Weiss. Flour-machine. Construction of Evans's special act. Rights of first inventor. 2 Wash. 342; 1 Robb, 10.
- EVARTS v. FORD. Shingle-machine. Construction of patent. Infringement, mode of operation. 6 Fisher, 587; 5 Off. Gaz. 58.
- EXTINGUISHER Co. (See NORTHWEST FIRE EXTINGUISHER Co.)

F.

- FAIRHAVEN IRON WORKS. (See BOSTON AND FAIRHAVEN IRON WORKS.)
- FALES v. WENTWORTH. Carpet lining. Preliminary injunctions, doubt of validity of patent, state of the art. Patents for improvements. 1 Holmes, 96; 5 Fisher, 302; 2 Off. Gaz. 58.
- FALLS Co. (See MILLER'S FALLS Co.)
- FARRINGTON v. GREGORY. Boring-machine. Assignment distinguished from license. What sale of machine carries. 4 Fisher, 221.
- FARRINGTON v. WATER COMMISSIONERS. Boring-machine. What rights sale of machine carries. Use after extension. 4 Fisher, 216.
- FELTING CO. (See Asbestos Felting Co. v. United States and Foreign Salamander Felting Co.)
- Ferree v. Smith. Rock-drill. Contract, exclusive license excludes patentee or grantor. 29 La. Ann. 811.
- FILTER OIL CO. (See NATIONAL FILTER OIL CO.)
- FINCH v. RIKEMAN. Cars. Power to compel production of books. Discovery, when penalty would fall on defendant. 2 Blatch. 301.
- Fire-arm Manufacturing Co. (See Boston Fire-arm Manufacturing Co.)

- FIRE EXTINGUISHER CO. (See NORTHWEST FIRE EXTIN-GUISHER CO.)
- FIRST NATIONAL BANK v. PECK. Gas stove. Note, void patent, is no consideration. 8 Kansas, 660.
- FISHER v. CRAIG. Mining apparatus. Reissue not for same invention. Prior knowledge. Infringement, combination, mechanical substitute. 3 Sawyer, 69.
- FISK ET AL. v. CHURCH. Suspender-ends. Prior knowledge and original inventor are questions of fact. Burden of proof on defendant. 5 Fisher, 540; 1 Off. Gaz. 634.
- FITZ v. COMEY, Looms. Contract, interpretation. 118 Mass.
- FLAGG v. CHURCH. (See FISK ET AL. v. CHURCH.)
- FLOOD v. HICKS. Wagon-reach. Patentability. Name given to structure is immaterial. 2 Bissell, 169; 4 Fisher, 156.
- FLORENCE MANUFACTURING Co. v. BOSTON DIATITE Co. Hand-mirror. Infringement, combination, particular patent. 1 Holmes, 415; 6 Off. Gaz. 728.
- FLORENCE SEWING MACHINE Co. v. GROVER AND BAKER SEW-ING MACHINE Co. Sewing-machine. Removal of suits to United States court. Further instructions after verdict. Joint recovery. License. Other licenses. 110 Mass. 70.
- FLORENCE SEWING MACHINE Co. v. SINGER SEWING MACHINE Co. Sewing-machine. Interpretation of agreement. Legal rights only. Court will not proceed where final decree cannot be reached. 8 Blatch. 113; 4 Fisher, 329.
- FLORENCE SEWING MACHINE Co. v. SINGER SEWING MACHINE Co. Sewing-machine. Money paid into court and claimed by both parties. 8 Blatch. 177; 4 Fisher, 348.
- FOOTE v. Frost. Bag-ties. Patent Commissioner not disqualified from taking a patent after office expires. Want of novelty. 14 Off. Gaz. 860.
- FOOTE v. SILSBY. Draft of stoves. Allowance of writ of error in chambers. 1 Blatch. 542.

- FOOTE v. SILSBY. Regulating draft of stoves. Juryman taken ill at opening of case. Disclaimer. Admissibility of evidence. Power to order nonsuit. Want of novelty by special plea. No evidence allowed if plea is stricken out. Patentability. Questions left to jury. Proper instructions. Motion for new trial for surprise and new evidence. Liberal damages. 1 Blatch. 445.
- FOOTE v. SILSBY. Draft of stoves. Feigned issue. Newly discovered evidence. 1 Blatch. 545.
- FOOTE v. SILSBY. Draft of stoves. Charge to jury. Patentability. Want of novelty. What is required to anticipate a patent. 2 Blatch. 260.
- FOOTE v. Silsby. Draft of stoves. Reference to Master. Parties as witnesses. 3 Blatch. 507.
- Forbes v. Barstow Stove Co. Coffins. Surrender of patent withdrawn. Effect of reissues. Original inventor. Patent prima facie evidence. Infringement. Reissue not for same invention. Nonjoinder of parties. 2 Cliff. 379.
- FORBUSH v. BRADFORD. Looms. Preliminary injunctions, discretion of court. Account. 1 Fisher, 317.
- Forbush v. Cook. Power looms. Claims for combination. Charge to jury. Want of novelty, cheapness, new effect as evidence of novelty. 2 Fisher, 668.
- Forsyth v. Clapp. Wringer-roll. Reissue not for same invention. Infringement, combination, different function of a part. 1 Holmes, 278; 6 Fisher, 528; 4 Off. Gaz. 527.
- Foss v. Herbert. Planing-machine. Charge to jury. Claims for whole and parts. Theory of patentee is of no account. Drawing is part of specification. Patents for improvement. Infringement, same purpose. 1 Bissell, 121; 2 Fisher, 31.
- Foss v. Richardson. Elastic horseshoe. Deed of patentright. Suit to recover purchase-money. 15 Gray (Mass.), 303.
- FOSTER v. LINDSAY. Repeal of patent. Power of court to cancel patents. 3 Dillon, 126; 7 Off. Gaz. 514.

- FOSTER v. LINDSAY. Interfering patents. Power of court in such case by statute to examine and cancel. 8 Off. Gaz. 1032.
- FOSTER v. MOORE. Sole-cutter. Eight years exclusive use. Preliminary injunctions. Infringement, well-known substitutes. How combinations are infringed. 1 Curtis, 279.
- FOUNTAIN PEN CO. (See Morse Fountain Pen Co.)
- FOY v. HUNTER. Corset skirt-protector. Defenses waived. Decree. Three cases. 9 Off. Gaz. 542.
- Francis v. Mellor. Inking rollers. Patents construed liberally. Claims, how construed. Reissue not for same invention. Infringement, composition. Burden of proof. 5 Fisher, 153; 1 Off. Gaz. 48.
- FRENCH v. ROGERS. Telegraph. Patents for an "art." Duplicate drawings. Dating of jurat. Date of foreign patents. Reissue not for same invention. Weight of Commissioner's decision. More than one reissue. Reissue must be broader than original. 1 Fisher, 133.
- FRINK v. Petry. Gas reflector. Motion to dissolve injunction. Want of novelty. 11 Blatch. 422; 5 Off. Gaz. 201.
- FRUIT HOUSE CO. (See CHICAGO FRUIT HOUSE CO.)
- FRUIT JAR CO. (See CONSOLIDATED FRUIT JAR CO.)
- FRY v. QUINLAN. Surrender and reissue ends a suit. 13 Blatch, 205.
- Fuller v. Goodrich. Sewing-machine. Interpretation of particular patent. Want of novelty. Construction of patent law. Mechanical changes. 6 Bissell, 203.
- FULLER v. GOODRICH. Sewing-machine. Infringement of combinations. Results not patentable. Want of novelty. Superseding a patent. Above affirmed. 4 Otto, 299; 11 Off. Gaz. 597.
- FULLER v. YENTZER. Sewing-machine. Interpretation of particular patents. Want of novelty. Construction of patent law. Mechanical changes. 6 Bissell, 203.

- Fuller v. Yentzer. Sewing-machine. Result not patentable. Infringements of combinations, mere substitutes. Burden of proof. Patent prima facie evidence of original inventor. Above affirmed. 4 Otto, 288; 11 Off. Gaz. 551.
- Fuller v. Yentzer. Sewing-machine. Infringements of combinations. Results not patentable. Want of novelty. Superseding a patent. Above affirmed. 4 Otto, 299; 11 Off. Gaz. 597.
- FUZZARD WADDING MANUFACTURING Co. v. DICKINSON.
 Wadding. Infringement, combination, equivalents. 6
 Blatch. 80; 3 Fisher, 289.

G.

- Gale v. Nourse. Straw-cutter. Interpretation of agreement. 15 Gray (Mass.), 300.
- Gallahue v. Butterfield. Pegging shoes. Reissue not for same invention. Want of novelty. Abandoned experiments. Infringement, combination. 10 Blatch. 232; 6 Fisher, 203; 2 Off. Gaz. 645.
- Galpin v. Atwater. Sewing-machine. Warranty. Written contracts, parol warranty. Assignments of patents must be in writing. 29 Conn. 93.
- Gardiner v. Howe. Sails of vessels. Infringement by American boats on the high seas. 2 Cliff. 462.
- Gardner v. Goodyear Dental Vulcanite Co. Artificial gums and palates. Compromise. Decree of affirmance vacated. Appeal dismissed. Mandate recalled. 6 Fisher, 329; 3 Off. Gaz. 295.
- GARRATT v. SEIBERT. Lubricators. Patents for combinations. 7 Pac. L. R. 116; 22 Pitts. L. J. 18.
- Garratt v. Seibert. Lubricators. Interfering patent. Question is who is first inventor. Decree affirmed. 8 Otto, 75; 15 Off. Gaz. 383.
- Garretson v. Clark. Mop-head. Master's report, profits, damages. Value of improvements. 14 Off. Gaz. 485.
- Gates v. Benson. Abandonment. 3 A. L. T. (U. S.) R. 113.

- Gatling v. Newell. Rescission of contracts. English patents. 9 Ind. 572.
- GAUGE CO. (See UNITED STATES GAUGE CO.)
- GAY v. CORNELL. Lead-pipe machinery. Assignments after rejection of patent. Recording. 1 Blatch. 506.
- GAYLOR v. WILDER. Fireproof safes. Assignments before issue. Want of novelty. Abandoned experiments. Original inventor. Lost arts. 10 How. 477.
- GEAR v. GROSVENOR. Lathe, irregular forms. Fraud not open in collateral proceedings. Extension. Patent prima facie evidence that preliminaries were done legally. Assignments before issue and before extension. Want of novelty. Construction of assignments. Jurisdiction of Commissioner. 1 Holmes, 215; 6 Fisher, 314; 3 Off. Gaz. 380.
- Gear v. Holmes. Lathe, irregular forms. Extension of patents. Habendum clause of assignment. Preliminary injunctions, untested patents. 6 Fisher, 595.
- GEIER v. GOETINGER. Impervious bungs. Construction of patent, presumption of law, prior use, state of the art. Evidence not in answer. Printed publications. 7 Off. Gaz. 563.
- Geiger v. Cook. Forge-hammer. Sealed note. Debt. No consideration. Want of novelty. 3 W. & S. (Penn.) 266.
- GIANT POWDER CO. (See ATLANTIC GIANT POWDER CO.)
- Gibson v. Barnard. Woodworth's planing-machine. Contract partly executed, infringement under it. 1 Blatch. 388.
- Gibson v. Betts. Woodworth's planing-machine. Preliminary injunction, won't go into questions of originality or validity of patent. 1 Blatch. 163.
- Gibson v. Cook. Woodworth's planing-machine. Assignments before extension. Recording assignments. Bona fide purchasers. 2 Blatch. 144.
- GIBSON v. GIFFORD. Woodworth's planing-machine. Special act, assignees' rights, fraud in obtaining, remedy. 1 Blatch. 529.

- GIBSON v. HARRIS. Woodworth's planing-machine. Special act, authority of commissioner. Infringement, combination. 1 Blatch. 167.
- GIBSON v. VAN DRESAR. Woodworth's planing-machine. Iufringement, combination, "analogous device," colorable imitation. Preliminary injunctions. 1 Blatch. 532.
- GIBSON v. WOODWORTH. Woodworth's planing-machine. No jurisdiction in State courts. 8 Paige (N. Y.), 132.
- GILBERT AND BARKER MANUFACTURING Co. v. Bussing. Carburetting air. Suit against purchaser after preliminary injunction against maker. Discussion of rights of parties. 12 Blatch. 426; 8 Off. Gaz. 144.
- GILBERT AND BARKER MANUFACTURING Co. v. TIRRELL. Carburetting air. Patentability, change of location. Original inventor. Infringement. 12 Blatch. 144; 8 Off. Gaz. 2.
- GILBERT AND BARKER MANUFACTURING CO. v. WALWORTH MANUFACTURING CO. Carburetting air. Want of novelty. Patentability, change of location. New combination, new result. 9 Off. Gaz. 746.
- GILL v. Wells. Hat-body machine. New combinations of old elements. Reissue not for same invention. What reissues are for, and what they must contain. Omission of one element of combination. Equivalents. Questions of fact. Policy of such rules. Wells v. Gill reversed. 22 Wall. 1; 6 Off. Gaz. 881.
- Gillespie v. Cummings. Brooms. Pleading, multifariousness. 3 Sawyer, 259.
- GILMORE v. AIKEN. Dressing millstones. Assignment amounting to quitelaim. 118 Mass. 94.
- GILMORE v. GOLAY. Dressing millstones. Decree. 3 Fisher, 522.
- GLUE CO. (See MILLIGAN AND HIGGINS GLUE CO.)
- Godfrey v. Eames. Boot-trees. Continuity of application, withdrawing first and putting in another. 1 Wall. 317.
- GOFF v. STAFFORD. Arranging tape. Patent suits in law and equity. Original inventor. Printed publications. Foreign patents. Burden of proof of infringement. Term of patents. 14 Off. Gaz. 748.

- Gold v. Ives. Warming houses. New trials. Recoupment. Nominal damages. 29 Conn. 119.
- GOLD AND SILVER ORE SEPARATING CO. v. UNITED STATES DISINTEGRATING CO. Stoves. What interference is. 3 Fisher, 489.
- Gong Bell Manufacturing Co. v. Clark. Child's toy. Want of novelty. Reissue not for same invention. Infringement. 13 Off. Gaz. 274.
- GOODYEAR v. ALLYN. India-rubber. Patented articles not marked "patented." Misjoinder of plaintiffs. 6 Blatch. 33; 3 Fisher, 374.
- GOODYEAR v. BERRY. India-rubber. Authority of prior decisions. Reissue not for same invention. Liberal construction of patents. Denial of infringement must be direct. 2 Bond, 189; 3 Fisher, 439.
- GOODYEAR v. BEVERLY RUBBER Co. India-rubber. Formal objections. Infringement, process. Article sold passes out of monopoly of patent. 1 Cliff. 348.
- GOODYEAR v. BISHOP. India-rubber. Suit by licensee is properly brought in name of patentee, and will not be discontinued because he releases the defendants. 2 Fisher, 96.
- GOODYEAR v. BISHOP. India-rubber. Charge to jury. To get at damages the jury must find facts. Damages, license fee. 2 Fisher, 154.
- GOODYEAR v. BOURN. India-rubber. Dissolution of particular injunction. 3 Blatch. 266.
- GOODYEAR v. CARY. India-rubber. Interpretation of agreements. Admissions of parties. "Renewed" means "extended." 4 Blatch. 271.
- GOODYEAR v. CHAFFEE. India-rubber. Irregularity of service is waived by appearance. Out of jurisdiction. 3 Blatch. 268.
- GOODYEAR v. CONGRESS RUBBER Co. India-rubber. Interpretation of license. 3 Blatch. 449.
- GOODYEAR v. DAY. India-rubber. Trial by jury in equity. Province of court. Want of novelty. 2 Wall. Jr. 283.

- GOODYEAR v. DUNBAR. India-rubber. Granting injunctions. Bona fide issue. 3 Wall. Jr. 310; 1 Fisher, 472.
- GOODYEAR v. EVANS. India-rubber. Infringement, process, particular patent. 6 Blatch. 121; 3 Fisher, 390.
- GOODYEAR v. HILLS. India-rubber. Proper parties. Dedication to public. Practice. Preliminary injunctions. 3 Fisher, 134.
- GOODYEAR v. HOUSINGER. India-rubber. Preliminary injunctions, prior decisions. License. Laches. Conditional injunctions. 2 Bissell, 1; 3 Fisher, 147.
- GOODYEAR v. HULLIHEN. India-rubber. Jurisdiction in equity. Administrator not to be proved. Seal of notary. Parties to bill. Lack of patent lawyers as reason for delay. Reasons for patent law. Reasons for preliminary injunctions. 2 Hughes, 492; 3 Fisher, 251.
- GOODYEAR v. LUNSFORD. India-rubber. Jurisdiction in equity.
 Administrator not to be proved. Seal of notary. Parties to bill. Lack of patent lawyers as reason for delay. Reasons for patent law. Reasons for preliminary injunctions. 2 Hughes, 492.
- GOODYEAR v. MATTHEWS. Hard metal buttons. Prior use under act of 1793, original inventor. Patentee of part. 1 Paine, 300; 1 Robb, 50.
- GOODYEAR v. McBarney. India-rubber. Suits by licensee in name of patentee. 3 Blatch. 32.
- GOODYEAR v. MULLEE. India-rubber. Attachment for contempt. Violations of injunctions. Infringement. 5 Blatch. 429; 3 Fisher, 209.
- GOODYEAR v. MULLEE. India-rubber. Preliminary injunction, long use, previous decision. 3 Fisher, 420.
- GOODYEAR v. MULLEE. India-rubber. Reference to Master. Intent of defendant to violate injunction. 5 Blatch. 463; 3 Fisher, 259.
- GOODYEAR v. NEW JERSEY CENTRAL RAILROAD. India-rubber.
 Preliminary injunction, doubt of infringement, long use
 and acquiescence, proper parties. Infringement, process.
 Patents for processes. 2 Wall. Jr. 356; 1 Fisher, 626.

- GOODYEAR v. New York Gutta-percha Co. India-rubber. Original inventor. Want of novelty. Infringement, composition. 2 Fisher, 312.
- GOODYEAR v. Phelps. India-rubber. Directors and agents of corporations are responsible for infringements. 3 Blatch. 91.
- GOODYEAR v. PROVIDENCE RUBBER Co. India-rubber. Motion for feigned issues. Powers of circuit courts. Parties, executors. Reissue not for same invention. Extension, false representations. Original inventor. Infringement, license. 2 Cliff. 351; 2 Fisher, 499.
- GOODYEAR v. Rust. India-rubber. Infringement, particular patent. 6 Blatch. 229; 3 Fisher, 456.
- GOODYEAR v. Toby. India-rubber. No certificate of counsel with plea. Pleading, parties. 6 Blatch. 130.
- GOODYEAR v. UNION RUBBER Co. India-rubber. License, jurisdiction. Citizenship. 4 Blatch. 63.
- GOODYEAR v. WAIT. India-rubber. Authority of Commissioner to reissue. Patentability. Two reissues. Clearness in specification. Dedication to public. 5 Blatch. 468; 3 Fisher, 242.
- GOODYEAR v. WINGERTER. India-rubber. Jurisdiction in equity. Administrator not to be proved. Seal of notary. Parties to bill. Lack of patent lawyers as reason for delay. Reasons for patent law. Reasons for preliminary injunctions. 2 Hughes, 492.
- GOODYEAR DENTAL VULCANITE Co. v. BENJAMIN. Artificial gums, &c. Settled by prior decisions. 6 Off. Gaz. 154.
- GOODYEAR DENTAL VULCANITE Co. v. DAVIS. Artificial gums, &c. Prior decisions. Want of novelty. Infringement, substantial equivalents. 12 Off. Gaz. Oct. 2, i.
- GOODYEAR DENTAL VULCANITE Co. v. DICKERSON. 13 Off. Gaz. 325. (See Same v. Osgood.)
- GOODYEAR DENTAL VULCANITE CO. v. EVANS. (See GOODYEAR v. EVANS.)

¹ Probably a misprint in 3 Fisher's Pat. Cas. 390.

- GOODYEAR DENTAL VULCANITE Co. v. FLAGG. Artificial gums, &c. Prior decisions settle it. 9 Off. Gaz. 153.
- GOODYEAR DENTAL VULCANITE CO. v. GARDNER. Artificial gums, &c. Interpretation of written instruments. Want of novelty. Original inventor. Patent prima facie evidence. Infringement. 3 Cliff. 408; 4 Fisher, 224.
- GOODYEAR DENTAL VULCANITE Co. v. IRELAND. Artificial gums, &c. Prior decisions settle it. 6 Off. Gaz. 154.
- GOODYEAR DENTAL VULCANITE Co. v. Lowe. 13 Off. Gaz. 325. (See Same v. Osgood.)
- GOODYEAR DENTAL VULCANITE Co. v. OSGOOD. Artificial gums, &c. Taxation of costs, in several cases with but one hearing. 13 Off. Gaz. 325.
- GOODYEAR DENTAL VULCANITE Co. v. PERRY. Artificial gums, &c. Prior decisions settle it. 6 Off. Gaz. 154.
- GOODYEAR DENTAL VULCANITE Co. v. PRETERRE. Artificial gums, &c. What are infringements of the Cummings patent. 14 Off. Gaz. 346.
- GOODYEAR DENTAL VULCANITE Co. v. ROOT. Artificial gums, &c. Prior decisions settle it. 6 Off. Gaz. 154.
- GOODYEAR DENTAL VULCANITE Co. v. SCHEMERHORN. Artificial gums, &c. Prior decisions. 6 Off. Gaz. 154.
- GOODYEAR DENTAL VULCANITE Co. v. SMITH. Artificial gums, &c. Interpretation of particular patent. Reissue not for same invention. Original inventor. Public use. Want of novelty. Utility. 1 Holmes, 354; 5 Off. Gaz. 585.
- GOODYEAR DENTAL VULCANITE Co. v. VAN ANTWERP. Artificial gums, &c. Master's report. Profits and damages under the patent act. 9 Off. Gaz. 497.
- GOODYEAR DENTAL VULCANITE Co. v. WETHERBEE. Artificial gums, &c. Corporation as plaintiff. Proceedings of Commissioner in granting reissues. Infringement. Original inventor. Abandonment. Reissue not for same invention. 2 Cliff. 555; 3 Fisher, 87.

- GOODYEAR DENTAL VULCANITE Co. v. WILLIS. Artificial gums, &c. Bound by previous decisions. Circuit courts form one system. Patentability. Abandonment. Foreign patent by third person. 7 Off. Gaz. 41.
- GORHAM v. MIXTER. Hat-machine. Infringement, change of form, mechanical equivalents. 1 Am. L. J. (8 Penn. L. J.) 539.
- GOTTFRIED v. BARTHOLOMAE & ROESING. Pitching barrels. Want of novelty, particular patent. 13 Off. Gaz. 1128.
- GOULD, HENRY W., EX PARTE. Advertising apparatus. Appeal from Commissioner. Refusal to issue a patent. Combination. 1 McArthur, 410; 5 Off. Gaz. 121.
- GOULD v. BALLARD. Trunks. Reissue not for same invention. Want of novelty, particular patent. 13 Off. Gaz. 1081.
- GOULD v. REES. Steam-engines. Patentability. Infringement, combination, equivalents. 15 Wall. 187; 2 Off. Gaz. 624.
- Gould's Manufacturing Co. v. Cowing. Gas-pumps. Master's report, damages. Burden of proof. 12 Blatch. 243. 8 Off. Gaz. 277.
- GOULD'S MANUFACTURING Co. v. COWING. Gas-pumps. Master's report, damages, profits. Nominal damages. 14 Blatch. 315; 12 Off. Gaz. 942.
- GOWER, EX PARTE. Pneumatic signal telephones. Interferences are for Commissioner. 15 Off. Gaz. 828.
- Graham v. Gammon. Harvesters. Suggestion not patentable. Want of novelty. Infringement. 7 Bissell, 49.
- GRAHAM v. MASON. Looms. Reissue not for same invention. Clearness of pleadings in equity. Patent prima facie evidence. Want of novelty. Burden of proof of infringement. 5 Fisher, 1.
- Graham v. Mason. Looms. Master's report. Rule of damages. Selling the parts of infringing mechanism. 1 Holmes. 88; 5 Fisher, 290; 1 Off. Gaz. 609.

- Grant v. Raymond. Hat-machine. Uncertainty in specification. Power of Secretary of State of United States to accept a surrender and reissue. Object of patent law. Reissue not for same invention. Public use. 6 Peters, 218; 1 Robb, 604.
- Gray v. Hulshizer. Horse-power. Decree. 13 Off. Gaz. x, Jan. 15.
- Gray v. James. Nail-machines. Charge to jury. Infringement, mode of operation. Original inventor. Defective specification. Abandonment. Peters C. C. 394; 1 Robb, 120.
- GRAY v. JAMES. Nail-machines. Motion for new trial. Verdict against evidence. Want of novelty. Declaration must show title. Defects cured by verdict. Peters C. C. 476; 1 Robb, 140.
- Greaton v. Griffin. Wood pavement. State authority to use a patented article. 4 Abb. n. s. (N. Y.) 310.
- Greely, Benjamin, Exparte. Suspender-straps. Rejection of patent. Want of novelty. Construction of particular patent. 1 Holmes, 284; 6 Fisher, 575; 4 Off. Gaz. 612.
- Green v. Willard Improved Barrel Co. Lister. Recoupment. Unliquidated damages. Inventions by employé. State courts have no jurisdiction over validity of patents. 1 Mo. App. 202.
- Gregerson v. Imlay. Car-trucks. Preliminary injunction. Contract void for champerty and maintenance. 4 Blatch. 503.
- GROFF v. HANSEL. Sash-lock. Note, false representations, competency of defense. 33 Md. 161.
- Grosjean v. Peck, Stow, and Wilcox Co. Spoons and forks. Interpretation of particular patent. Validity of reissues. Utility. Infringement. 11 Blatch. 54.
- GROVER AND BAKER SEWING MACHINE Co. v. Butler. Sewing-machine. Note. State legislation in patents. 53 Ind. 454.
- GROVER AND BAKER SEWING MACHINE Co. v. SLOAT. Sewing-machine. Corporations in other States. Defenses in answer. Nonjoinder of parties. Want of novelty. 2
 · Fisher, 112.

- Grover and Baker Sewing Machine Co. v. Williams. Sewing-machine. Preliminary injunctions. Exparte affidavits. Prima facie rights of patentee. Acquiescence. Previous suits. License held by plaintiffs. Infringement, combination. 2 Fisher, 133.
- Guidet v. Barber. Stone pavement. Reissue not for same invention. Patentability. Want of novelty. Notice of defense in answer. 5 Off. Gaz. 149.
- Guidet v. Palmer (or City of Brooklyn). Stone pavement. Preliminary injunctions. Acquiescence, mere lapse of time. 10 Blatch. 217; 6 Fisher, 82.
- GUIDET v. CITY OF BROOKLYN. Stone pavement. Infringement, particular patent. 13 Off. Gaz. 773.
- GUNSTOCK Co. (See BLANCHARD GUNSTOCK Co.)
- Gutta-Percha and Rubber Manufacturing Co. v. Goodyear Rubber Co. India-rubber. What bill should show. Knowledge of witness better than opinion. 3 Sawyer, 542.
- Guyon v. Serrell. Compound lever. Damages, three times actual damages. Disclaimer after suit brought. No costs allowed. Power of court to increase damages. 1 Blatch. 244.

Η.

- Hailes v. Van Wormer. Stoves. Interpretation of particular patent. Combination of old elements with new results distinguished from a mere aggregation of old elements and results. 7 Blatch. 443.
- Hailes v. Van Wormer. Stoves. Patentability, new combination, new result. Want of novelty. Infringement.
 Above affirmed. 20 Wall. 353; 5 Off. Gaz. 89.
- HAIR Co. (See Muscan Hair, &c. Co.)
- HALE v. STIMPSON. Shaping irregular wood. Comparison of the machines. Combination of old parts. What is infringement? 2 Fisher, 565.
- HALL v. BIRD. Stretching chains. Utility. Machine made and put in cellar held an abandoned invention. 6 Blatch. 438; 3 Fisher, 595.

- HALL v. ORVIS. Filter. Rescission of contract, false representations. 35 Iowa, 366.
- HALL v. Speer. Plows. Practice, injunctions. Not granted where there has been long adverse possession by defendants. Recording assignments. 6 Pitts. L. J. 403.
- Hall v. Wiles. Brick-press. Charge to jury. Disclaimer not filed before suit brought, no costs allowed. Unreasonable negligence. Result not patentable, but important in cases of improvements of old contrivance. 2 Blatch. 194.
- HALL & Co. v. Jones. Vehicle hubs. Interpretation of particular patent. 14 Off. Gaz. 378.
- Halsey v. Garlick. Wagon-gearing. Particular patent. Decree. 12 Off. Gaz. 1026.
- Hamilton v. Ives. Saw-mills. Motion for new trial. Drawings a part of specification. Effect of drawings in limiting the patent. New combinations of old elements. Interpretation of particular patent. 6 Fisher, 244; 3 Off. Gaz. 30.
- HAMILTON v. KINGSBURY. Saw-mill. Territorial assignment. "Legal representatives" includes "assignees." 14 Off. Gaz. 448.
- Hamilton v. Simons. Contempt. After injunction, defendant can not leave out some parts and go ahead. Advice of counsel is no justification. 5 Bissell, 77.
- HAMMER v. BARNES. Ale-brewing. Parties. Patents protected in State courts. 26 How. (N. Y.) 174.
- HAMMOND v. ORGAN Co. Melodeons, &c. License as a defense.
 Interpretation of contract. 1 Holmes, 296; 6 Fisher, 599;
 5 Off. Gaz. 31.
- HAMMOND v. ORGAN Co. Melodeons, &c. License as a defense. Interpretation of contract. Above affirmed. 2 Otto, 724.
- HANK'S CASE. New drawings may be added in reissue. 2 A. L. T. (U. S.) R. 129.
- HARDESTY v. SMITH. Lamp. Note, consideration. Pleas held bad on demurrer. 3 Ind. 39.

- HARLOW v. PUTNAM. Grate-bars. Exclusive license, void patent, no consideration. 124 Mass. 553.
- HARMON v. BIRD. Threshing-machine. Note, consideration, misnomer of invention in conveyance. 22 Wend. (N. Y.) 113.
- HART, BLIVEN, AND MEAD MANUFACTURING Co. v. SARGEANT & Co. Carpenters' squares. Want of novelty. Infringement. 14 Off. Gaz. 45.
- HARTSHORN v. ALMY. Curtain-fixtures. Interpretation of particular patents. Infringement. 1 Holmes, 493; 8 Off. Gaz. 94.
- HARTSHORN v. DAY. India-rubber. License as a defense. Interpretation of contract. Attempt to rescind. Right to rescind. 19 How. 211.
- HARTSHORN v. SHOREY. Curtain-fixtures. Want of novelty. Infringement. Particular patent. 9 Off. Gaz. 595.
- HARTSHORN P. TRIPP. Curtain-fixtures. Same mode of operation as infringement. 7 Blatch. 120.
- HARVESTER CO. (See Dorsey Revolving Harvester Rake Co.)
- HARWOOD v. MILL RIVER WOOLLEN MANUFACTURING Co. Oiling wool. Foreign patents met by showing their worthlessness. Interpretation of particular patent. 3 Fisher, 526.
- Haselden v. Ogden. Pumps. Charge to jury. Private use not known to public does not anticipate the patent. Original inventor. What infringement is. 3 Fisher, 378.
- HASKELL v. SHOE MACHINERY MANUFACTURING Co. Sewing-machines. Original inventor, patent *prima facie* evidence. 15 Off. Gaz. 509.
- HAT BLOCKING MACHINE CO. (See EICKERMEYER HAT BODY MACHINE CO.)
- Hathaway v. Roach. Cooking-stove. Right to costs, discretion of court, models, copies of patents, fees of witnesses during a few days' suspension. 2 W. & M. 63.
- HAVEN v. Brown. Bedstead-fastenings. General allegation of infringement in bill, without specifying particulars, is good. 6 Fisher, 413.

- HAWES v. ANTISDEL. Hotel-register. Want of novelty. Amount of proof of prior use and knowledge required. 8 Off. Gaz. 685.
- Hawes v. Cook, Hotel-register. Prior decisions. 5 Off. Gaz. 493.
- HAWES v. GAGE. Hotel-register. Charge to jury. Damages, profits. Construction of particular patent. 5 Off. Gaz. 494.
- HAWES v. TWOGOOD. Assignment of error in Iowa. Admissibility of evidence. Note, warranty of patent. 12 Iowa, 582.
- Hawes v. Washburne. Hotel-register. Charge to jury. Patent for invention, and not for design. Patent prima facie evidence of novelty. Want of novelty. Infringement, intention. 5 Off. Gaz. 491.
- Hawkes v. Remington. Nickel-plating. Identity of two patents not apparent on their face is for jury. 111 Mass. 171.
- Hawks v. Swett. Stoves. Pleading, admissibility of decree of United States courts. Assignment, contract to pay royalty, void patent, not estopped. 4 Hun (N. Y.), 146; 6 Th. & C. (N. Y.) 529.
- HAWLEY v. MITCHELL. Felting-machine. Machines sold by assignee pass out of monopoly of patent, those by licensee do not. 1 Holmes, 42; 4 Fisher, 388; 1 Off. Gaz. 306.
- HAYDEN v. SUFFOLK MANUFACTURING Co. Cotton-cleaners. Charge to jury. What patents are. Prima facie evidence of original inventor. Want of novelty. Memory of man as testimony on point of anticipation. Province of jury. Burden of proof of old machine. Infringement. 4 Fisher, 86.
- HAYS v. Sulson. Drain-plows. Charge to jury. Utility.
 Want of novelty. Notice of time and place of prior use.
 Printed publications. Infringement, damages. 1 Bond, 279; 1 Fisher, 532.
- HEAD v. STEVENS. Cider-mill. Defective specification. 19 Wend. (N. Y.) 411.

- HEATON v. QUINTARD. Ships' armor. Patented article put on government vessel by government's order is no infringement in the workman. 7 Blatch. 73.
- HECKER v. RUMFORD CHEMICAL WORKS. (See ATTORNEY-GENERAL EX REL. HECKER.)
- Heilner v. Battin. Coal-screener. Estoppel by covenant to dispute the validity of patent. Want of novelty. Reissue not for same invention, question for jury. Agreement of counsel about evidence may not be accepted by the court. Authority of partners. 27 Penn. St. 517.
- HEINRICH v. LUTHER. Tailors' shears. Patent is prima facie evidence of right of patentee. Want of novelty. 6 McLean, 345.
- Helm v. First National Bank of Huntington. State legislation in patent cases. 43 Ind. 167.
- Henderson v. Cleveland Co-operative Stove Co. Coal stove. Construction of particular patent. Claims for results. Abandonment. Infringement. 12 Off. Gaz. 4.
- Hendrie v. Sayles. Car-brake. Assignment before issue. Issue to assignee. Assignee has right to get extension. 8 Otto, 546.
- Henry v. Francestown Soapstone Stove Co. Stoves. Want of novelty. Public use for two years. Waiting during experiments. Non-joinder of parties. 9 Off. Gaz. 408.
- HENRY v. PROVIDENCE TOOL Co. Fire-arms. Expiration of foreign patents. Vigilance. Construction of act of Congress. Extensions. Discretion of Commissioner. Public use. 14 Off. Gaz. 855.
- Herbert v. Adams. Bedsteads. Assignment before issue is good. After assignment, assignee sues, not patentee. 4 Mason, 15; 1 Robb, 505.
- HERRING v. GAGE. Cooling metal, &c. Reissue not for same invention. Reissues in general. Original inventor. Want of novelty. Infringement. 14 Blatch. 293; 12 Off. Gaz. 753.

- Herring v. Gas Consumers' Association. Infringement by joint owner. Damages. 13 Off. Gaz. 637.
- HERRING v. Nelson. Cooling metal, &c. Reissue not for same invention. Reissues in general. Original inventor. Want of novelty. Infringement. 14 Blatch. 293; 12 Off. Gaz. 753.
- Hess v. Young. Grain-screener. Pleading, fraud. Misrepresentations in contract. Means of knowledge. 59 Ind. 379.
- Hiatt v. Twomey. Water-wheels. No implied warranty in sale of patent-right. 1 Dev. & B. Eq. (N. C.) 315.
- HICKS v. Kelsey. Wagon-reach. Want of novelty. Change of material. 18 Wall. 670; 5 Off. Gaz. 94.
- HIDE AND LEATHER SPLITTING CO. (See AMERICAN HIDE AND LEATHER SPLITTING CO.)
- HIGGINS v. STRONG. Truss. Note. Patent rights by statute only. Assignment must be recorded. 4 Blackf. (Ind.) 182.
- HIKE v. PROVIDENCE RAILROAD (should be PIKE, q. v.).
- HILL v. HOUGHTON. Spelling-blocks. Patentability, state of art. 6 Off. Gaz. 3.
- HILL v. THUERMER. Burning lime. Note, consideration. 13 Ind. 351.
- HILL v. WHITCOMB. Printing-presses. Territorial grantee must sue in name of patentee. Suit in his own name does not arise under patent laws, and is not in jurisdiction of federal courts. 1 Holmes, 317; 5 Off. Gaz. 430.
- HITCHCOCK v. TREMAINE. Tremolo attachment for organs.
 Interpretation of particular patent. Patents for a principle.
 Want of novelty. 8 Blatch. 440; 4 Fisher, 508.
- HITCHCOCK v. TREMAINE. Tremolo attachment for organs. Motion for rehearing, want of proper expert testimony, newly discovered evidence. 9 Blatch. 550; 5 Fisher, 537; 1 Off. Gaz. 633.
- HITCHCOCK v. TREMAINE. Tremolo attachment for organs.
 Master's report. Gains and profits, wrong estimate. General expenses. 9 Blatch. 385; 5 Fisher, 310.

- HOCKHOLZER v. EAGER. Timber-framing machine. Preliminary injunctions when no prior decisions, exclusive possession must be shown, laches, inconvenience to parties. 2 Sawyer, 361.
- HODGE v. HUDSON RIVER RAILROAD. Car-brakes. Infringement, license. 6 Blatch. 85; 3 Fisher, 410.
- HODGE v. HUDSON RIVER RAILROAD. Car-brakes. License, effect of extension. Where validity of patent has been fully established, an injunction will be granted, though very damaging to the defendant. 6 Blatch. 165.
- HODGE v. IRON MOUNTAIN RAILROAD. Car-brakes. Misjoinder of plaintiffs, how taken advantage of. 1 Dillon, 104; 4 Fisher, 161.
- HODGE v. New York and Harlem Railroad. Car-brakes. Infringement, license. 6 Blatch. 85; 3 Fisher, 410.
- Hodge v. North Missouri Railroad. Car-brakes. Misjoinder of plaintiffs, how taken advantage of. 1 Dillon, 104; 4 Fisher, 161.
- Hoe v. Simpson. Saws. Effect or function not patentable, must state means. Infringement, comparison of the two machines. 6 Off. Gaz. 435.
- Hoe & Co. v. Cole & Co. Printers' galleys. Original inventor. Infringement. 13 Off. Gaz. 500.
- HOELTZE v. HOELLER. Elbows of stove-pipes. Jurisdiction. Patents surreptitiously obtained. 2 Bond, 386.
- HOFFHEIMS v. Brandt. Horse-rakes. Equity jurisdiction over patents is as full as that at law. Fraud of plaintiff prevents relief. Reissues must be for what is described or shown in original. Degree of utility required. Patent prima facie evidence of novelty. Reissue prima facie evidence that it was not abandoned. 3 Fisher, 218.
- HOFFMAN v. Aronson. Paper collars. Interpretation of particular patent. Want of novelty. False suggestion. Infringement. 8 Blatch. 324; 4 Fisher, 456.
- HOFFMAN v. STIEFEL. Paper collars. Validity of particular patent. 7 Blatch. 58; 3 Fisher, 638.

- Hogg v. Emerson. Steam-engine. Case coming up by discretion comes up as a whole. Drawings and specification must be construed with patent. Patentee may recover for infringement during interval between fire of 1836 and restoring of records. 6 How. 457; 2 Robb, 655.
- Hogg v. Emerson. Steam-engine. Drawings are part of specification. Price paid for license may be considered by jury in settling damages. 11 How. 587.
- Holbrook v. Matthews. Seed-planter. Want of novelty. Mere change of material. 10 Off. Gaz. 508.
- Holbrook v. Small. Seed-planter. Want of novelty. Mere change of material. 10 Off. Gaz. 508.
- HOLDEN v. CURTIS. Spirit purifier. General principle of sale without title of personal property. Assignments of patents. Recording. Patentability. 2 N. H. 61.
- Hollida v. Hunt. State legislation, sales of patents. Rights of patentees are United States rights. Parol evidence to vary written contracts. 70 Ill. 109.
- HOLLIDAY v. RHEEM. Water-wheel. Uncertainty in specification. Want of novelty. Combination patents. 18 Penn. St. 465.
- Holly v. Union City. Water-supply. Interpretation of particular patent and decree. 14 Off. Gaz. 5.
- Hopkins and Dickinson Manufacturing Co. v. Corbin. Sash-fasteners. Infringement, construction of joint patent modified by prior patent of one joint inventor. 14 Blatch. 396; 14 Off. Gaz. 3.
- HOPKINS AND DICKINSON MANUFACTURING CO. v. PARKER AND WHIPPLE MANUFACTURING CO. Sash-fasteners. Infringement, construction of joint patent modified by prior patent of one joint inventor. 14 Blatch. 396; 14 Off. Gaz. 3.
- HOTCHKISS v. GREENWOOD. Door-knobs. Change of material not patentable. Patentability. 4 McLean, 456; 2 Robb, 730.
- Hotchkiss v. Greenwood. Door-knobs. Want of novelty, change of material, effect. Above affirmed. 11 How. 248.

- HOTCHKISS v. OLIVER. Water-wheel. Disclaimers, unreasonable delay. Vendee's election to recede or not. Validity of patents. 5 Denio (N. Y.), 314.
- Houghton v. Rowley. Fruit-jars. Agreement, construction. 9 Phila. (Penn.) 288.
- House v. Young. Electric baths. Reissue of older patent is complete defence in the absence of fraud. Both are *prima facie* valid. 3 Fisher, 335.
- HOVEY v. HENRY. Straw-cutter. Prior sale for two years.
 Abandonment. 3 West. L. J. 153.
- HOVEY v. RURBER TIP PENCIL Co. Pencil-tips. Rights of patentees. Notice to public. No State jurisdiction on validity of patents. 33 N. Y. Supr. 522.
- Hovey v. Stevens. Tool-grinders. Interpretation of particular patent. Original inventor. Want of novelty. Injunction refused, short time since issue. 1 W. & M. 290; 2 Robb, 479.
- Hovey v. Stevens. Tool-grinders. Interpretation of particular patent. Patentability. Allowance of costs. 3 W. & M. 17; 2 Robb, 567.
- HOWARD v. CHRISTY. Paper for roofs. Particular patent. 10 Off. Gaz. 981.
- Howe v. Abbott. Mattress. Result not patentable. Combination and entire process is not infringed by combination and part of the process. 2 Story, 190; 2 Robb, 99.
- Howe v. Morton. Sewing-machine. Preliminary injunctions. State of the art. Infringement. Foreign patents. Short time to run. Defendant gives bonds. 1 Fisher, 586.
- Howe v. Newton. Boot-trees. Public use. Abandonment. Purchase from licensee as defense. Damage to defendant. 2 Fisher, 531.
- Howe v. Underwood. Sewing-machine. Original inventor. Abandoned experiments. Interested witnesses. Interpretation of the machine. State of the art. Old remains. 1 Fisher, 160.

- Howe v. Williams. Sewing-machine. Motions for trial at law, when granted. Original inventor. Interpretation of particular patent. Want of novelty. Abandonment, acquiescence in use by public. Infringement, combination. 2 Fisher, 395.
- Howe v. Wooldredge. Sewing-machine. Suit to recover royalties. Interpretation of contract. Object of patent laws. Effect of sale of patented article. 12 Allen, 18.
- Howes v. McNeal. Grain-separator. Want of novelty, rejected applications are not evidence of completed inventions. Infringement, effect of improvements. 15 Off. Gaz. 608.
- Howes v. Nute. Extra yards for topsails. Patent prima facie evidence of original inventor. Sufficiency of specification, how determined. Want of novelty. 4 Fisher, 263.
- Hubbell v. United States. Shells. Use by government of patented inventions, amount of compensation. Original inventor. 5 N. & H. 1.
- HUDSON v. DRAPER. Printing-type. Burden of proof of infringement. Experts as witnesses. Rule of damages. 4 Fisher, 256.
- Hull v. Commissioner of Patents. Mandamus. Power of Commissioner of Patents. Patentability. Duties of examiners-in-chief and assistants. First favorable decision is not conclusive. Remedy for rejection. 7 Off. Gaz. 559.
- Hull v. Commissioner of Patents. Rehearing. Court will not compel Commissioner to issue a patent. Above affirmed. 8 Off. Gaz. 46.
- Hunt v. Hoover. Making sugar. Breach of warranty, false representations. State court has jurisdiction in such cases. 24 Iowa, 231.
- HUSSEY v. BRADLEY. Reaper. Reissue not for same invention. Disclaimer by mistake in original. Patentability, want of novelty. On sale two years. Burden of proof. Laches in getting reissue. Date of reissues. Infringement, combination. Lack of interest in one defendant. 5 Blatch. 134; 2 Fisher, 362.

- Hussey v. Bradley. Reaper. Taxation of costs. What are allowable. 5 Blatch. 210.
- Hussey v. McCormick. Reaper. Admission by defendant. Original inventor. Reissue not for same invention. 1 Bissell, 300; 1 Fisher, 509.
- Hussey v. Whitely. Reaper. Motion to dissolve injunction. Interpretation of agreement. "Party aggrieved" under patent law. Power to dissolve injunctions by district judges. Five presumptions of novelty. Defendant's injury no ground for dissolving injunction. 1 Bond, 407; 2 Fisher, 120.
- HYNDMAN v. Roots. Rotary blower. Infringement, substantial equivalents, palpable evasion. Interpretation of particular patent, 7 Otto, 224; 13 Off. Gaz. 868.

T.

- ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILROAD v. TURRILL. (See CAWOOD PATENT.)
- IMLAY v. NORWICH AND WORCESTER RAILROAD. Railwaycars. Account where injunction cannot be granted at once. Infringement, construction of patent and comparison of machines. 4 Blatch. 227; 1 Fisher, 340.
- India-rubber Comb Co. v. Phelps. Combs. Motion to amend answer after decree. Reasonable diligence. New parol evidence. Refused. 8 Blatch. 85; 4 Fisher, 315.
- INGELS v. MAST. Grain-drills. Master's report. Profits.
 Added element of combination. 6 Fisher, 415; 7 Off. Gaz.
 836.
- INGERSOLL v. BENHAM. Cuspadores. Master's report, damages and profits. Costs. Nominal damages. 14 Blatch. 541; 13 Off. Gaz. 966.
- INGERSOLL v. MUSGROVE. Cuspadores. Master's report, damages and profits. Costs. Nominal damages. 14 Blatch. 541; 13 Off. Gaz. 966.
- INGERSOLL v. TURNER. Cuspadores. What "cuspadore" means. Want of novelty. 12 Off. Gaz. 189.

- IRON WORKS. (See BOSTON AND FAIRHAVEN IRON WORKS; PACIFIC IRON WORKS.)
- IRON AND NAIL FACTORY. (See TROY IRON AND NAIL FACTORY.)
- IRWIN v. DANE. Lamps. Granting of preliminary injunctions, discretion of the court. Damage to plaintiff to refuse. 2 Bissell, 442; 4 Fisher, 359.
- IRWIN v. DANE. Lamps. Interpretation of particular patent. Want of novelty. Original inventor. Infringement. 9 Off. Gaz. 642.
- ISAACS v. ABRAMS. Brooms for railways. Want of novelty. Change of material, change of form. Original inventor. 14 Off. Gaz. 861.
- ISAACS v. COOPER. Circular plane. Practice, injunctions in equity. Defective specification. Doubt. 4 Wash. 259; 1 Robb, 332.
- IVES v. HAMILTON. Saw-mills. Infringement, same means. Patents for improvements need not describe machine improved on. 2 Otto, 426; 10 Off. Gaz. 336.

J.

- JACKSON v. ALLEN. Chairs for theatres. Construction of patents is for the court. Infringement for the jury. Contract. Want of novelty. Estoppel by deed and in pais. 120 Mass. 64.
- Jackson v. Breck. Mowing-machine. Decree. 11 Off. Gaz. 112.
- JACOBS v. BAKER. Jails and prisons. Improvement in plan of building jails not patentable. Original inventor. 7 Wall. 295.
- JACOBS v. COMMISSIONERS OF HAMILTON COUNTY. Jails and prisons. Commissioners are a quasi corporation only, and not liable for infringement, but the contractor is. General law of corporation's liability in tort. 1 Bond, 500; 4 Fisher, 81.

- Jeffries v. Wiester. Vapor-burner. Bill for reassignment.

 Agent employed to sell, buys himself. Sale annulled.

 Vendor entitled to account. Compensation of agent.

 Amount of proceeds to be accounted for. 2 Sawyer, 135.
- Jenkins v. Abbotts. Concrete pavement. Contract, royalty. Void patent. No consideration. Parol agreement void by Statute of Frauds. 54 N. H. 447.
- Jenkins v. Greenwald. Planing-machine. Jurisdiction. Assignee's right to injunction is by statute. Defendants stopping after service of injunction no reason why it should not be made perpetual. Right to make and to use are separate rights. Infringement. 1 Bond, 126; 2 Fisher, 37.
- Jenkins v. Johnson. Elastic packing. Construction of particular patent. Want of novelty. State of art. 9 Blatch. 516; 5 Fisher, 433.
- Jenkins v. Nicolson Pavement Co. Wood pavement. Assignment, construction of habendum clause. 1 Abbott, 567; 4 Fisher, 201.
- Jenkins v. Walker. Elastic packing. Defenses set up in answer must be proved. Want of novelty. Invention of compound should state proportions of ingredients. 1 Holmes, 120; 5 Fisher, 347; 1 Off. Gaz. 359.
- JOHNSEN v. BEARD. Cotton bale-ties. Different drawing for reissue. Defendant allowed to prove state at time of original. Original inventor. 8 Off. Gaz. 435.
- Johnsen v. Fassman. Cotton bale-ties. Patent prima facie evidence against abandonment. Principles of abandonment. Date of patent. Infringement, same principle. 1 Woods, 138; 5 Fisher, 471; 2 Off. Gaz. 94.
- JOHNSON v. McCabe. Fanning-mill. Promissory note. No consideration. False representations. Written contract, parol evidence. 37 Ind. 535.
- Johnson v. McCulloch. Wood-splitting machine. Exact time of expiration of patents is last hour of day. Extensions applied for ninety days before. It takes more than a model to anticipate a patent. 4 Fisher, 170.

- Johnson v. Root. Sewing-machine. Charge to jury. Construction of patents by court. Patent prima fucie evidence. Original inventor. Infringement, substantially the same machine. Comparison is for jury. Evidence of experts. Race of diligence. 1 Fisher, 351.
- Johnson v. Root. Sewing-machine. Motion for new trial. Patent prima facie evidence of original inventor. Burden of proof of earlier date by plaintiff. Date of inventions, laying aside part and then restoring it. Abandonment. Damages given by jury contrary to instructions. A charge of irregularity must be proved. 2 Cliff. 108; 2 Fisher, 291.
- Johnson v. Willimantic Linen Co. Dressing thread. Admissibility of evidence. Implied warranty on sale of patent. Patent prima facie evidence of novelty. Construction of particular patent. 33 Conn. 436.
- Joliffe v. Collins. Hay-rake. Written contract. No warranty by parol. Fraud. Total failure of consideration. Worthless note. Set-off. 21 Mo. 338.
- JONES v. BURNHAM. Preserving corn. Estoppel by license to dispute original inventor. Patent prima fucie valid. What a license is. Note without consideration. Fraud. 67 Maine, 93.
- Jones v. Field. Self-lubricating axles. Injunction denied owing to short time since issue of patent and no adjudication of its validity. 12 Blatch. 494.
- Jones v. Hodges. Preserving green corn. Preliminary injunctions. Not granted where strong doubt of novelty. Patentability. 1 Holmes, 37.
- JONES v. McMurry. Preserving green corn. Patent declared void for want of novelty, then reissned. Bad either because still void for want of novelty, or because not for same invention. 2 Hughes, 527; 13 Off. Gaz. 6.
- Jones r. Merrill. Preserving green corn. Preliminary injunctions. Prior decisions. Liberal construction of patents. Negligence of plaintiffs in preventing infringement has its weight in applications for injunctions. 8 Off. Gaz. 401.

- Jones v. Morehead. Locks. Want of novelty, particular patent. 1 Wall. 155.
- JONES v. NOYES. Preserving green corn. Preliminary injunctions. Prior decisions. Liberal construction of patents. Negligence of plaintiffs in preventing infringement has its weight in applications for injunctions. 8 Off. Gaz. 401.
- Jones v. Osgood. Zinc-white. Patent with bad specification. Defendant a director of corporation and without control is not responsible for infringement. Corporation sued in different state, quære. 6 Blatch. 435; 3 Fisher, 591.
- Jones v. Ostrander. Preserving green corn. Preliminary injunctions. Prior decisions. Liberal construction of patents. Negligence of plaintiffs in preventing infringement has its weight in applications for injunctions. 8 Off. Gaz. 401.
- Jones v. Sewall. Preserving green corn. Patents for process and for product. Patent prima facie evidence of original inventor. Want of novelty, new use. Two years' sale. Prior use abroad must be by printed publications. Abandonment, unavoidable delay. Patent sustained. 3 Cliff. 563; 6 Fisher, 343; 3 Off. Gaz. 630.
- Jones v. Vankirk. Lamps. Licensee's stamping goods is an acknowledgment that the goods so made are subject to the agreement. Infringement. 2 Fisher, 586.
- JORDAN v. DAYTON. Medicine. Practice must be according to State law. 4 Ohio, 295.
- JORDAN v. Dobson. Wool machinery. Assignments must be in writing. Non-joinder of parties plaintiff. Reissue not for same invention. Fraud must be proved. Power of Congress to renew patent, power of Commissioner under special act. Beginning of extensions. Want of novelty. Defense in answer. Acquiescence by plaintiff in infringement. 2 Abbott, 398; 7 Phila. (Penn.) 533; 4 Fisher, 232.
- JORDAN v. WALLACE. Wool machinery. Infringement should be distinctly and unevasively denied in answer. Incapacity of patentee when reissued. 5 Fisher, 185.

- JUDSON v. COPE. Valves for governors. Charge to jury. Uncertainty in specification. Want of novelty. Prior use here. Proof. Infringement, same principle. 1 Bond, 327; 1 Fisher, 615.
- JUDSON v. MOORE. Valves for governors. Charge to jury. Uncertainty in specification for the court. Want of novelty. Patent prima facie evidence. Notice of places and times. Utility. Infringement, damages. 1 Bond, 285; 1 Fisher, 544.
- Jurgensen v. Magnin. Stem-setting watches. Construction of particular patent. Comparison of the two patents. 9 Blatch. 294; 5 Fisher, 237.

K.

- Kelleher v. Darling. Mocassin pac. Special notice under general issue. Reissue not for same invention. Original inventor. Experts' ingenuity does not make a good patent. What reissues can effect. Printed publication, how pleaded and proved. Models do not anticipate. Mere delay is not abandonment. Public use for two years cannot be set up under general issue. Patent prima facie evidence. 14 Off. Gaz. 673.
- Kempton v. Bray. Boom-spring traveller. Assignment. Patent-rights can be divided only according to United States patent law. Territorial assignee cannot stop patentee or others from working under the patents outside of assignee's territory. 99 Mass. 350.
- Kendall v. Winsor. Weaver's harness. State court has no power to enjoin proceedings in United States courts. Patent jurisdiction. Parties as witnesses. 6 R. I. 453.
- Kendall v. Winson. Weaver's harness. Object of patent laws. General issue and notice of defenses. Admissibility of evidence. License not proved. Dedication a right. Delay while perfecting invention is not abandonment. An invention pirated and used does not deprive inventor of his right. Above affirmed. 21 How. 322.

- Kendrick v. Emmons. Weaver's harness. Preliminary injunctions. Infringement. 1 Holmes, 234; 6 Fisher, 462; 4 Off. Gaz. 398.
- KENDRICK v. EMMONS. Weaver's harness. English patent taken out surreptitiously will not defeat the inventor's right here. 9 Off. Gaz. 201.
- KENDRICK v. EMMONS. Weaver's harness. Evidence before a master, dividing royalties. 15 Off. Gaz. 966.
- Keplinger v. De Young. Watch-chain machine. Notice under general issue. Contract, interpretation of. Rights under the patent law. 10 Wheat. 358; 1 Robb, 458.
- Kernodle v. Hunt. Grist-mill. Debt on note. No consideration. Pleading, what is sufficient. Void patent. 4 Blackf. (Ind.) 57.
- Kerosene Lamp Heater Co. v. Littell. Lamps. Reissue can claim whatever is shown in original drawings. Reissue not for same invention. Aggregation of parts not patentable. Want of novelty. Infringement, combination. 13 Off. Gaz. 1009.
- KETCHUM HARVESTING MACHINE Co. v. JOHNSTON HARVESTER Co. Harvesters. Reissue not for same invention. Want of novelty. Particular patent. Infringement. '13 Off. Gaz. 178.
- KEYSTONE BRIDGE Co. v. PHENIX IRON Co. Iron truss bridges. Particular patent. Infringement, patents for use not infringed by making and selling. 5 Fisher, 468; 1 Off. Gaz. 471.
- Keystone Bridge Co. v. Phænix Iron Co. Iron truss bridges. Patents must be construed according to their language. Defects should be cured by reissue. Above affirmed. 5 Otto, 274; 12 Off. Gaz. 980.
- Kidd v. Spence. Bonnet-frames. Patents must be construed according to the claims. 4 Fisher, 37.
- KING v. HAMMOND. Bridges. Interpretation of particular patent. Want of novelty. Simple and economical things are not anticipated by complex and expensive ones. 4 Fisher, 488.

- King v. Louisville Cement Co. Baling-presses. Infringement, combination, well-known equivalents. 6 Fisher, 334; 4 Off. Gaz. 181.
- KING v. MAUDELBAUM. Fluting-machine. Interpretation of particular patent. Want of novelty. Infringement, comparison of the two machines. 8 Blatch. 468; 4 Fisher, 577.
- KING v. WERNER. Fluting-machine. Interpretation of particular patent. State of art. Meaning of "simultaneously."
 Infringement, mode of operation, mechanical equivalent.
 12 Blatch. 270; 8 Off. Gaz. 361.
- KINSMAN v. PARKHURST. Cotton-gin. Agreement, sales under it estop defendant from disputing validity of patent. Contract in restraint of trade. Profits and damages. 18 How. 289.
- Kirby v. Beardsley. Harvester. Reissue not for same invention. Particular patent. Want of novelty, change of location. Priority. 5 Blatch. 438; 3 Fisher, 265.
- Kirby v. Dodge and Stevenson Manufacturing Co. Harvester. Particular patent. Want of novelty, state of art. Original inventor. Reissues not for same invention. Decision of Supreme Court, entitled to deference, how much. Impracticability. Infringement not considered when patent is declared void. 10 Blatch. 307; 6 Fisher, 156; 3 Off. Gaz. 181.
- KITTLE v. FROST. Spring mattress. Particular patent. Want of novelty. Contract as a defense, interpretation of. 9 Blatch. 214; 5 Fisher, 213.
- KITTLE v. MERRIAM. Door-fastenings. Court construes, not corrects, patents. Drawings may correct an error in the text. 2 Curtis, 475.
- KLEIN v. PARK & Co. Eyes of picks. Want of novelty, last step being new makes all new. Infringement, formal change, same principle. 13 Off. Gaz. 5.
- KLEIN v. RUSSELL. Treating leather. Reissue not for same invention. Particular patent. Utility. 19 Wall. 333.

- KNEASS v. SCHUYLKILL BANK. Bank-note printing. Charge to jury. Books of science to aid the court. Infringement is for jury. Statutes in evidence under general issue. Defects in specification. Patentability. Damages. 4 Wash. 9; 1 Robb, 303.
- KNEASS v. SCHUYLKILL BANK. When costs are allowed, none by common law. 4 Wash. 106.
- KNIGHT v. BALTIMORE AND OHIO RAILROAD. Railway-cars. Charge to jury. Patent prima facie evidence of original inventor. Reissues are for defects in specification only. Taney, Dec. 106; 3 Fisher, 1.
- Knowles v. Peck. India-rubber. Violation of injunction at request of patentee's agent. Conspiracy charged. 42 Conn. 386.
- KNOX v. GREAT WESTERN QUICKSILVER MINING Co. What matter not redundant. Reference to prior suit. 3 Sawyer, 422; 14 Off. Gaz. 897.
- Knox v. Loweree. Fluting-machine. Date of invention, completed and in private use. Delay during war is not abandonment. Want of novelty. Infringement, same means, same result. 6 Off. Gaz. 802.
- KNOX v. MURTHA. Smut-mill. Infringement, construction of complainant's patent. Want of novelty. 9 Blatch. 205; 5 Fisher, 174.
- Kursheedt v. Werner. Fluting-machine. Particular patent. Prior interpretations. Infringement. 12 Blatch. 530; 8 Off. Gaz. 146.

L.

- LA BAW v. HAWKINS. Miter-machines. Reissue not for same invention. Prior use. Infringement, same principle. 6 Off. Gaz. 724.
- LAKE v. Fitzgerald. Vault-covers. Infringement, particular patent. 6 Fisher, 420.
- LAMP HEATER CO. (See KEROSENE LAMP HEATER CO.)

- LANE v. SMITH. Looms. Promissory note, to recover proceeds. Fraud. Interpretation of contract. 68 Maine, 178.
- LANGDON v. DE GROOT. Preparing cotton. What utility is. Defective specifications. 1 Paine, 203. 1 Robb, 433.
- LARABEE v. CORTLAN. Shower-baths. Charge to jury. Particular patent. Original inventor. Patent prima facie evidence. Taney, Dec. 180; 3 Fisher, 5.
- Latta v. Shawk. Steam generator. Charge to jury. Patents for combinations, want of novelty of patents. Infringement, same principle. 1 Bond, 259; 1 Fisher, 465.
- LEATHER SPLITTING Co. (See AMERICAN HIDE AND LEATHER SPLITTING Co.)
- LEE v. BLANDY. Circular-saws. Charge to jury. Certified copies of assignments. License to defendant is evidence of utility. Two inventions in one patent. Two kinds of patentable combinations. Infringement, same principle. 1 Bond, 361; 2 Fisher, 89.
- LEONARD v. BARNUM. Thimble-skeins for wagons. State jurisdiction over patents. Sales injurious to infants made by administrator or guardian, set aside. 34 Wis. 105.
- LEROY v. TATHAM. Tube-making. What "principle" means. Patentability in general. Result not patentable. Particular patent. 14 How. 156.
- LEROY v. TATHAM. Tube-making. Particular patent. Clearness in specification. Difference in result patentable as showing difference in process. 22 How. 132.
- Lester v. Palmer. Loose horse-car wheel. Note. Void patent, no consideration. 4 Allen (Mass.), 145.
- LIDDLE v. CORY. Hot-air furnace. Contempt, violation of injunction. Article not sold till after decree, issue not properly raised on motion for contempt. 7 Blatch. 1.
- LIGHTNER v. BOSTON AND ALBANY RAILROAD. Axle-boxes for railways. A new corporation can use patented cars licensed to the corporation which it supersedes. 1 Lowell, 338.

- LIGHTNER v. BROOKS. Axle-boxes for railways. Corporation not responsible for infringement by a contractor. General responsibility in tort. Contracts by chairman of corporation. 2 Cliff. 287.
- LIGHTNER v. KIMBALL. Axle-boxes for railways. Responsibility of agents in tort. Agents of corporations having nothing to do with use of patented article do not infringe. 1 Lowell, 211.
- LINDSAY v. RORABACK. Chemical soap. Jurisdiction in patents. Pleading, allegation of fraud. 4 Jones, Eq. (N. C.) 124.
- LIPPINCOTT v. Kelly. Planing-machine. Disclaimer, unreasonable delay. 1 West. L. J. 513.
- LITTLEFIELD v. PERRY. Coal-burner. Jurisdiction where both parties are citizens of same State. Assignments and licenses. Licensee cannot sue. Agreement. Equitable and legal titles. Infringement, damages and profits. 21 Wall. 205; 7 Off. Gaz. 964.
- Livingston v. Jones. Door-locks. Experts and professors as witnesses. Comparison of machines. Original inventor. Utility. 1 Fisher, 521.
- Livingston v. Jones. Door-locks. Master's report. Gains and profits. Rule of damages. No vindictive injunctions. Validity of particular patent. 3 Wall. Jr. 330; 2 Fisher, 207.
- Livingston v. Van Ingen. Patent for navigation of particular waters. No jurisdiction of United States court, both parties being of same State. Suit at law not in equity. 1 Paine, 45.
- LIVINGSTON v. WOODWORTH. Planing-machine. Objection of misjoinder is too late after decree. Decree, if granted, must be in accordance with prayer of the bill. 15 How. 546.
- Lock Co. (See Norwalk Lock Co.)
- LOCKWOOD v. LOCKWOOD. Sled-runners. Invention by employé. Immaterial affidavits. Jurisdiction of State courts. 33 Iowa, 198.

- LOCOMOTIVE ENGINE SAFETY TRUCK Co. v. ERIE RAILROAD, Railway-trucks. Particular patent. Want of novelty. Title of patent is not conclusive. 10 Blatch. 292; 6 Fisher, 187; 3 Off. Gaz. 93.
- LOCOMOTIVE ENGINE SAFETY TRUCK Co. v. PENNSYLVANIA RAILROAD. Railway-trucks. Particular patent. Want of novelty. Title of patent is not conclusive. Abandonment, public use. Patentability of combination. 6 Off. Gaz. 927.
- LOOM CO. (See Webster Loom Co.)
- LORILLARD & Co. v. McDowell. Labelled tobacco. Want of novelty. Reissue not for same invention, inadvertence. 34 Leg. Int. 78; 24 Pitts. L. J. 119; 11 Off. Gaz. 640.
- LOUDON v. Birt. Door-plates. Note assigned. Pleading, fraud. Record of assignments. Defenses under general issue. What payment is made in. *4 Ind. 566.
- Lowell v. Lewis. Pumps. Charge to jury. Patent prima facie evidence of novelty and utility. What "useful" means. Clearness in specification. Infringement, change of form. 1 Mason, 182; 1 Robb, 131.
- Lowell Manufacturing Co. v. Hartford Carpet Co. Power-looms. Interpretation of agreement, extension. 2 Fisher, 472.
- Lyman Ventilating and Refrigerator Co. v. Chamber-Lain. Method of cooling rooms. Prior construction of patent adopted. No infringement. 10 Off. Gaz. 588.
- Lyman Ventilating and Refrigerator Co. v. Lalor. Method of cooling rooms. Particular patent. Want of novelty. Infringement, same principle. 12 Blatch. 303; 6 Off. Gaz. 642.

M.

Mabie v. Haskell. Shoe-last. Clearness in specification. State of art. Object of the law. Construction of patents by the court. Original inventor. Infringement, special denial. 2 Cliff. 507.

- Magic Ruffle Co. v. Douglass. Ruffles, sewing-machine. Charge to jury. Validity for jury. Particular patent. Patent prima facie evidence of original inventor. Utility. Defective specification. Damages. 2 Fisher, 330.
- MAGIC RUFFLE Co. v. ELM CITY Co. Ruffles. Contract, estoppel to dispute validity. Infringement, choice of remedies. Want of novelty. Damages in equity. 13 Blatch. 151; 8 Off. Gaz. 773.
- MAGIC RUFFLE Co. v. ELM CITY Co. Ruffles. Jurisdiction of bills for discovery. Master's report. Damages. Interlocutory orders subject to revision. Forfeiture by laches. 14 Blatch. 109; 11 Off. Gaz. 501.
- Mahn v. Harwood. Base-ball covering. Want of novelty. State of art. 14 Off. Gaz. 859.
- MALLORY v. RAHMER. Men's hats. Infringement. 8 Blatch. 556; 4 Fisher, 632.
- MALLORY v. WHITE. Men's hats. Infringement, same means, same purpose. Want of novelty. 8 Blatch. 552; 4 Fisher, 628.
- Maltby v. Bobo. Nail-pullers. Salesman is liable for selling, though without interest. 14 Blatch. 53.
- MANN v. BAYLISS. Harvesters. No infringement, essentially different. 10 Off. Gaz. 113; s. c. 10 Off. Gaz. 789.
- MANUFACTURING Co. v. Bussing. (See Gilbert and Barker Manufacturing Co.)
- MANUFACTURING Co. v. CLARK. (See GONG BELL MANUFACTURING Co.)
- MANUFACTURING Co. v. CORBIN. (See Hopkins, &c. Manufacturing Co.)
- MANUFACTURING Co. v. CORNING. (See GOULD'S MANUFACTURING Co.)
- MANUFACTURING Co. v. DIATITE Co. (See FLORENCE MANUFACTURING Co.)

¹ More extended report. Liberal construction of patents.

- MANUFACTURING Co. v. Du Brul. (See Miller Manufacturing Co.)
- Manufacturing Co. v. Fiske. (See Boston Manufacturing Co.)
- MANUFACTURING Co. v. HARTFORD Co. (See LOWELL MAN-UFACTURING Co.)
- MANUFACTURING Co. v. HAYDEN. (See SUFFOLK MANUFACTURING Co.)
- MANUFACTURING Co. v. LANE. (See AMERICAN MANUFACTURING Co.)
- MANUFACTURING Co. v. LOUNSBURY. (See UNION MANUFACTURING Co.)
- Manufacturing Co. v. Mallory. (See Russell and Erwin Manufacturing Co.)
- MANUFACTURING Co. v. PARKER AND WHIPPLE MANUFACTURING Co. (See HOPKINS, &c. MANUFACTURING Co.)
- Manufacturing Co. v. Prime. (See Brandon Manufacturing Co.)
- Manufacturing Co. v. Tirrell. (See Gilbert and Barker Manufacturing Co.)
- MANUFACTURING Co. v. WALWORTH MANUFACTURING Co. (See GILBERT AND BARKER MANUFACTURING Co.)
- Manufacturing Co. v. White. (See Gorham Manufacturing Co.)
- Manufacturing Co. v. Woodruff. (See Sargent Manufacturing Co.)
- Manyel v. Holdredge. Contract to reassign. 45 N. Y. 151.
- Many v. Jagger. Car-wheels. Charge to jury. Assignment, admissibility of evidence. Particular patent. Improvement need not describe machine improved on. Want of novelty, abandoned experiments. Test of identity. Utility. 1 Blatch. 372.

- MANY v. Sizer. Car-wheels. Charge to jury. Patent prima facie evidence. Priority. Abandoned experiments. Original inventor. Utility. Infringement, greater utility. Expert testimony. Damages. 1 Fisher, 17.
- Many v. Sizer. Car-wheels. Preliminary injunctions. Verdict at law. Constructions of patents. Decisions of other federal judges. 1 Fisher, 31.
- MARSH v. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS. Cultivators. Rejecting patents. Abandonment, non-action for eighteen years, original reason immaterial. 3 Bissell, 321.
- MARSH v. Dodge. Rakes. Royalty. Evidence, admissibility of. Profits. 4 Hun (N. Y.), 278; 6 Th. & C. (N. Y.) 568.
- MARSH v. Dodge and Stevenson Manufacturing Co. Reapers. Result not patentable. Change of location not patentable, what is necessary in addition. 6 Fisher, 562; 5 Off. Gaz. 398.
- MARSH v. SAYLES. Cultivators. Abandonment, too long delay, and invention by another. 5 Fisher, 610; 2 Off. Gaz. 398.
- Marsh v. Seymour. Cultivators. Reissues in general. Too broad assignments of error. What is invention. Reissue not for same invention. Want of novelty. Several reasons for invalidity. Damages. Infringement, comparison of the machines is best test. 7 Otto, 348; 13 Off. Gaz. 723.
- MARSTON v. SWETT. Stoves. Owners in common. Parol agreement, Statute of Frauds. Invalidity as a defense. Counter-claims. 4 Ilun (N. Y.), 153; 6 Th. & C. (N. Y.) 534.
- MASON v. GRAHAM. Looms. Particular patent. Want of novelty. Profits. Decree reversed. 23 Wall. 261; 7 Off. Gaz. 833.
- Mason v. Rowley. Jurisdiction of court of District of Columbia. Mandamus to Commissioner of Patents. 3 A. L. T. (U. S.) R. 8.
- MASURY v. Anderson. Paint-cans. Want of novelty, particular patent. 11 Blatch. 162; 6 Fisher, 457; 4 Off. Gaz. 55.

- MASURY v. TIEMANN. Paint-cans. Infringement, circular as evidence. Want of novelty. 8 Blatch. 426; 4 Fisher, 524.
- Matthews v. Skates. Metallic packing. Charge to jury.

 Date of invention. Infringement, intent, equivalents.

 Want of novelty. Patent prima facie evidence. Original inventor, suggestions. 1 Fisher, 602.
- MAY v. Chaffee. Stave-machine. Territorial grants, what rights are conveyed. 2 Dillon, 385; 5 Fisher, 160.
- McBurney v. Goodyear. India-rubber. Contract, unreasonable delay, to elect. Reissues are not new patents. Contract before applies after reissue. 11 Cushing (Mass.), 569.
- McCay v. Burr. Bridges. Deficiency of notice of special matter cannot be supplied by special plea. License. Abandonment. 6 Penn. St. 147.
- McClure v. Jeffrey. Force-pumps. Note, consideration, implied warranty. 8 Ind. 79.
- McClurg v. Kingsland. Chilled rollers. Invention by employé. Purchase by corporation before patent issues is protected. Interpretation of statute. 1 How. 202; 2 Robb, 105.
- McComb v. Beard. Metallic bale-ties. Particular patent. Infringement, same result, same means. 10 Blatch. 350; 6 Fisher, 254; 3 Off. Gaz. 33.
- McComb v. Brodie. Metallic bale-ties. Charge to jury. Particular patent. Thirty days' notice of defense. Patent prima facie evidence of novelty. Patentability. Infringement, damages. Patented articles must be marked so. 1 Woods, 153; 5 Fisher, 381; 2 Off. Gaz. 117.
- McComb v. Ernest. Metallic bale-ties. Patentee may sue for any one device covered by his patent. What "utility" is. Want of novelty. Extensions strengthen presumption of patent's validity. Patentability of changes which produce new results. What "undisturbed possession" is. When injunctions will issue against defendants holding a patent. 1 Woods, 195.
- McCormick r. Manny. Reaper. Particular patent. Infringement, combination. A part not new can only be claimed in combination. Want of novelty. Patents for improvements. Public use, equivalents. 6 McLean, 539.

- McCormick v. Seymour. Reaper. Charge to jury. Want of novelty. Original inventor. Patentability. Infringement, ideas of plaintiff. Abandonment, sale by inventor within two years not conclusive. Damages, actual damages and profits he would have made. Reversed. 2 Blatch. 240.
- McCormick v. Seymour. Reaper. Charge to jury. Peculiar disadvantages. What novelty is. Patentability of improvements. Abandoned experiments. Infringement, appropriation of plaintiff's ideas. Damages. 3 Blatch. 209.
- McCormick v. Talcott. Reaper. Patents for combinations, only infringed by same combination. Patentee for improvements not entitled to equivalents. 20 How. 402.
- McCully v. Cunninghams. Fruit-jar. Want of novelty, utility. 19 Pitts. L. J. 142.
- McDonald v. Blackmer. Skirt-protector. Patent sustained. 9 Off. Gaz. 746.
- McDougall v. Fogg. Gas machinery. Contract, plaintiff must show performance on his side. Patent only prima facie valid. Exclusion of evidence. 2 Bosw. (N. Y.) 387.
- McDowell v. Meredith. Corn-sheller. Notice of evidence, sufficient. Deed, patent, no title. 4 Whart. (Penn.) 311.
- McGaw v. Bryan. Staining India silks. Want of novelty. Application to repeal patent. 1 U. S. L. J. 582.
- McKay v. Wooster. Egg-transporter. Article sold passes out of monopoly. What rights territorial assignees have. Assignments without restriction. 2 Sawyer, 373; 6 Fisher, 375; 3 Off. Gaz. 441.
- McKernan v. Hite. Note. Assignment, recording, valid without. 6 Ind. 428.
- McMahon v. Tyng. Car-wheels. Rulings before defendant's case is put in. Adverse report of examiner on extension not competent to show want of novelty. 14 Allen (Mass.), 167.

- McMillin v. Barclay. Capstans. Equity jurisdiction over patents, prior suit at law not a prerequisite. Patent prima facie evidence of original inventor. Public use. Abandonment, want of novelty. 5 Fisher, 189.
- Meissner v. Devoe Manufacturing Co. Stop-valves for casks. Patentee has to stand or fall by his claims. Particular patent, same form. 9 Blatch. 363; 5 Fisher, 285; 2 Off. Gaz. 545.
- Mellus v. Silsbee. Plank-protector. Dedication. On sale, consont of patentee. 4 Mason, 108; 1 Robb, 506.
- MERCHANT v. Lewis. Water-wheel. Construction of sect. 14 of Patent Act of 1836. Damages in discretion of the court. 1 Bond, 172.
- MERRIAM v. DRAKE. Whip-sockets. Particular patent. State of art. Patentability. No infringement. 9 Blatch. 336; 5 Fisher, 259.
- MERRIAM v. VAN NEST. Whip-sockets. Infringement, different methods. 13 Off. Gaz. 597.
- MERRILL, RUFUS S. Lamps. Appeals from Commissioner. Two inventions are the same if so in fact, though different in effect. 5 Off. Gaz. 120.
- MERRILL v. YEOMANS. Hydrocarbon oils. Particular patent. State of art. Claims for art and manufacture. Infringement, different process. 1 Holmes, 331; 5 Off. Gaz. 267.
- MERRILL v. YEOMANS. Hydrocarbon oils. Process not infringed by sale of product. Claims must be regarded distinct from specification. Invention generally. New combinations. Rights of inventors. Clearness in specification. Above affirmed. 4 Otto, 568; 11 Off. Gaz. 970.
- Mers v. Conover. Wood-splitting machine. What "profits" are. Decree affirmed. 11 Off. Gaz. 1111.
- Merserole v. Union Paper Collar Co. Paper collars. Jurisdiction, citizenship, invalidity of patents. Suits to vacate patents. 6 Blatch. 356; 3 Fisher, 483.

- METALLIC CARTRIDGE Co. (See Union METALLIC CARTRIDGE Co.)
- METROPOLITAN WASHING MACHINE Co. v. EARLE. Indiarubber. Agreements. Patentee cannot control use of thing sold. Public will not recognize his agreement with licensees destroying competition. 3 Wall. Jr. 320; 2 Fisher, 203.
- METROPOLITAN WASHING MACHINE Co. v. PROVIDENCE Tool Co. Clothes-wringers. Reissue not for same invention, apparent on its face or not. State of the art. I Holmes, 161.
- METROPOLITAN WASHING MACHINE Co. v. PROVIDENCE TOOL Co. Clothes-wringer. Particular patent. Above affirmed. 20 Wall. 342.
- METROPOLITAN WRINGING MACHINE Co. v. Young. Clotheswringers. Particular patent. Reissue not for same invention. Infringement. 14 Blatch. 46.
- MEYER v. BAILEY. Hydrants. "Assignees" and "grantees." Assignees must join in surrender and reissue. 8 Off. Gaz. 437.
- MEYER v. PRITCHARD. Rubber overshoes. Particular patent. Want of novelty. New application of old device not patentable. 12 Blatch. 101; 7 Off. Gaz. 1012.
- Michigan Southern and Northern Indiana Railroad v. Turrill. (See Cawood Patent.)
- MIDDLEBROOK v. BROADBENT. Paper-making. Contract, State court has jurisdiction even if validity of patent is involved. 47 N. Y. 443.
- MIDDLETOWN TOOL Co. v. Judd. Self-mousing hook. Title of complainants to reissue prima facie. Reissue not for same invention. Patent prima facie valid. Want of novelty. Original inventor. Colorable changes. Amount of invention required. 3 Fisher, 141.
- MIDDLINGS PURIFYING Co. (See AMERICAN MIDDLINGS PURIFIER Co.)

- MIDKIFF v. Boggess. Steel spring. Note, void patent, no consideration. 15 Ind. 210.
- MILLER v. Androscoggin Pulp Co. Wood pulp. Preliminary injunctions. State of the art. Particular patent. Undisputed possession. 1 Holmes, 142; 5 Fisher, 340; 1 Off. Gaz. 409.
- MILLER & Co. v. BRIDGEPORT BRASS Co. Lamps. Particular patent. Reissue not for same invention. Combination changed. 14 Blatch. 282; 12 Off. Gaz. 667.
- MILLER AND PETERS MANUFACTURING Co. v. Du Brul. Cigar-moulds. Power of Commissioner to reissue. Reissue not for same invention. Degree of novelty required. 12 Off. Gaz. 351.
- MILLER'S FALLS Co. v. IVES & Co. Bit-stocks. Particular patent. Want of novelty. Equivalents. 14 Blatch. 169; 14 Off. Gaz. 203.
- MILLIGAN AND HIGGINS GLUE Co. v. Upton. Glue. Reissue not for same invention. Power of Commissioner to reissue. Frand not open. Want of novelty. Particular patent. Patentability, greater convenience. 6 Off. Gaz. 837.
- MILLIGAN AND HIGGINS GLUE Co. v. UPTON. Glue. Want of novelty, mere change of form. 7 Otto, 3.
- MINI'S ASSIGNEE v. ADAMS. (See CHILD v. ADAMS.)
- MITCHELL v. HAWLEY. Felting hats. Articles sold pass out of monopoly of patent. Distinction between right to make and vend and right to use. Patentee's rights against purchasers. Decree affirmed. 16 Wall. 544; 3 Off. Gaz. 241.
- MITCHELL v. TILGHMAN. Fats. Exclusive jurisdiction is in circuit courts. Particular patent. Originality. Practicalness. Infringement, same process. 19 Wall. 287.
- MOFFITT v. GAAR. Threshing-machine. Patent-rights the creature of statute. Surrendered patents. Infringement before reissue. 1 Bond, 315; 1 Fisher, 610.
- MOFFITT v. GAAR. Threshing-machine. Surrendered patent stops suits, and they cannot be revived. Above affirmed. 1 Black, 273.

- Monce v. Adams. Glass-cutter. Particular patent. Utility and economy. Want of novelty. State of art. Ambiguity in patents. 12 Blatch. 1; 7 Off. Gaz. 177.
- Montague v. Boston and Fairhaven Iron Works. Printing-press. Foreclosure and redemption of mortgage. Equity jurisdiction of State court. 108 Mass. 248.
- Moody v. Fiske. Cotton-speeder. Patent claiming several improvements is avoided by showing that one was not original. Infringement generally. Combinations. 2 Mason, 112; 1 Robb, 312.
- Moody v. Taber. Corsets. Particular patent. Want of novelty, weak evidence. 1 Holmes, 325; 5 Off. Gaz. 273.
- Moore v. Bare. Lath-machine. Contract, assignment. Title to convey. Defendant's title, defective. 11 Iowa, 198.
- MOORE v. MARSH. Grain-drills. Interest required for suit at law on patents. Inventor who has parted with patent can sue for infringements while he owned it. 7 Wall. 515.
- MOORE v. THOMAS. Grain-drills. Particular patent. Infringement. 14 Off. Gaz. 1.
- Morehead v. Jones. Door-locks. Amendment to answer, striking out admission of use of articles, no laches. 3 Wall. Jr. 306.
- Morey v. Lockwood. Syringe. Reissue not for same invention. Want of novelty. 8 Wall. 230.
- MORRIS v. BARRETT. Wood-bending machine. Charge to jury. Experts as witnesses. Infringement. 1 Bond, 254; 1 Fisher, 461.
- Morris v. Huntington. Stop-cock. Charge to jury. "Use" means by others than patentee. Prior patent not surrendered or repealed avoids present patent. "Vacatur." Estoppel of patentee to dispute his own patent. 1 Paine, 348; 1 Robb, 448.
- Morris v. Lowell Manufacturing Co. Cotton-gin. Preliminary injunctious, circumstances of the parties, irreparable mischief, responsibility of defendant. 3 Fisher, 67.

- Morris v. Royer. Wood-bending machine. Reissue not for same invention, strong legal presumption the other way. Want of novelty. Infringement, comparison of the two machines. 2 Bond, 66; 3 Fisher, 176.
- Morris v. Shelburne. Dredging-machine. Preliminary injunctions, no prior decisions, single machine, security for costs. 8 Blatch. 266; 4 Fisher, 377.
- Morse v. Bain. Telegraph. Date of foreign patents. More than one reissue. Reissue not for same invention. 9
 West. L. J. 106.
- Morse v. Davis. Harvesters. General issue, special pleas. Hypothetical pleas are bad. Sales by agent. 5 Blatch. 40.
- Morse v. Oreilly. Telegraph. Equity never enforces forfeiture. Contract. Injunction against waste. 6 Penn. L. J. 501.
- Morse Fountain Pen Co. v. Esterbrook Steel Pen Manufacturing Co. Fountain pens. Preliminary injunctions. Verbal admission of infringement. Infringement clear. 3 Fisher, 515.
- Morse Twist Drill and Machine Co. v. Morse. Twist-drills. Contracts in restraint of trade. Agreement to convey future inventions. 103 Mass. 73.
- MORTON v. NEW YORK EYE INFIRMARY. Surgical operations. Patent-rights are creature of statute. Particular patent. Want of novelty. 5 Blatch. 116; 2 Fisher, 320.
- MOTTE v. BENNETT. Planing-machine. Seventh amendment. Suits for infringement by jury. Treble damages by sect. 14 of act of 1836. Suit at law not a prerequisite. Trials by jury. Practice in England and here. Infringement is a question for the jury. 2 Fisher, 642.
- Mowry v. Grand Street and Newtown Railroad. Horsecar brakes. Construction of assignment, effect on extension. Title of plaintiffs. 10 Blatch. 89; 5 Fisher, 586.

- Mowry v. Whitney. Car-wheels. State of art. Particular patent. Want of novelty. Utility. Infringement, same process. Accounts, profits. Decree reversed. 14 Wall. 620; 5 Fisher, 494; 1 Off. Gaz. 492.
- Mowry v. Whitney. Car-wheels. Scire facias. Government alone can vacate patents. 14 Wall. 434; 5 Fisher, 513; 1 Off. Gaz. 499.
- MULFORD v. Pearce. Chains for necklaces. Particular patent, want of novelty. 13 Blatch. 173; 9 Off. Gaz. 204.
- Mulford v. Pearce. Chains for necklaces. Master's report. Damages, profits by use of patented over unpatented articles. 14 Blatch. 141; 11 Off. Gaz. 741.
- Mulliken v. Latchem. Renovating feathers. Note, assignment not recorded, so no title in seller. 7 Blackf. (Ind.) 136.
- Munde v. Lambie. Folding-tables. Assignment, admissibility of evidence. 122 Mass. 336.
- Munroe v. Dover Stamping Co. Egg-beater. Particular patent. Infringement. 1 Holmes, 413; 6 Off. Gaz. 685.
- Murphy v. Eastham. Brush-head. Original inventor. Abandoned experiments. Infringement, change of form. 1 Holmes, 113; 5 Fisher, 306; 2 Off. Gaz. 61.
- MURPHY v. Kissling. Brush-head. Infringement, change of form. 1 Holmes, 432; 7 Off. Gaz. 302.
- Muscan Hair Manufacturing Co. v. American Hair Manufacturing Co. Treating moss. Preliminary injunctions, short time since issue of patent, exclusive possession, slight proof of infringement. 4 Blatch. 174; 1 Fisher, 320.
- MYERS v. DUNBAR. Sawing-machine. Particular patent. Disclaimers. When costs are not allowed. Original inventor. Infringement, mechanical change. 8 Blatch. 446; 4 Fisher, 493.
- Myers v. Dunbar. Attachment for payment of Master's fees. 8 Off. Gaz. 321.

- Myers v. Frame. Sawing-machine. Particular patent. Disclaimers. When costs are not allowed. Original inventor. Infringement, mechanical change. 8 Blatch. 446; 4 Fisher, 493.
- MYERS v. Swift. Sawing-machine. Particular patent. Disclaimers. When costs are not allowed. Original inventor. Infringement, mechanical change. 8 Blatch. 446; 4 Fisher, 493.

N.

- NAIL FACTORY. (See TROY IRON AND NAIL FACTORY.)
- NATIONAL CAR SPRING Co. v. Union CAR Spring Co. Rubber springs. Reissue not for same invention. Reissues by statute. Want of novelty, abandoned experiments. 12 Blatch. 80; 6 Off. Gaz. 224.
- NATIONAL FILTERING OIL Co. v. ARCTIC OIL Co. Purifying oil. Original inventor, want of novelty. Printed publications. 4 Fisher, 514.
- NATIONAL HAY RAKE Co. v. HARBERT. Bill not sworn to praying injunction and discovery under oath, held sufficient on demurrer. Domicile of corporation not necessarily stated. 2 Weekly Notes, 100.
- NEEDHAM v. WASHBURN. Car-wheels. Patentability in general. Original inventor, patent prima facie evidence. Defendants sued as copartners turn out to be corporation, may be cured by amendment. Want of novelty, particular patent. 7 Off. Gaz. 649.
- Nellis v. McLanahan. Hay-elevators. Multifariousness, several patents, must allege that all are infringed by same machine. 6 Fisher, 286.
- Nesmith v. Calvert. Cotton-cleaner. Jurisdiction under United States laws. Contract, specific performance and account. When objection of citizenship should be taken. Interpretation of contract. 1 W. & M. 34; 2 Robb. 311.
- NEVINS v. JOHNSON. Dough-machine. Equity jurisdiction of circuit courts in patents. Expired patents. 3 Blatch. 80.

- Newbury v. Bay State Screw Co. Agreement to assign annulled, no action lies. 7 Allen, 257.
- Newell v. Gatling. Wheat-drill. Rescission of contract, false representations. 7 Ind. 147.
- Newell v. West. Recording assignments. Right of executrix. 13 Blatch. 114; 8 Off. Gaz. 598; s. c. 9 Off. Gaz. 1110.
- NEW YORK. (See CITY OF NEW YORK.)
- NEW YORK RUBBER Co. v. CHASKEL. Elastic toy. Infringement, particular patent. 9 Off. Gaz. 923.
- NEW YORK WIRE RAIL Co. v. WALKER. Wire fences. Contempt, violation of injunction. 2 Fisher, 179.
- NICHOLS v. NEWELL. Lamps. Word "patent" put on unpatented articles. Penalty. 1 Fisher, 647.
- NICHOLS v. PEARCE. Hat-pouncing. Original inventor. Proper parties defendant. 7 Blatch. 5.
- NICKEL CO. (See UNITED NICKEL CO.)
- NICOLSON PAVEMENT Co. (See AMERICAN NICOLSON PAVEMENT Co.)
- NORTH v. KERSHAW. Harness-saddles. Preliminary injunctions, no prior decisions, right and infringement must be clear. 4 Blatch. 70.
- NORTHWESTERN FIRE EXTINGUISHER Co. v. PHILADELPHIA FIRE EXTINGUISHER Co. Extinguishing fires. Misnomer of one inventor in a patent. Administrator's appointment not open collaterally. Patents to administrators. Want of novelty, printed publications. Rejected patent as evidence. Practicability, abandoned experiments. 6 Off. Gaz. 34.
- NORWALK LOCK Co. v. BERGER. Locks. Decree. 13 Off. Gaz. 47.
- Nourse v. Allen. Reaper. Multifariousness, several patents, connected use. 4 Blatch. 376; 3 Fisher, 63.
- Nusbaum v. Emery. Distilling-meters. Patented articles prescribed by government. Money deposited for them is not recoverable. Distiller estopped. 3 Bissell, 469.

NYE v. RAYMOND. Saw-mill. Bond, invalidity of patent as a defense. 16 Ill. 153.

0.

- Odiorne v. Amesbury Nail Factory. Nail-machines. Two patents for same invention at same time not allowed. 2 Mason, 28; 1 Robb, 300.
- ODIORNE v. DENNEY. Umbrella-cases. Infringement, colorable alterations. 13 Off. Gaz. 965.
- ODIORNE v. WINKLEY. Nail-machines. Testing credibility of witness. Infringement, colorable alterations. Who is entitled to a patent. Surreptitious patents. 2 Gall. 51; 1 Robb, 52.
- OGLE v. EGE. Plow. Assignee cannot sue in equity. Rules for granting injunctions. 4 Wash. 584; 1 Robb, 516.
- OIL CO. (See NATIONAL FILTERING OIL CO.)
- OLCOTT v. HAWKINS. Planing-machine. Infringement, particular patent. 2 Am. L. J. (9 Penn. L. J.) 317.
- OLIVER, FINNIE, & Co. v. Morgan. Yeast. Interpretation of agreement, consideration. Rights of administratrix versus assignee. Damages. 10 Heisk. (Tenn.) 322.
- ORE CO. (See GOLD AND SILVER ORE CO.)
- Original inventor, particular patent. Information obtained from scientific men. 15 How. 62.
- Ormsbee v. Wood. Camera, plate-holder. Particular patent. 3 Fisher, 372.
- ORR v. Badger. Air-tight stoves. Dissolving injunctions, long possession by plaintiff, discretion of the court, verdict at law. 7 Law Rep. 465.
- ORR v. Burwell. Saw. Interpretation of contract. 15 Ala. 378.
- Orr v. Littlefield. Stoves. Injunctions, undisturbed possession, sleeping on his rights, injury to defendants. 1 W. & M. 13; 2 Robb, 323.

- ORR v. MERRILL. Stoves. Dissolution of injunctions. Trials at law. Proper answers. 1 W. & M. 376; 2 Robb, 331.
- Osborn v. Herron. Washing-machine. Rejection of evidence. Receiving notes as agent, void notes, machines sold. 28 Ga. 313.

Ρ.

- Pacific Iron Works v. Newhall. Steam-engines. Foreclosure of mortgage. Notes for payment. Seller not inventor. Interpretation of contract. Illegal representations. Set-off. 34 Conn. 67.
- PACKET Co. v. Sickles. Steam-engine. Interpretation of parol contract. 10 How. 419.
- PACKET Co. v. Sickles. Steam-engine. Rule of court not made part of bill of exceptions. Damages. References to a Master. 19 Wall. 611.
- PACKING Co. (See WILSON PACKING Co.)
- PAGE v. DICKERSON. Working iron. State jurisdiction in patent suits. Note, no consideration, false representations. 28 Wis. 694.
- Page v. Ferry. Circular-saw mills. Charge to jury. General issue. Infringement. Object of patent laws. Patentability. 1 Fisher, 298.
- Paper Bag Machine Co. (See Union Paper Bag Machine Co.)
- Paper Co. (See American Wood Paper Co.)
- PAPER COLLAR CO. (See UNION PAPER COLLAR CO.)
- PARHAM v. AMERICAN BUTTON-HOLE, OVERSEAMING, AND SEWING MACHINE Co. Sewing-machine. Authority to reissue. Reissue not for same invention. Mere function not patentable. Want of novelty. Infringement, combination. 4 Fisher, 468.
- PARK v. LITTLE. Fire-engines. Agreement to assign does not bar suit. Novelty, utility, infringement. 3 Wash. 196; 1 Robb, 17.

Caldain 2. Cardoch, 20 44 1893.

- Parker v. Bamker. Water-wheel. No plea being filed, declaration is taken as admitted. Damages. 6 McLean, 631.
- PARKER v. BIGLER. Water-wheel. Retaxing costs, expense of models, marshal's fees for witnesses out of State. 1 Fisher, 285.
- PARKER v. BRANT. Water-wheel. Preliminary injunctions. Verdicts at law. Want of novelty. 1 Fisher, 58.
- Parker v. Corbin. Water-wheels. Unwitting infringement, only compensatory damages. 4 McLean, 462; 2 Robb, 736.
- PARKER v. FERGUSON. Water-wheel. Want of novelty. 1 Blatch, 407.
- PARKER v. HALLOCK. No statute of limitations in patents. 2 Fisher, 543.
- PARKER v. HATFIELD. Water-wheel. Issues for jury or for masters. Injunction may be issued on such report. Want of novelty. 4 McLean, 61.
- Parker v. Hawk. Water-wheel. Plea of State statute of limitations is good. 2 Fisher, 58.
- PARKER v. HAWORTH. Water-wheels. Assignments in whole or part. Allegation that infringement was contrary to statute is not necessary. Infringement, combination, must use all the parts. 4 McLean, 370; 2 Robb, 725.
- Parker v. Hulme. Water-wheel. Charge to jury. Construction of patent is for court. Patentability. Original inventor, patent prima facie evidence. Infringement, damages. 1 Fisher, 44.
- Parker v. Remhof. Box-fastenings. Infringement, equivalents. Want of novelty, burden of proof. 14 Off. Gaz. 601.
- PARKER v. SEARS. Water-wheel. Preliminary injunctions, what court is bound to do, hardship on defendant. 1 Fisher, 93.
- Parker v. Stiles. Water-wheel. Charge to jury. Patents are not monopolies. Uncertainty in specification. Patents are liberally construed. Original inventor. Want of novelty. Patentability, utility. Infringement, burden of proof, mechanical equivalents. 5 McLean, 44.

- PARKHURST v. KINSMAN. Cotton-machine. Original inventor. Estoppel by agreement. Agreement in restraint of trade. Change of form. 1 Blatch. 488.
- Parsons v. Barnard. No State jurisdiction in patent suits. 7 Johns. (N. Y.) 144.
- Patterson v. Commonwealth. Illuminating oils. Sales of patented articles. State legislation. Right of patentee to sell. Injury to public morals, health, or safety. 11 Bush (Ky.), 311.
- Patterson v. Commonwealth of Kentucky. Aurora oil. Constitutionality of Kentucky law regulating sale of patented oil. 7 Otto, 501.
- Peabody v. Norfolk. Cloth machinery. Contract. Invention kept secret, injunction against disclosure. 98 Mass. 452.
- Pearl v. Ocean Mills. Bobbins and spindles. Want of novelty, state of art. Reissue not for same invention. Prior knowledge and use. 11 Off. Gaz. 2.
- Peck v. Bacon. Shingle-machine. Note, amending declaration by new count. Testimony. 18 Conn. 377.
- PECK v. FARRINGTON. Shingle-machine. Note, void patent. 9 Wend. (N. Y.) 44.
- PEEK v. Frame. Sawing-machine. Increasing damages, aggravated conduct of defendant. 9 Blatch. 194; 5 Fisher, 113.
- PEEK v. Frame. Sawing-machine. Allowance of costs. 5 Fisher, 211.
- Pelton v. Waters. Lubricators. Several applications, issue presumed to be on *first*. Accidental making is not invention. Infringement. 7 Off. Gaz. 425.
- PENCIL CO. (See RUBBER TIP PENCIL CO.)
- Pennock, J. L. Rolling iron. Appeals from Commissioner. Patentability, particular patent. 5 Off. Gaz. 668.
- Pennock v. Beale. Puddling-furnace. Decree. 13 Off. Gaz. 125.

- Pennock v. Dialogue. Tube-making. Original inventor, patent prima facie evidence. Abandonment. 4 Wash. 538; 1 Robb, 466.
- Pennock v. Dialogue. Tube-making. Irregular putting evidence on record. What "not known or used before his application" means. 2 Peters, 1; 1 Robb, 542.
- Pennsylvania Salt Co. v. Gugenheim. Putting up salt. Particular patent. State of the art. Proper subjects of patents. 3 Fisher, 423.
- Pennsylvania Salt Co. v. Myers. Successive bills for continuing infringements. Preliminary injunction granted, pendency of other suit. 1 Weekly Notes, 377.
- Pennsylvania Salt Co. v. Thomas. Caustic alkalies. Reissue not for same invention. Patentability shown by utility. Infringement, formal differences. 5 Fisher, 148.
- Pentlarge v. Beeston. Bungs for casks. Preliminary injunctions, plaintiff having patent, defendant not. Original inventor. 14 Blatch. 352.
- Perrigo v. Spaulding. Clover-machine. Patentee having full satisfaction from maker cannot sue purchaser of a machine covered by the decree. 13 Blatch. 389; 12 Off. Gaz. 352.
- Perry v. Corning. Stoves. Jurisdiction, bills for discovery and account, bills for account only. 6 Blatch. 134.
- Perry v. Corning. Stoves. Recording assignments. Adequate remedy at law. Title of plaintiff. Warranty. 7 Blatch. 195.
- Peterson v. Wooden. Stove. Too large claims, void pro tanto under act of 1837. Bad declaration, leave to amend. 3 McLean, 248. 2 Robb, 116.
- Pettibone v. Derringer. Fire-arms. Uncertainty in specification. Depositions de bene esse. What depositions may be admitted. 4 Wash. 215; 1 Robb, 152.
- PHELPS v. Brown. Brass kettles. Purpose of caveats. 4 Blatch. 362; 1 Fisher, 479.

- Philadelphia and Trenton Railroad v. Stimpson. Turning short curves. Admission of evidence. Reissues prima facie valid. Proper questions to witness. 14 Peters, 448; 2 Robb, 46.
- Philadelphia, Wilmington, and Baltimore Railroad. (See Railroad Co. v. Dubois; Railroad Co. v. Trimble.)
- PHILLIP v. Nock. Ink-stands. Measure of damages. 17 Wall. 460.
- PHILLIPS v. COMBSTOCK. Right of special pleas. 4 McLean, 525; 2 Robb, 724.
- Phillips v. Page. Saw-mill. Instructions to jury. Construction of patents. Time of prior knowledge. 24 How. 164.
- Pickering v. McCulloch. Plumbago crucible. Abandonment, evidence of. 13 Off. Gaz. 818.
- Pickering v. Phillips. Plumbago crucible. Thirty days' notice of prior use, &c. Want of novelty, patent prima facie evidence. Agreement. Infringement, taken as a whole. 10 Off. Gaz. 420.
- Pierce r. Wilson. Loom. Rescission of contracts in equity, fraud, laches, parol evidence. 34 Ala. 596.
- Pierson v. Eagle Screw Co. Screw-machine. Bona fide purchasers. Abandonment. Damages. 3 Story, 402; 2 Robb, 268.
- PIKE v. POTTER. Preserving meat. Object of patent law.
 Frand of government, limitations not accepted by patentee.
 Construction of patents. Clearness in specification. 3
 Fisher, 55.
- Pike v. Providence and Worcester Railroad. Sparkarrester. Construction of patents, state of art. Infringement, substantial identity. 1 Holmes, 445; 6 Off. Gaz. 575.
- PIN Co. (See AMERICAN PIN Co.)
- Piper v. Brown. Preserving fish. What patents are for.

 Date of invention. Principle is not patentable. Infringement, process. 1 Holmes, 20; 4 Fisher, 175.

- Piper v. Brown. Preserving fish. Master's report. Profits. Findings of fact. 1 Holmes, 196; 6 Fisher, 240; 3 Off. Gaz. 97.
- Piper v. Moon. Preserving fish. Particular patent, want of novelty. 10 Blatch. 261; 6 Fisher, 180; 3 Off. Gaz. 4.
- Piper v. Moon. Preserving fish. Above affirmed. 1 Otto, 44; 10 Off. Gaz. 420.
- PITCHER v. UNITED STATES. Brooms. Use by warden of penitentiary. Use by United States government is not a taking of private property for public use, but is an infringement. Court of claims has no jurisdiction in such cases. 1 N. & H. 7.
- PITTS v. EDMONDS. Planing-machine. Original inventor, patent prima facie evidence. Want of novelty must be specially set up. Infringement, combination. 1 Bissell, 168; 2 Fisher, 52.
- PITTS v. Hall. Horse-power. Charge to jury. Original inventor, under patent law. Forfeiture. Abandonment. Damages. 2 Blatch. 229.
- PITTS v. Hall. Horse-power. Agreement. Joint patentees are not copartners. Rights of joint owners. 3 Blatch. 201.
- Pitts v. Jameson. Threshing-machine. Agreement interpreted. Authority to build, no bar to action. 15 Barb. (N. Y.) 310.
- PITTS v. Wemple. Planing-machine. Construction of patents, state of art. Want of novelty, practical. Infringement, one claim, colorable alteration. 1 Bissell, 87; 2 Fisher, 10.
- PITTS v. WHITMAN. Threshing-machine. Bona fide purchasers. Assignments, time to record. Form of instructions to jury. Profert of letters-patent makes them part of declaration. Construction of patents. 2 Story, 609; 2 Robb, 189.
- PLASTIC SLATE ROOFING JOINT STOCK Co. v. Moore. Composition roof. Want of novelty, prior use. 1 Holmes, 167.

- PLATT v. UNITED STATES PATENT BUTTON, RIVET NEEDLE, AND MACHINE MANUFACTURING Co. Buttons, &c. Particular patent, utility, want of novelty. 9 Blatch. 342; 5 Fisher, 265; 1 Off. Gaz. 524.
- PLATTS, Ex Parte. Crimping leather. Right to reissue. Practice of putting reissues in interference with unexpired patents condemned. 15 Off. Gaz. 827.
- Poillon v. Schmidt. Steam-tight joints. Particular patent. Validity of claims to cover art. 6 Blatch. 299; 3 Fisher, 476.
- Poppeniusen v. Falke. Treating caoutchouc. Joint infringers. Preliminary injunctions. Prior decision. Reissue not for same invention. Reissue prima facie good. 4 Blatch. 493; 2 Fisher, 181.
- Poppenhusen v. Falke. Treating caoutchouc. Amount of inventive skill required. Infringement, equivalents. Reissues after adverse decisions on patent may be good. 5 Blatch. 46; 2 Fisher, 213.
- Poppeniusen v. New York Gutta-percha Comb Co.
 Treating caoutchouc. Construction of patents for the court.
 Patent prima facie evidence of original inventor. Want of novelty. 2 Fisher, 62.
- Poppenhusen v. New York Gutta-Percha Comb Co. Treating caoutchouc. Preliminary injunctions, object of. Prior verdict at law. Future violations. 4 Blatch. 184; 2 Fisher, 74.
- Poppeniiusen v. New York Gutta-percha Comb Co. Treating caoutchouc. Violation of injunction by using equivalent. 4 Blatch. 253; 2 Fisher, 80.
- POTTER v. Braunsdorf. Sewing-machine. Reissue by assignee, extension by patentee, held good. 7 Blatch. 97.
- POTTER v. CROWELL. Sewing-machines. Preliminary injunctions. Sales by agent. Past injuries which cannot be renewed will not furnish ground for injunction. 1 Abbott, 89; 3 Fisher, 112.

- Potter v. Davis Sewing Machine Co. Sewing-machine. Infringement, substantial equivalents. 3 Fisher, 472.
- POTTER v. DIXON. Sewing-machine. Power of Commissioner in interferences by statute. 5 Blatch. 160; 2 Fisher, 381.
- Potter v. Empire Sewing Machine Co. Sewing-machine. Prior decisions. Extension vests whole title without regard to where title was at time of reissue. 3 Fisher, 474.
- Potter v. Fuller. Sewing-machine. Preliminary injunctions, irreparable injury to defendant, infringement clear, general acquiescence, prior decisions. Original inventor. 2 Fisher, 251.
- POTTER v. HOLLAND. Sewing-machines. Right to reissue.
 Assignments and licenses. Right to sue. Disclaimers. 4
 Blatch. 206; 1 Fisher, 327.
- Potter v. Holland. Sewing-machines. Preliminary injunctions, patent prima facie evidence. Reissues. Want of novelty. Patents liberally construed. Quiet enjoyment. Prior decisions. Infringement. 4 Blatch. 238; 1 Fisher, 382.
- Potter v. Mack. Sewing-machine. No appeal lies from decree for injunction and account till Master reports. Injunction should not be suspended till final decree. 3 Fisher, 428.
- POTTER v. MULLER. Sewing-machine. Preliminary injunctions, practice. Original inventor. Want of novelty-Prior decisions. No fixed length of possession. 2 Fisher, 465.
- POTTER v. MULLER. Sewing-machine. Contempt, defendant enjoined from making and selling, begins to sell as agent. 1 Bond, 601; 2 Fisher, 631.
- POTTER v. Schenck. Sewing-machine. Preliminary injunction. Infringement, substantial variation, same principle. 1 Bissell, 515; 3 Fisher, 82.
- POTTER v. STEVENS. Sewing-machine. Patent applications are ex parte only. Defendant must overcome plaintiff's prima facie case. 2 Fisher, 163.

- POTTER v. THAYER. Buttons. Particular patent, invalidity. 1 Holmes, 293; 6 Fisher, 603; 5 Off. Gaz. 32.
- POTTER v. WHITNEY. Sewing-machine. Granting preliminary injunctions, title, infringement, peculiar circumstances. 1 Lowell, 87; 3 Fisher, 77.
- POTTER v. Wilson. Sewing-machine. Original inventor. Non-joinder. Infringement. 2 Fisher, 102.
- POWDER Co. v. BURKHARDT. Dualin. Seizure of materials on execution. Contract. 7 Otto, 110.
- PRICE v. Kelly. Circus-seats. Infringement, want of novelty. 11 Off. Gaz. 639.
- PRINTING PRESS Co. (See Bullock Printing Press Co.)
- PROUTY v. DRAPER. Plow. Infringement, combination, must be whole. 1 Story, 568; 2 Robb, 75.
- PROUTY v. RUGGLES. Plow. Construction of patents. Infringement, combination, must be whole. 16 Peters, 336; 2 Robb, 93.
- PROVIDENCE RUBBER Co. v. GOODYEAR. India-rubber. Surrogate jurisdiction, domicile of patentee when he died. Executor's right to reissue. Uncertainty in specification. Original inventor. Patentability in general. Decisions of Commissioner. Interpretation of license. Account, profits. 9 Wall, 788.
- PROVIDENCE RUBBER Co. v. GOODYEAR. India-rubber. Bill of review, laches. 9 Wall. 805.
- PROVIDENCE RUBBER Co. v. GOODYEAR. India-rubber. What constitutes a cross-bill. 9 Wall. 807.
- Putnam v. Hickey. Bottle-stopper fastenings. Original inventor. Foreign patents. 3 Bissell, 157; 5 Fisher, 334; 2 Off. Gaz. 225.
- Putnam v. Wetherbee. Bottle-stopper fastenings. Want of novelty, state of art. 1 Holmes, 497; 8 Off. Gaz. 320.
- Putnam v. Yerrington. Bottle-stopper fastenings. Change of material not patentable. Want of novelty, burden of proof. Prior decisions. Reissue not for same invention. 9 Off. Gaz. 689.

R.

- Railroad Co. v. Dubois. Truss-bridges. Construction of patents, combinations. Silence as estoppel. Oral revealing of patented invention before patent. Notice of defenses required. 12 Wall. 47.
- RAILROAD CO. v. SAYLES. (See CHICAGO AND NORTHWEST-ERN RAILROAD v. SAYLES.)
- RAILROAD CO. v. STIMPSON. (See PHILADELPHIA AND TRENTON RAILROAD CO.)
- RAILROAD Co. v. TRIMBLE. Truss-bridges. Deed of extended patent before extension. 10 Wall. 367.
- RAILROAD CO. v. TURRILL. (See ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILROAD CO.)
- RAILROAD Co. v. WINANS. (See YORK AND MARYLAND RAILROAD Co.)
- RAKE CO. (See Dorsey Harvester and Revolving Rake Co.; National Hay Rake Co.)
- RANSOM v. MAYOR OF NEW YORK. Fire-engines. Waiver of conditions imposed by court. Supersedeas. 4 Blatch, 157.
- RANSOM v. MAYOR OF NEW YORK. Fire-engines. Charge to jury. Patents are government contracts. Construction of statutes. Original inventor, patent prima facie evidence. Inventive skill. Abandoned experiments. Private use. Reasonable diligence. Abandonment. 1 Fisher, 252.
- RAPP v. BARD. Gold pens. Interfering patents. Patents confined to particular shape. 1 Fisher, 196.
- RATHBONE v. ORR. Stove. Assignments before issue, poverty, &c. 5 McLean, 131.
- Read v. Bowman. Harvester. Construction of agreement. Estoppel. Right to reissue. 2 Wall. 591.
- READ v. MILLER. Harvester. General issue, special pleas. State Statute of Limitations cannot be pleaded. 2 Bissell, 12; 3 Fisher, 310.

- RECKENDORFER v. Faber. Lead-pencil and eraser. Want of novelty, state of art. Infringement, narrow claim. 12 Blatch. 68; 5 Off. Gaz. 697.
- RECKENDORFER v. FABER. Lead-pencil and eraser. Commissioner's allowance of patent is only prima facie right. What patentable combinations are. 2 Otto, 347; 10 Off. Gaz. 71.
- REED v. CUTTER. Pump. Who are entitled to patents. Prior use, extent. Patentability, incompleteness. Disclaimers. 1 Story, 590; 2 Robb, 81.
- REED v. REED. Head-linings for barrels. Result not patentable. 12 Blatch. 366; 8 Off. Gaz. 193.
- Reedy v. Scott. Hoisting-machine. Surrender of patents, bars suits. Infringement of reissue is new cause of action. Reissue must be for same invention. Arbitrators are presumed to decide correctly. 7 Off. Gaz. 463.
- REES v. GOULD. Steam-engines. Patentable combinations, special notice under general issue. Infringement, combination, omission of one ingredient. 6 Fisher, 106; 2 Off. Gaz. 624.
- Reeves v. Keystone Bridge Co. Bridges. Utility. Original inventor, printed publications for private use. Want of novelty, date of invention shown by sketches. 5 Fisher, 456; 9 Phila. 368; 1 Off. Gaz. 466.
- Reeves v. Keystone Bridge Co. Bridges. Rehearing for new evidence at any time before final decree. Evidence must be material, and no negligence. 9 Off. Gaz. 885.
- REFRIGERATOR CO. (See LYMAN VENTILATING AND RE-FRIGERATING CO.)
- Reissner v. Anness. Coal-oil stoves. Duplicity in pleading. Only one defense in one plea. 12 Off. Gaz. 842.
- Reissner v. Anness. Coal-oil stoves. Pleading, order is replication, then testimony. 13 Off. Gaz. 7.
- Reissner v. Anness. Coal-oil stoves. Reissue presumed valid. Features of model may be in reissue, though not in original. 13 Off. Gaz. 870.

- REMINGTON v. ALLEN. Fire-arms. Patent of copartner belongs to firm. 109 Mass. 47.
- RENWICK v. COOPER. Fire-arms. Preliminary injunctions. Infringement, particular patent. 10 Blatch. 201; 6 Fisher, 21.
- RENWICK v. POND. Fire-arms. Original inventor. Reissue not for same invention. 10 Blatch. 39; 5 Fisher, 569; 2 Off. Gaz. 392.
- REUTGEN v. KANOWRS, Iron-rounder. Original inventor. What infringement is. Clearness in specification. 1 Wash. 168; 1 Robb, 1.
- REVOLVING RAKE Co. (See Dorsey Harvester and Revolving Rake Co.)
- RHEEM v. HOLLIDAY. Water-wheel. Want of novelty, new element to old machine, too broad claims. 16 Penn. St. 347.
- RICE v. GARNHART. Harvester. Agreement to sell. Jurisdiction of circuit courts. Invalidity of patent as a defense. 34 Wis. 453.
- RICE v. GARNHART. Harvester. Double costs for frivolous appeals. 34 Wis. 470.
- RICE, v. HEALD. Steam-boilers. Charge to jury. Who is entitled to patent. Want of novelty, prior patents. Degree of utility required. Infringement, mechanical substitute. 13 Pac. L. R. 33.
- RICH v. ATWATER. Planing-machine. Jurisdiction in patent suits. Note, void patent. Admissibility of evidence. Estoppel by license. 16 Conn. 409.
- RICH v. CLOSE. Water-wheel. Patentee entitled only to what he claims. Infringement, combination, leaving out new elements. 8 Blatch. 41; 4 Fisher, 279.
- RICH v. LIPPINCOTT. Fire-proof safes. Charge to jury. Infringement, same composition. Original inventor, patent prima facie evidence. Abandonment. 2 Fisher, 1.
- RICH v. RICKETTS. Statute of Limitations, State law is good plea. 7 Blatch. 230.

- RICHARDSON v. LOCKWOOD. Syringe. Want of novelty, particular patent. 6 Fisher, 454; 4 Off. Gaz. 398.
- RICHARDSON v. NOYES. Children's carriages. Want of novelty, not anticipated by incomplete invention. Infringement, selling parts to be put together. 10 Off. Gaz. 507.
- RIFLE CO. (See UNITED STATES RIFLE CO.)
- RITTER v. SERRELL. Planing-machine. Assignment, interest conveyed by. 2 Blatch. 379.
- ROBERTS v. Buck. Refrigerators. Want of notice of evidence cannot be cured by amending answer. 1 Holmes, 224; 6 Fisher, 325; 3 Off. Gaz. 269.
- ROBERTS v. Buck. Refrigerators. Decree. 1 Otto, 159; 10 Off. Gaz. 206.
- ROBERTS v. DICKEY. Oil-wells. Patentability of "art." Want of novelty, publications. 4 Fisher, 532; 1 Off. Gaz. 4.
- ROBERTS v. HARNDEN. Refrigerators. Original inventor, patent prima facie evidence. Infringement, combination, all the elements; same means and same result. 2 Cliff. 500.
- ROBERTS v. REED TORPEDO Co. Torpedoes. Original inventor, patent *prima facie* evidence. Date of invention. 3 Fisher, 629.
- ROBERTS v. ROTER. Oil-wells. Construction of patent, state of art. 5 Fisher, 295.
- ROBERTS v. RYER. Refrigerators. Want of novelty. Original inventor. 11 Blatch. 11; 6 Fisher, 293; 3 Off. Gaz. 551.
- ROBERTS v. RYER. Refrigerators. Original inventor, particular patent. New use of old machine is not patentable. 1 Otto, 150; 10 Off. Gaz. 204.
- ROBERTS v. SCHUYLER. Fire-arms. Motion for new trial, against evidence, when set aside. Weight of jury's decision in patent suits. 12 Blatch. 444.
- ROBERTS v. WARD. Box-machinery. Degree of utility required. Want of novelty. 4 McLean, 565; 2 Robb, 746.
- Robertson v. Blake. Stone-breaker. Utility. Want of novelty. Infringement, combination. Cross-appeals. 4 Otto, 728; 11 Off. Gaz. 877.

- ROBERTSON v. GARRETT. Hand-stamp. Want of usefulness as a defense. 10 Blatch. 490; 6 Fisher, 278.
- ROBERTSON v. HILL. Hand-stamp. Preliminary injunctions. Where patent has been adjudged valid in three decisions, court will hear evidence only on infringement. 6 Fisher, 465; 4 Off. Gaz. 132.
- ROBERTSON v. SECOMBE MANUFACTURING Co. Hand-stamp. Want of novelty. Reissue not for same invention, putting in a comma. Original inventor. 10 Blatch. 481; 6 Fisher, 268; 3 Off. Gaz. 412.
- Robinson, Ex Parte. India-rubber. State legislation against sale of patents is void. 2 Bissell, 309; 4 Fisher, 186.
- ROBINSON v. HODGE. Car-brake. Liability of administrator, royalties are not "new assets." 117 Mass. 222.
- ROCK DRILL CO. (See BURLEIGH ROCK DRILL CO.)
- ROEMER v. SIMON. Travelling-bags. Want of notice of witness must be objected to at the time. Prior use without knowledge of patentee avoids his patent. Burden of proof. Foreign prior use, notice. 5 Off. Gaz. 555.
- ROEMER v. SIMON. Travelling-bags. Prior knowledge, notice in answer that parties are unknown, amended nunc protunc. Above affirmed. 5 Otto, 214; 12 Off. Gaz. 796.
- Rogers v. Abbot. Terms imposed on granting injunctions. 4 Wash. 514; 1 Robb, 465.
- Rogers v. Ennis. Table-beverage. Certain patents require narrow grounds. 14 Off. Gaz. 601.
- ROGERS v. SARGENT. Wire-staple. Reissue not for same invention. Patentability of invention. 7 Blatch. 507.
- ROOF CO. (See Plastic Slate Roofing Joint-Stock Co.)
- Root v. Ball. Stove. Plea of prior use without abandonment is demurrable. Same patent cannot cover two distinct machines. 4 McLean, 177; 2 Robb, 513.
- ROOTS v. HYNDMAN. Rotary blower case. Want of novelty, particular patent. 6 Fisher, 439; 4 Off. Gaz. 29.

- Rose v. Hurley. Churns. Note, warranty of patent-right.
 Parol evidence. Fraudulent representations. Right to rescind, estoppel. 39 Ind. 77.
- Ross v. Wolfinger. Cabinets and sewing-machine. Want of novelty, particular patent. 5 Off. Gaz. 117.
- ROUSE v. FLETCHER. Well-drills. Decree. 9 Off. Gaz. 838.
- Rowe v. Blanchard. Harrows. Note, useless patent. What "utility" is. 18 Wisc. 441.
- Rowley v. Mason. Abandonment, neglect for nine years. 2 Am. L. T. (U. S.) R. 106.
- Rubber Co. (See New York Rubber Co.; Providence Rubber Co.)
- Rubber Coated Harness Trimming Co. v. Welling. Martingale rings. Want of novelty. Construction of patents, state of art. Technical terms. 7 Otto, 7; 13 Off. Gaz. 727.
- RUBBER COMB CO. (See India-Rubber Comb Co.)
- Rubber Step Co. v. Metropolitan Railroad. Carriagesteps. Particular patent, validity. 13 Off. Gaz. 549.
- Rubber Tip Pencil Co. v. Howard. Pencil-heads. Construction of patents, want of novelty. 9 Blatch. 490; 5 Fisher, 377; 1 Off. Gaz. 407.
- Rubber Tip Pencil Co. v. Howard. Pencil-heads. Idea is not patentable. 20 Wall. 498; 7 Off. Gaz. 172.
- RUFFLE Co. (See MAGIC RUFFLE Co.)
- Ruggles v. Eddy. Coal-stoves. Effect of assignment, parol evidence to vary it not admissible. Equitable title gives right to sue. 10 Blatch. 52; 5 Fisher, 581.
- Ruggles v. Eddy. Coal-stoves. Master's report, damages, too narrow construction of claims. Infringement of combination and its parts. 12 Off. Gaz. 716.
- Ruggles v. Eddy. Coal-stoves. Cannot amend answer after decree by striking out admission of infringement. 11 Blatch, 524.
- RULE CO. (See STANLEY RULE AND LEVEL CO.)

- RUMFORD CHEMICAL WORKS v. HECKER. Acid for soda-powders. Want of novelty, particular patent. 10 Blatch. 136; 5 Fisher, 628; 3 Off. Gaz. 349.
- RUMFORD CHEMICAL WORKS v. HECKER. Acid for soda-powders. Accounting. Court has no power to regulate plaintiff's proceedings in other courts. 11 Blatch. 552; 5 Off. Gaz. 644.
- Rumford Chemical Works v. Hecker. Acid for soda-powders. Decrees, res adjudicata, interlocutory, rule of comity. 10 Off. Gaz. 289.
- Rumford Chemical Works v. Hecker. Acid for soda-powders. Reference to Master is not res adjudicatæ. Rule of damages. Practice of staying injunction till Master reports condemned. 11 Off. Gaz. 330.
- RUMFORD CHEMICAL WORKS v. LANER. Acid for soda-powders. Want of novelty, particular patent. 10 Blatch. 122; 5 Fisher, 615; 3 Off. Gaz. 349.
- Rumford Chemical Works v. Vice. Self-raising flour. Preliminary injunctions, no laches of plaintiff, no injury to particular defendant, granted. 14 Blatch. 179; 11 Off.
- Russell v. Dodge. Preparing leather. Reissue not for same invention. Reissues generally. Want of novelty. 3 Otto, 460; 11 Off. Gaz. 151.
 - Russell v. Lathrop. Cutlery. Contract, admissibility of evidence. 117 Mass. 424.
 - Russell v. Lathrop. Cutlery. Order "pro confesso" is not a decree establishing validity of patent in sense of the agreement. 122 Mass. 300.
 - Russell v. Place. Preparing leather. Excessive damages. 9 Blatch. 173; 5 Fisher, 134.
 - Russell v. Place. Preparing leather. Judgment as estoppel, certainty of record required. 4 Otto, 606; 12 Off. Gaz. 53.

- Russell and Erwin Manufacturing Co. v. Mallory. Reversible locks. Defense must be set up in answer, propriety of the rule. Original inventor. Abandonment, mere lapse of time not conclusive. 10 Blatch. 140; 5 Fisher, 632; 2 Off. Gaz. 495.
- Russell and Erwin Manufacturing Co. v. Manufacturing Co. Reversible locks. Infringement, immaterial variations, same result by same means. 12 Blatch. 36; 7 Off. Gaz. 383.
- RYAN v. Goodwin (probably Byam). Matches. Novelty of combinations. What public use and sale is. Uncertainty in specification. 3 Sumn. 514; 1 Robb, 725.

S.

- S. AND B. MANUFACTURING CO. v. COKE CO. (See STILLWELL, &c. Co.)
- SADDLE CO. (See AMERICAN SADDLE CO.)
- SAFETY TRUCK Co. (See LOCOMOTIVE ENGINE SAFETY TRUCK Co.)
- SALAMANDER Co. (See United States and Foreign SALAMANDER FELTING Co.)
- SALT CO. (See PENNSYLVANIA SALT CO.)
- Sanders v. Logan. Threshing-machine. Injunctions, irreparable injury, prior suit at law not necessary. Abandonment. Prior use. 2 Fisher, 167.
- Sands v. Wardwell. Knitting-needle machine. Original inventor, patent prima facie evidence. Infringement, combination, must be all the parts. 3 Cliff. 277.
- Sanford v. Merrimack Hat Co. Hat-machine. Patentability, generally. What constitutes invention. 10 Off. Gaz. 466.
- Sanford v. Messer. Sewing-machine. Want of parties. Difference between assignment and license. Original inventor. 1 Holmes, 149; 5 Fisher, 411; 2 Off. Gaz. 470.
- SANGSTER v. MILLER. Lanterns. Want of novelty. 5 Blatch. 243; 2 Fisher, 563.

- SARGENT v. CARTER. Apple-parer. Preliminary injunctions. Original inventor, consumer's acquiescence. 1 Fisher, 277.
- SARGENT v. LARNED. Apple-parer. Covenant not to infringe, estoppel to dispute validity. Infringement, change of form. 2 Curtis, 340.
- SARGENT v. SEAGRAVE. Apple-parer. Preliminary injunctions, acquiescence under a caveat. Length of exclusive possession required. 2 Curtis, 553.
- SARGENT MANUFACTURING Co. v. WOODRUFF. Buckles.
 Preliminary injunctions, prior decisions, ruling not enough.
 Presumption from defendants' having a patent is against infringement. 5 Bissell, 444.
- SARVEN v. HALL. Carriage-wheels. Reissue not for same invention, outside evidence. Object of reissues. Patentable kinds of combinations. Want of novelty, patent prima facie evidence, aggregation of parts. 9 Blatch. 524; 5 Fisher, 415; 1 Off. Gaz. 437.
- Sarven v. Hall. Carriage-wheels. Construction of patents, whole taken together. Infringement, combination, equivalents. 11 Blatch. 295; 6 Fisher, 495; 4 Off. Gaz. 666.
- Sawin v. Guild. Nail-machine. Sale of materials by sheriff is not infringement. 1 Gall. 485; 1 Robb, 47.
- Sawyer v. Bixby. Putting up powders. Want of novelty, particular patent. 9 Blatch. 361; 5 Fisher, 283; 1 Off. Gaz. 165.
- SAXE v. Hammond. Tremolo attachment. Infringement, combination, making one part. Where no infringement is found court will not pass on novelty. 1 Holmes, 456; 7 Off. Gaz. 781.
- Saxton v. Aultman. Recording assignments, precedence. 15 Ohio St. 471.
- Saxton v. Dodge. Reaper. Note, consideration. Indorsement by payee. Estoppel on nudum pactum. 57 Barb. (N. Y.) 84.
- Sayles v. Chicago and Northwestern Railroad. Carbrakes. Want of novelty, anticipating machine must be practical. 1 Bissell, 468; 2 Fisher, 523.

- Sayles v. Chicago and Northwestern Railroad. Carbrakes. Positive and negative evidence. Approximations to inventions, great utility and non-use inconsistent. 3 Bissell, 52; 4 Fisher, 586.
- Sayles v. Hargood. Cultivators. Original inventor, date of conception, date of first description. Evidence. 2 Bissell, 189; 3 Fisher, 632.
- Scaife v. Fulton's Sons & Co. Steam-pump. Want of novelty. 9 Off. Gaz. 1164.
- Scaife v. Sheriffs. Steam-pump. Want of novelty. 9 Off. Gaz. 1164.
- Schillinger v. Gunther. Concrete pavement. Contempt, violation of injunction. Studied attempt to use plaintiff's invention. Liberal construction of patents. Fines for contempt. 14 Blatch. 152; 11 Off. Gaz. 831.
- Schillinger v. Gunther. Concrete pavement. Reissues and disclaimers. Master's report, profits and damages. 14 Off. Gaz. 713.
- Schuessler v. Davis. Buckle-fastenings. Object of reissues. Want of novelty. 13 Off. Gaz. 1011.
- Schumacher v. Cornell. Wrenches. Infringement, combination is an entirety. 6 Otto, 549.
- Schwarzel v. Holenshade. Grain-separators. Reasons for trebling damages. 2 Bond, 29; 3 Fisher, 116.
- Scott v. Sweet. Fanning-mills. Note, worthless patent, no consideration. 2 G. Greene (Iowa), 224.
- Searls v. Van Nest. Whip-sockets. Original inventor. Reissue not for same invention. Infringement, same result in same way. 13 Off. Gaz. 772.
- Seligman v. Day. Corset-clasp. Preliminary injunctions. Want of novelty. 14 Blatch. 72.
- Serrell v. Collins. Moldings. Preliminary injunction. Plaintiff failed twice to establish validity at law. 4 Blatch. 61.

- Serrell v. Collins. Moldings. Charge to jury. Patent prima facie valid. Construction for the court. Original inventor. Want of novelty. Utility. Damages. 1 Fisher, 289.
- Serviss v. Stockstill. Fruit-cans. Contract, evidence, representations, performance. Too general exceptions to charge. 30 Ohio St. 418.
- Sewall v. Jones. Preserving corn. Original inventor must be so for whole world. Want of novelty, particular patent. 1 Otto, 171. 9 Off. Gaz. 47.
- SEWING MACHINE CO. (See DOMESTIC SEWING MACHINE CO.; FLORENCE SEWING MACHINE CO.; GROVER AND BAKER SEWING MACHINE CO.)
- SEYMOUR v. MARSH. Harvesters. Scope of reissues. Patents for impractical inventions. Judgment of United States Supreme Court on facts. Expert testimony. Infringement, same actuating forces. Patent expired, account without injunction. 6 Fisher, 115; 9 Phila. 380; 2 Off. Gaz. 675.
- SEYMOUR v. McCormick. Reaper. Actual damages, license fees. 16 How. 480.
- SEYMOUR v. McCormick. Reaper. Disclaimer, unreasonable delay. 19 How. 96.
- SEYMOUR v. OSBORNE. Harvester. Construction of patents. Action of Commissioner on reissue not conclusive. Object of reissues, too broad claims. "Substantially as described." Infringement. 3 Fisher, 555.
- SEYMOUR v. OSBORNE. Harvester. Importance of patent suits. Patents are not monopolies. Original inventor, patent prima facie evidence. Fraud not open collaterally. Certainty in specification. Oath of inventors. Power to reissue. Reissue not for same invention. Patentability, utility. Printed publications. Multifariousness. Infringement, comparison of machines. 11 Wall. 516. 2 Whit. 291
- Shaw v. Cooper. Fire-arms. Right to reissue. Reissue relates to original. English law. Prior knowledge and use. Abandonment. 7 Peters, 29; 1 Robb, 643.
- SHAW AND WILCOX Co. v. LOVEJOY. Recovering gold and silver. Result not patentable. 7 Blatch. 232.

- SHELLY v. BRANNAN. Lime-kilns. Preliminary injunctions, prior suit at law not necessary. 2 Bissell, 315; 4 Fisher, 198.
- SHERMAN v. CHAMPLAIN TRANSPORTATION Co. Cut-off valve. State court has jurisdiction where validity is not necessarily involved. Proof of execution of instrument, attestation. Sale of personal property, implied warranties. New trial, jury misunderstanding the court. Repudiating tenancy. Contracts not within a year. 31 Vt. 162.
- SHOE TIP, &c. Co. (See AMERICAN SHOE TIP Co.)
- Shoup v. Henrici. Oil-well pump. Original inventor. Prior use. 9 Off. Gaz. 1162.
- Sickels v. Borden. Cut-off valves. Idea not patentable. Infringement, tests, same result in same way. Damages, license fee, profits, small fees in order to introduce it. License fee being measure of damages awarded by jury, defendant can use for life of patent. 3 Blatch. 535.
- Sickels v. Borden. Cut-off valves. Contempt, violation of injunction, what constitutes. 4 Blatch. 14.
- SICKELS v. EVANS. Cut-off valves. Reissue not for same invention. 2 Cliff. 203; 2 Fisher, 417.
- Sickels v. Falls Co. Cut-off valves. Reissue after fourteen years cannot claim any thing not specified in original, though earlier. 4 Blatch. 508; 2 Fisher, 202.
- Sickels v. Gloucester Manufacturing Co. Steam-engines. Jurisdiction, discovery and account without injunction. Patents are liberally construed. Original inventor. Infringement, comparative excellence irrelevant. 1 Fisher, 222.
- Sickels v. Mitchell. Cut-off valves. Preliminary injunctions, prior suit at law not necessary. Discontinuance of infringement no answer to injunction. General denial of validity is not enough. 3 Blatch. 548.
- Sickels v. Tileston. Cut-off valves. Preliminary injunctions, prior decision against same machine. 4 Blatch. 109.

- Sickels v. Youngs. Cut-off valves. Preliminary injunctions, how far into evidence court will go. Substantial identity. Irreparable injury. 3 Blatch. 293.
- SIEBERT v. GARRATT. Lubricators. Decree. 8 Off. Gaz. 600.
- SILSBY v. FOOTE. Stoves. Effect of juryman becoming ill. Admissibility of disclaimer in evidence. Nonsuits only by consent of plaintiff. Sufficiency of notice of special matter. 14 How. 218.
- SILSBY v. FOOTE. Stoves, Profits and damages. Disclaimers must be without delay. 20 How. 378.
- SIMPSON v. MAD RIVER RAILROAD. Removing truck-wheels. Utility. Damages. 6 McLean, 603.
- SIMPSON v. WILSON. Planing-machine. Rights of territorial assignee. 4 How. 709; 2 Robb, 469.
- SINGER v. BRAUNSDORF. Sewing-machine. Public use for two years. Date of application. Abandonment. Original inventor. 7 Blatch. 521.
- SINGER v. WALMESLEY. Sewing-machine. Charge to jury. Patents are not monopolies. Rights of patentee, equivalents. Construction of patents. Infringement of combinations. Prior use. Duty of jury. Result and process. 1 Fisher, 558.
- Singer Co. v. Union Co. Button-hole machine. Contract, injunction may be granted to stop violation, though it could not be specifically performed. Nature of remedy by injunction. 1 Holmes, 253; 6 Fisher, 480; 4 Off. Gaz. 553.
- Sisson v. Gilbert. Staves from bolts. Prior use for more than two years. 9 Blatch. 185; 5 Fisher, 109.
- Sizer v. Many. Writ of error after mandate brings up only what was done after mandate, here only taxation of costs, so no jurisdiction. 16 How. 98.
- SKIRT CO. (See WOVEN TAPE SKIRT CO.)
- SLATE ROOF CO. (See PLASTIC SLATE ROOFING CO.)
- SLEMMER'S APPEAL. Oil-refining. State courts no jurisdiction over validity of patents. Joint patent for sole invention is void. Effect of suggestions. Invention by employé. 58 Penn. St. 155.

- SLOAT v. PATTON. Planing-machine. Difference in result is evidence of difference in mode of operation. Patentee's right to his invention. 1 Fisher, 154.
- Smith v. Allen. Fire-arms. Interfering patents, reissued to cover each other. 2 Fisher, 572.
- Smith v. Baker's Administrators. Reviving suit against defendant's representatives. Account without injunction. 5 Off. Gaz. 496.
- Smith v. Clark. Telegraph. Particular patent. 3 Am. L. J. (or 10 Penn. L. J.) 155.
- Smith v. Cummings. Telegraph. Preliminary injunctions to compel performance of agreements. Effect of substantial controversy on issuing injunctions. 1 Fisher, 152.
- Smith v. Downing. Telegraph. What patents can cover. What "art" means in patent law. Infringement, equivalents. 1 Fisher, 64.
- SMITH v. ELLIOTT. Elastic fabrics. Patents are liberally construed. Want of novelty, old thing to new use. 9 Blatch. 400; 5 Fisher, 315; 1 Off. Gaz. 331.
- SMITH v. ELY. Telegraph. Expiration of foreign patents. Patents must be granted according to law. Pleas in bar. Oyer of patents. Principle cannot be patented. 5 Mc-Lean, 76,1
- SMITH v. ELY. Telegraph. Same questions being settled in another case, this was sent back with leave to amend. 15 How. 137.
- SMITH v. FAY & Co. Mortising-machine. Particular patent. 6 Fisher, 446.
- SMITH v. FRAYER. Stone-crusher. Patentability. Notice of prior knowledge, particularity required. 5 Fisher, 543; 2 Off. Gaz. 175.
- SMITH v. GLENDALE ELASTIC FABRICS Co. Elastic fabrics. Use by defendant as evidence of utility. 1 Holmes, 340; 5 Off. Gaz. 429.

¹ Opinion not delivered.

- SMITH v. GOODYEAR DENTAL VULCANITE Co. Artificial gums, &c. Patentability of combinations. Want of novelty. Reissue not for same invention. 3 Otto, 486; 11 Off. Gaz. 246.
- SMITH v. HIGGINS. Parti-coloring yarn. Charge to jury. Construction of patents. Want of novelty. Infringement, damages. 1 Fisher, 537.
- SMITH v. HIGGINS. Parti-coloring yarn. Infringement, combination. 2 Fisher, 97.
- SMITH v. MARSHALL. Blackwashing-molds. Infringement, mechanical equivalents. 10 Off. Gaz. 375.
- Smith v. McClelland. Dental plates. State courts have no jurisdiction over infringements. 11 Bush (Ky.), 523.
- SMITH v. MERCER. Planing-machine. Reissue to administrator. Patents signed by acting commissioner. Reissue not for same invention. Infringement, substantial identity. 5 Penn. L. J. 529; 4 West. L. J. 49.
- SMITH v. NICHOLS. Weaving fabric. Want of novelty, greater utility. 1 Holmes, 172; 6 Fisher, 61; 2 Off. Gaz. 649.
- SMITH v. NICHOLS. Weaving fabric. Disclaimers, unreasonable delay. Want of novelty, prior use. Utility. 21 Wall. 112.
- SMITH v. O'CONNOR. Water-closet pan. Patents are liberally construed. Defective specifications. Want of novelty, two years' sale. Reissue not for same invention. Damages. 2 Sawyer, 461; 6 Fisher, 469; 4 Off. Gaz. 633.
- SMITH v. PATTON. Planing-machine. Injunction, equitable release by plaintiff. Attachment refused. 6 Penn. L. J. 189.
- SMITH v. PEARCE. Grinding millstones. Patentability, mere alteration. Infringement, substantially alike. 2 McLean, 176; 2 Robb, 13.
- SMITH v. PRIOR. Water-closet pan. Patents are liberally construed. Defective specifications. Want of novelty, two years' sale. Reissue not for same invention. Damages. 2 Sawyer, 461; 6 Fisher, 469.

- Smith v. Rifle Co. Fire-arms. Preliminary injunctions. Defendant manufacturing for English government. Infringement admitted and offered to pay reasonable sum. Injunction refused. 3 Blatch. 545.
- SMITH v. Selden. Telegraph. Contract. 1 Blatch. 475.
- SMITH v. TRACY. Medicine. One practising physic without authority of law cannot recover for services or even for patent medicines given. 2 Hall (N. Y.), 465.
- SMITH v. WOODRUFF. Paper-holder. Patent prima facie valid. If no infringement between two patents, there is no interference. 1 McArthur, 459; 6 Fisher, 476; 4 Off. Gaz. 635.
- SNOW v. JUDSON. Valves for governors. Contract, false representations, State court has jurisdiction. 38 Barb. (N.Y.) 210.
- Snow v. Tapley. Paper collars. Abandoned experiments, prior use. 13 Off. Gaz. 548.
- Snow v. Taylor. Paper collars. Patentability. 14 Off. Gaz. 861.
- Sone v. Palmer. Seed-planter. Note, consideration. Nonsuits must be voluntary. Assignments, recording. Patentoffice clerk as witness. 28 Mo. 539.
- Spaulding v. Duff. Saws. Damages, full amount of profits, no fixed royalties. 1 Sawyer, 702; 4 Fisher, 641.
- Spaulding v. Page. Saws. Damages, full amount of profits, no fixed royalty. 1 Sawyer, 702; 4 Fisher, 641.
- SPAULDING v. TUCKER. Saws. Retaxing costs, printing testimony not included, travelling fees for witnesses out of the State. Deady, 619; 4 Fisher, 633.
- Sprague v. Adriance. Mowing-machine. Abandonment a fact, not conclusion of law, intention. Reissue not for same invention. Want of novelty. 14 Off. Gaz. 308.
- Spring v. Howard. Lathe, irregular forms. Want of novelty, particular patent. 7.Off. Gaz. 341.
- Spring v. Packard. Lathe, irregular forms. Want of novelty, particular patent. 7 Off. Gaz. 341.

- Squire, John L., In Re. Interfering patents, original not appellate jurisdiction of circuit courts. Appointment of special examiners. 12 Off. Gaz. 1025.
- STAINTHORP v. ELKINTON. Candle-machine. Original inventor, abandoned experiments. 1 Fisher, 349.
- STAINTHORP v. Humiston. Candle-machine. Subsequent patent. Want of novelty. 1 Fisher, 475.
- STAINTHORP v. Humiston. Candle-making. Injunction refused, valuable improvements by defendant. 2 Fisher, 311.
- STAINTHORP v. Humiston. Candle-making. Citizenship, evidence, terms. Want of novelty, utility. 4 Fisher, 107.
- STANLEY v. Whipple. Stove. Patentability, utility. Too broad claims. Books of parties as evidence. Reissue relates back to original. Verdict not set aside if evidence conflicts. 2 McLean, 35; 2 Robb, 1.
- STANLEY RULE AND LEVEL Co. v. BAILEY. Bench-planes. Estoppel to dispute novelty. Agreement broken by plaintiff, effect on his rights. Infringement, equivalents. 14 Blatch. 510.
- STANLEY WORKS v. SARGENT & Co. Door-bolts. Utility as a test of originality. 8 Blatch. 344; 4 Fisher, 443.
- STATE v. Brower. Ohio law on notes for patent-rights. Indictment must show that note was negotiable. 30 Ohio St. 101.
- STATE v. Peck. Cultivator. Ohio law of negotiable notes for patent-rights, effect and extent. 25 Ohio St. 26.
- STEAM CUTTER Co. v. SHELDEN. Stone-channelling. Contract. Right to make and right to use distinguished. Abandoning contract, defendant may be sued as infringer. 10 Blatch. 1; 5 Fisher, 477.
- STEAM PACKET CO. (See PACKET CO.)
- Stearns v. Barrett. Dyeing-machine. Appellate jurisdiction of Circuit Court. Scire facias. Irregular verdicts. 1 Mason, 153; 1 Robb, 97.
- STEIN v. GODDARD. Infringement is a tort. Assignees joining as plaintiffs. 1 McAllister, 82.

- STEPHENS v. Felt. Writing-fluid. What actual damages are. 2 Blatch. 37.
- STEVENS v. HEAD. Cider-mill. Note, lack of title, admissibility of evidence. 9 Vt. 174.
- Stevens v. Pierpont. Hay-cutters. Violation of contract. Conclusions of fact not open on writ of error. Identity of two machines. 42 Conn. 360.
- STEVENS v. PRITCHARD. Boots and shoes. Combinations. Reissues are presumed valid. Right to reissue, introduction of new features. 10 Off. Gaz. 505.
- STILLWELL AND BIERCE MANUFACTURING Co. v. CINCINNATI GASLIGHT AND COKE Co. Filters. Want of novelty, anticipation, greater utility. Model not enough to anticipate. 7 Off. Gaz. 829.
- STIMPSON v. BALTIMORE AND SUSQUEHANNA RAILROAD.

 Turning short curves. Infringement, combination, must be all the elements. Writ of error lies against decision on agreed state of facts. 10 How. 329.
- STIMPSON v. POND. Penalty for use of word "patent" on unpatented articles. Limitation for bringing suits. 2 Curtis, 502.
- STIMPSON v. ROGERS. Ice-pitchers. Patent may issue to executor on death of inventor. Misjoinder of defendants. 4 Blatch. 333.
- STIMPSON v. THE RAILROADS. Turning short curves. Actual damages, counsel fees, allowance by jury. 1 Wall. Jr. 164; 2 Robb, 593.
- STIMPSON v. WEST CHESTER RAILROAD. Turning short curves. Practice, excepting generally to charge of court. Defects remedied by reissue. 4 How. 380; 2 Robb, 335.
- STIMPSON v. WOODMAN. Ornamenting leather. Infringement, combination, smooth roller replaced by one covered with designs. 10 Wall. 117.
- STONE v. EDWARDS. State courts have no jurisdiction in infringement suits. 35 Texas, 556.

- Stone v. Sprague. Looms. Construction of patents. 1 Story, 270; 2 Robb, 10.
- Storms v. Howe. Tailor's pressing-machine. Original inventor, patent prima facie evidence. Infringement, equivalents, combinations. 10 Off. Gaz. 421.
- STOVE WORKS. (See DETROIT STOVE WORKS.)
- Stover v. Halsted. Planing-machine. Utility. Infringement, want of novelty. 13 Blatch. 95; 8 Off. Gaz. 558.
- STREET v. SILVER. Writing-slate. Note, guaranty, void if not original inventor, colorable alterations. Brightly (Penn.), 96.
- Strong v. Noble. Whips. Want of novelty, old thing to new use. 6 Blatch. 477; 3 Fisher, 586.
- STUART v. SHANTZ. Stoves. Infringement, license. Utility shown by popularity. 6 Fisher, 35; 9 Phila. 376; 2 Off. Gaz. 524.
- STURGES v. VAN HAGEN. Punching metal. Decree. 4 Off. Gaz. 579; 6 Fisher, 572.
- Suffolk Manufacturing Co. v. Hayden. Cotton-cleaners. Dedication, not claiming in later and different application. Rule of damages. 3 Wall. 315.
- SUGAR REFINERY. (See Union SUGAR REFINERY.)
- SULLINGS v. GOODYEAR DENTAL VULCANITE Co. Artificial gums, &c. Contract, breach by suing out injunction. Pendency of other suit. 36 Mich. 313.
- SULLIVAN v. REDFIELD. Towing-boats. Fact of original inventor must be sworn to in bill. Equity jurisdiction in patents. Certainty in specification, acquiescence and enjoyment. 1 Paine, 441; 1 Robb, 477.
- Suydam v. Day. India-rubber. Assignments and rights of assignee. 2 Blatch. 20.
- SWAIN TURBINE Co. v. LADD. Water-wheel. Function is not patentable. 11 Off. Gaz. 153.
- Sweetzer v. Helms. Burnisher. Infringement. 10 Off. Gaz. 4.

- SWIFT v. WHISEN. Flour-separater. Charge to jury. Want of novelty. Reissues may be to assignee of assignee. Joining patentee not necessary. Reissue not for same invention. Fraud. Uncertainty in specification. Infringement, comparison of the two machines. 2 Bond, 115; 3 Fisher, 343.
- Sykes v. Manhattan Elevator and Grain Drying Co. Grain-dryer. Preliminary injunctions, unsatisfactory evidence. 6 Blatch. 496.

Т.

TAPE SKIRT CO. (See WOVEN TAPE SKIRT CO.)

- Tappan v. National Bank Note Co. Perforating-machine. Question of abandonment and public use reserved for final hearing. Acquiescence. 4 Blatch. 509; 2 Fisher, 195.
- Tarr v. Folsom. Marine paint. Reissue not for same invention. Want of novelty. 1 Holmes, 313; 5 Off. Gaz. 92.
- Tarr v. Webb. Marine paint. Want of novelty. Reissue not for same invention. 10 Blatch. 96; 5 Fisher, 593; 2 Off. Gaz. 568.
- Tatham v. Leroy. Lead-pipe machine. Identity, rule of law. Infringement, change of form, mechanical equivalents.
 Rule of damages. 2 Blatch. 474.
- Tatham v. Loring. Tube-making. Rights of assignees are only such as inventors have. 5 N. Y. Leg. Obs. 207.
- Tatham v. Lowber. Lead-pipe machine. Assignments from alien patentees. 2 Blatch. 49.
- Taylor v. Archer. Glue for flexible tubing. Want of novelty, foreign patents. Disclaimer during suit. Infringement, equivalents. 8 Blatch. 315; 4 Fisher, 449.
- Taylor v. Collins. Looms. Interpretation of agreement. 102 Mass. 248.
- TAYLOR v. GARRETSON. Mop-head. Reissue not for same invention. Want of novelty. Construction of patents. Infringement, mechanical equivalents, change of form. 9 Blatch. 156; 5 Fisher, 116.

- Taylor v. Wood. Exercise apparatus. Infringement, particular patent. 12 Blatch. 110; 8 Off. Gaz. 90.
- Teese v. Huntingdon. Sluice-fork. General issue, special notice, thirty days. Damages, counsel fees. Credibility of witnesses, how settled. 23 How. 2.
- Teese v. Phelps. General demurrer, allegation of residence of parties. Rules of court. Patentability is mixed question of law and fact. 1 McAllister, 17.
- Teese v. Phelps. Construction of patents. Patent prima facie evidence of novelty. Infringement, change of form, inventive skill. 1 McAllister, 48.
- THATCHER HEATING Co. v. CARBON STOVE Co. Air-heating furnaces. General allegation of infringement is enough. Patentability, novelty. 15 Off. Gaz. 1051.
- Thayer v. Wales. Candle-making. Infringement, want of novelty. 9 Blatch. 170; 5 Fisher, 130.
- Thayer v. Wales. Caudle-making. Appearance and plea by attorney admits jurisdiction. 5 Fisher, 448.
- Thomas v. Quintard. Sperm-oil. Sale of patent. Purchaser having re-sold is estopped to dispute validity. 5 Duer (N. Y.), 80.
- Thomas v. Weeks. Bilge-levers. Preliminary injunctions, exclusive possession. Original inventor, suggestions. 2 Paine, 92.
- Thompson v. Haight. Making carpets. What invention is. Novelty, utility. History of patent laws. 1 U. S. L. J. 563.
- Thompson v. Jacobs. Corsets. Patentability. Decree. 12 Off. Gaz. 890.
- Thompson v. Mendelsohn. Caustic alkalies. Patent suit may be brought wherever defendant is found. 5 Fisher, 187.
- Thompson v. Staats. Medicine. Owning a patent medicine is no excuse for practising medicine without authority. 15 Wend. (N. Y.) 395.

- THOMPSON AND THE PENNSYLVANIA SALT Co. v. BARRY.
 Assignment after preliminary injunction no ground for dissolving it. 2 Weekly Notes, 100.
- TILGHMAN v. HARTELL. Sand-blast. Jurisdiction, pleading. License. 9 Off. Gaz. 886.
- TILGHMAN v. MITCHELL. Purifying fats. Infringement, process. 2 Fisher, 518.
- TILGHMAN v. MITCHELL. Purifying fats. Master's report, specification is addressed to those skilled in the art. Gains and profits. 9 Blatch. 1; 4 Fisher, 599.
- TILGHMAN v. MITCHELL. Purifying fats. Preliminary injunctions. Want of novelty. Expiration of foreign patents before extension. Infringement. 9 Blatch. 18; 4 Fisher, 615.
- TILGHMAN v. Morse. Stone-cutting. Preliminary injunction, want of novelty. Process or art. 9 Blatch. 421; 5 Fisher, 323; 1 Off. Gaz. 574.
- TILGHMAN v. WERK. Purifying fats. Original inventor, utility. Clearness in specification. Principle not patentable. Infringement, process, only part. 1 Bond, 511; 2 Fisher, 229.
- Tillotson v. Munson. Filter-well. Want of novelty. Original inventor. Infringement, performing same function. 5 Bissell, 426.
- Tomlinson v. Battel. Ambrotypes. State courts no jurisdiction where validity of patents is involved. 4 Abb. (N. Y.) 266.
- TOMPKINS v. GAGE. Knitting-machine. Tautological claims. Original inventor, burden of proof. Infringement, mechanical equivalent. 5 Blatch. 269; 2 Fisher, 577.
- Tool Co. (See Middletown Tool Co.)
- TRACY v. TORREY. Cultivators. Preliminary injunctions. Infringement, same principle carried farther. 2 Blatch. 275.
- Trader v. Messmore. Seed-planters. Strict construction of patents. Patentee's construction. 7 Off. Gaz. 385.

- TREADWELL v. BLADEN. Biscuit-finisher. Original inventor, form distinguished from principle. Prior knowledge. Prior patent for same invention. 4 Wash. 703; 1 Robb, 531.
- TREADWELL v. PARROTT. Cannon-making. Practicability, original inventor, state of the art. 5 Blatch. 370; 3 Fisher, 124.
- TREMAINE v. HITCHCOCK. Tremolo attachment. Amendment of bills. 7 Off. Gaz. 1055.
- Tremolo Patent. Tremolo attachment. Amendments after final decree. Profits, &c. 23 How. 518.
- TROY IRON AND NAIL FACTORY v. CORNING. Nail machinery. Original inventor. Plaintiff bound by agreement. 1 Blatch. 467; 6 Fisher, 85.
- Troy Iron and Nail Factory v. Corning. Nail machinery. Master's report. Practice, exceptions to ruling must be made at the time. Profits, admission of defendants. 6 Blatch. 328; 3 Fisher, 497.
- Troy Iron and Nail Factory v. Corning. Nail machinery. Construction of particular agreement. Form of licenses, &c. 14 How. 193.
- Troy Iron and Nail Factory v. Odiorne. Nail machinery. Date of particular machine. 17 How. 72.
- Troy Iron and Nail Factory v. Winslow. Nail machinery. Bills of revivor. Profits. Partnership suit does not abate by death of partner. Cannot sue representatives of deceased partner unless firm is insolvent. 11 Blatch. 513.
- TRUCK Co. (See LOCOMOTIVE ENGINE SAFETY TRUCK Co.)
- Tryon v. White. Comb-machine. Nonsuit for variance. 1 Peters, C. C. 96; 1 Robb, 64.
- Tuck v. Bramfill. Packing for boxes. Want of novelty. When disclaimers must be filed. Proper disclaimers. 6 Blatch. 95; 3 Fisher, 400.
- Tucker v. Spaulding. Saw-teeth. Identity is for jury.
 Rejection of evidence. 13 Wall. 453; 5 Fisher, 297; 1 Off.
 Gaz. 144.

- Tucker v. Tucker Manufacturing Co. Bronzing iron.
 Power of Commissioner. Reissue not for same invention.
 Reissues in general. Infringement. 10 Off. Gaz. 464.
- Tufts v. Boston Manufacturing Co. Hoisting-apparatus. Want of novelty, particular patent. 1 Holmes, 459; 8 Off. Gaz. 239.
- TURBINE Co. (See SWAIN TURBINE Co.)
- Turnbull v. Weir Plow Co. Cultivators. Recording assignments. Bona fide purchasers. 6 Bissell, 225; 7 Off. Gaz. 173.
- Turner v. Green. Patentee of improvement cannot afterwards patent the whole machine. 2 Cranch, C. C. 287.
- Turrell v. Cammerrer. Beer-coolers. General allegation of infringement is enough. 3 Fisher, 462.
- Turrell v. Spaeth. Skates. Compelling production of books. 8 Off. Gaz. 986.
- Turrell v. Spaeth. Skates. Bills to restrain infringement quia timet. New bill for infringements since old one. 9 Off. Gaz. 1163.
- Turrell v. Spaeth. Skates. Original inventor. Infringement, combination, known equivalents. Reissue not for same invention. 14 Off. Gaz. 377.
- Turrill v. Illinois Central Railroad. Railroad-bars. Prior construction of patents. Want of novelty. Utility. 3 Bissell, 66; 3 Fisher, 330.
- Turrill v. Illinois Central Railroad. Anvil. Particular patent. 3 Bissell, 72.
- Turrill v. Illinois Central Railroad. Anvil. Construction of patents by Supreme Court. Damages. Master simply applies principles laid down by court. Profits. 5 Bissell, 344.
- Turrill v. Michigan Southern and Northern Indiana Railroad. Anvil. Patents are not monopolies, but are liberally construed. Decree reversed. 1 Wall. 491.
- TWIST DRILL Co. (See Morse Twist Drill Co.)

- Tyler v. Boston. Oil. Clearness in specification. What "equivalent" means. 7 Wall. 327.
- Tyler v. Devel. What invention is. 1 Am. L. J. (8 Penn. L. J.) 248.
- Tyler v. Hyde. Cotton-presses. Pleas in bar, prior judgment must be direct on validity. 2 Blatch. 308.
- Tyler v. Tuel. Grist-mill. Assignee of part only cannot sue. 6 Cranch, 324; 1 Robb, 14.

U.

- Union Manufacturing Co. v. Lounsbury. Carding-machine. Impracticability. 2 Fisher, 389.
- Union Manufacturing Co. v. Lounsbury. Felting machinery. Violation of agreement, royalty. Patent being extended by Congress does not prevent royalty being due. 41 N. Y. 363.
- Union Manufacturing Co. v. Lounsbury. Felting machinery. Effect of agreement on extension. 42 Barb. (N. Y.) 125.
- Union Metallic Cartridge Co. v. United States Cartridge Co. Cartridge-heads. Infringement, equivalents. Purchaser may repair. 11 Off. Gaz. 1113.
- Union Paper Bag Machine Co. v. Binney. Paper bags. Preliminary injunctions, when granted, concealment by defendant. Infringement by less perfect machine. 5 Fisher, 166.
- Union Paper Bag Machine Co. v. Crane. Paper bags. Decision of Patent Office on interference, not conclusive. Date of invention, estoppel by previous statement. 1 Holmes, 429; 6 Off. Gaz. 801.
- Union Paper Bag Machine Co. v. Murphy. Paper bags. Original inventor. Want of novelty. Patents for parts. Infringement, change of form, when essential. 7 Otto, 120; 13 Off. Gaz. 366.

- UNION PAPER BAG MACHINE Co. v. NEWELL. Paper bags. Repeal of act of 1836 does not affect patents granted under it. 11 Blatch. 379; 6 Fisher, 582; 5 Off. Gaz. 459.
- UNION PAPER BAG MACHINE Co. v. Newell. Paper bags. Defenses not proved cannot be set up on motion to dissolve injunction. 11 Blatch. 549; 5 Off. Gaz. 173.
- Union Paper Bag Machine Co. v. Nixon. Paper bags. Particular patent. Defective specifications. Opinions of other courts. 6 Fisher, 402; 4 Off. Gaz. 31.
- Union Paper Bag Machine Co. v. Nixon. Paper bags. Interpretation of license. Patents are liberally construed. 9 Off. Gaz. 691.
- UNION PAPER BAG MACHINE Co. v. Pultz and Walkley Co. Paper bags. Admissibility of evidence, rejected applications of third party. Abandoned experiments. Original inventor, patent surreptitiously obtained. Infringement, substance of inventions the same. 15 Off. Gaz. 423.
- UNION PAPER COLLAR Co. v. LELAND. Paper collars. Reissue not for same invention. Want of novelty. 1 Holmes, 427; 7 Off. Gaz. 221.
- Union Paper Collar Co. v. Van Deusen. Paper collars. Reissue not for same invention. Want of novelty. 10 Blatch. 109; 5 Fisher, 597; 2 Off. Gaz. 361.
- Union Paper Collar Co. v. Van Deusen. Paper collars. Reissue not for same invention. Parol testimony in reissuing. Original inventor, patent prima facie evidence only. Clearness in specification. 23 Wall. 530.
- Union Paper Collar Co. v. Wiite. Paper collars. Original inventor. Reissue not for same invention. Purpose of reissues. Infringement, "characteristic resemblance." 7
 Off. Gaz. 698; s. c. p. 877. 34 Leg. Int. 143.
- Union Sugar Refinery v. Matthieson. Purifying sugar. Practice, Master should hand in a report, not file papers on the docket. Sudden changes of practice not desirable. Filing papers without leave of court. 3 Cliff. 146.

- Union Sugar Refinery v. Mattheson. Purifying sugar. Patentable combinations. Patents not monopolies. Original inventor, patent prima facie evidence. Nature of infringement. Notice under general issue. Witnesses are presumed to speak the truth. Want of novelty, foreign patents. 3 Cliff. 639; 2 Fisher, 600.
- UNITED NICKEL Co. v. Anthes. Electro-deposition of nickel. Original inventor, abandoned experiments. 1 Holmes, 155; 5 Fisher, 517; 1 Off. Gaz. 578.
- UNITED NICKEL CO. v. KEITH. Electro-deposition of nickel. Original inventor, state of the art. 1 Holmes, 328; 5 Off. Gaz. 272.
- United States v. Burns. Tent. Statutes about contracts of government with military officers for supplies do not apply to patents. 12 Wall. 246.
- United States ex rel. West v. Doughty. Skirts. Bill to vacate patent must appear to be by District Attorney. 7 Blatch, 424.
- UNITED STATES v. Morris. Penalty for putting word "patent" on unpatented articles. 2 Bond, 33; 3 Fisher, 72.
- United States v. Thacher. Boots and shoes. Power of Commissioner. Mandamus. Interfering patents. 2 Mc-Arthur, 24; 7 Off. Gaz. 603.
- United States Annunciator Co. v. Sanderson. Bell telegraph. Opinions of experts. Preliminary injunctions. Bona fide purchasers. 3 Blatch. 184.
- United States and Foreign Salamander Felting Co. v. Asbestos Felting Co. Cover for steam-boilers. Want of novelty. 10 Off. Gaz. 828.
- UNITED STATES AND FOREIGN SALAMANDER FELTING Co. v. HAVEN. Cover for steam-boilers. Want of notice. Court cannot notice defenses not set up. Reissne not for same invention. 3 Dillon, 131; 9 Off. Gaz. 253.
- United States and Foreign Salamander Felting Co. v. Lawrence Manufacturing Co. Cover for steam-boilers. Want of novelty. Infringement, composition. 9 Off. Gaz. 202.

- UNITED STATES AND FOREIGN SALAMANDER FELTING Co. v. MERRIMACK MANUFACTURING Co. Cover for steam-boilers. Want of novelty. Infringement, composition. 9 Off. Gaz. 202.
- United States Gauge Co. v. American Gauge Co. Steamgauge. Prior patents. Infringement, state of art. 1 Holmes, 309; 5 Off. Gaz. 208.
- UNITED STATES RIFLE AND CARTRIDGE Co. v. WHITNEY ARMS Co. Fire-arms. Rejecting patents, laches. Abandonment, weight of Commissioner's decision, experimental use. 14 Blatch. 94; 11 Off. Gaz. 373.

V.

- VALE v. BUTLER. Rotary ovens. Admissibility of evidence. 111 Mass. 55.
- Vance v. Campbell. Cooking-stoves. Charge to jury. Utility, patent prima facie evidence. Infringement. 1 Fisher, 483.
- Vance v. Campbell. Cooking-stoves. Combination must be treated as an entirety. Above reversed. 1 Black, 427.
- Van Hook v. Pendleton. Planing-machine. Preliminary injunctions, validity already established, infringement. Feigned issues. 1 Blatch. 187.
- Vannini v. Paine. Lotteries. Jurisdiction of State courts. Patent lottery cannot be used in States where lotteries are illegal. 1 Harr. (Del.) 65.
- VAN OSTRAND v. REED. Threshing-machine. Sale of patent, worthless machine, false representations. When note is equivalent to payment. 1 Wend. (N. Y.) 424.
- VAUGHAN v. CENTRAL PACIFIC RAILROAD. Cars. Injunction will not issue after patent has expired. Remedies for infringement. Remedy at law. Bills of discovery. Profits. Infringement, colorable alterations. 4 Sawyer, 280.

- VAUGHAN v. EAST TENNESSEE, VIRGINIA, AND GEORGIA RAILROAD. Patent balance. Damages without injunction. Acts of limitation. Profits, bill of discovery. Construction of statute. 11 Off. Gaz. 789.
- VAUGHAN v. PORTER. Balance. Time to request court to charge the jury. Contract. 16 Vt. 266.
- VENTILATING CO. (See LYMAN VENTILATING AND REFRIGERATOR CO.)
- Vetter v. Leutzinger. Window-shades. Patent refused to firm, but granted to one partner, belongs to firm. 31 Iowa, 182.
- Vogler v. Semple. Trunks. Reissue not for same invention. Reissues. Infringement, equivalents. 7 Bissell, 382; 11 Off. Gaz. 923.
- Vose v. Singer. Sewing-machine. Joint owners cannot sue each other for profits without special agreement. 4 Allen, (Mass.) 226.

W.

- WADDING CO. (See Fuzzard Wadding Co.)
- Walker v. Hawxhurst. Penalty for putting word "patent" on unpatented articles. Intent to deceive. 5 Blatch. 494.
- Wallace v. Holmes. Lamps. Sole title. Defenses must be set up to be used. Infringement, combination, making some parts in concert with another making the rest. 9 Blatch. 65; 5 Fisher, 37; 1 Off. Gaz. 117.
- WALTER A. WOOD MOWING AND REAPING MACHINE Co. v. CALDWELL. Mowers and reapers. Indiana law of foreign corporations. Sale of patented articles. Pleading, abatement, demurrer. 54 Ind. 270.
- Warren v. Cole. Soap-making. Contract, fraud, repudiation. Damages in actions of tort. 15 Mich. 265.

- WASHBURN v. GOULD. Planing-machine. Extensions to administrators. Who is entitled to patent. Drawings not referred to in specification. New trial, surprise, reasonable diligence. Written instruments, technical words. 3 Story, 122; 2 Robb, 206.
- Washing Co. (See Bailey Washing Co.; Metropolitan Washing Machine Co.)
- WATERBURY BRASS Co. v. MILLER. Brass kettles. Patent prima facie evidence of utility, novelty, &c. Machines are generally combinations. Infringement, substantially as described. 9 Blatch. 77; 5 Fisher, 48.
- WATERBURY BRASS CO. v. NEW YORK AND BROOKLYN BRASS CO. Brass kettles. Charge to jury. Infringement, any claim of patent. Patents are liberally construed. Want of novelty, patent prima facie evidence. Proper testimony, experts. 3 Fisher, 43.
- WATERMAN v. THOMPSON. Tempering steel. Charge to jury. Original inventor, patent prima facie evidence, prior invention must be useful. 2 Fisher, 461.
- WATERMAN v. WALLACE. Tempering steel. Interpretation of assignments. 13 Blatch. 129.
- WATER-WHEEL CO. (See SWAIN TURBINE CO.)
- WATSON v. BLADEN. Biscuit-finisher. Original inventor. Inventors of improvements. Prior use. 4 Wash. 580; 1 Robb, 510.
- Watson v. Cunningham. Fruit-jars. Patentability of combinations. 4 Fisher, 528.
- WAYNE v. Holmes. Wash-boards. Uncertainty in specification, object of statute provision. Original inventor. Want of novelty. Utility. 1 Bond, 27; 2 Fisher, 20.
- WAYNE v. Winter. Washing-machine. Date of application cannot be proved by parol. 6 McLean, 344.
- Webb v. Quintard. Defensive armor for ships. Want of novelty, printed publications. 9 Blatch. 352; 5 Fisher, 276; 1 Off. Gaz. 525.

- Webster v. New Brunswick Carpet Co. Looms. Infringement, combination. Expert testimony. Want of novelty. 5 Off. Gaz. 522.
- Webster v. New Brunswick Carpet Co. Looms. Exceptions to Master's report. 9 Off. Gaz. 203.
- Webster Loom Co. v. Higgins. Looms. Motion to amend answer. Laches. 13 Blatch. 349; 9 Off. Gaz. 965.
- Webster Loom Co. v. Short. Looms. Cross-bill without notice must be struck from files. 10 Off. Gaz. 1019.
- WEED v. DRAPER. Sole-cutter. Contract, damages. 99 Mass. 53.
- Weed v. Draper. Sole-cutter. Contract, damages. 104 Mass. 28.
- Welling v. Rubber Coated Harness Trimming Co. Martingale rings. Construction of patents, fair interpretation of words. Infringement, well-known substitute. 7 Off. Gaz. 606.
- Welling v. Rubber Coated Harness Trimming Co. Martingale rings. Contempt, violation of injunction. 7 Off. Gaz. 608.
- Wells v. Gill. Hat-machine. Preliminary injunction, appeal to discretion, previous decisions, writ of error pending. Decision of Commissioner. Reissue not for same invention. 6 Fisher, 89; 2 Off. Gaz. 590.
- Wells v. Gill. Hat-machine. Reissne not for same invention. 6 Fisher, 574; 4 Off. Gaz. 669.
- Wells v. Hagaman. Hat-machine. Infringement, particular patent. 29 Leg. Int. 405.
- Wells v. Jacques. Hat-machine. Preliminary injunctions, questions of fact not easily settled on such motions. 5 Fisher, 136.
- Wells v. Jacques. Hat-machine. Patentee's rights. Construction of patents. Priority of defendants. Infringement, combination. 5 Off. Gaz. 364.
- Wells v. Yates. Hat-machine. Preliminary injunctions, appeal to discretion. Previous decisions. Writ of error pending. Decision of Commissioner. Reissue not for same invention. 2 Off. Gaz. 590.

- WERNER v. King. Fluting-machine. Infringement, mechanical equivalents, marked difference. 6 Otto, 218; 13 Off. Gaz. 176.
- WEST v. Morrison. Nail-machine. Contract, worthless patent no ground for rescission. 2 Bibb (Ky.), 376.
- West v. Silver Wire and Skirt Manufacturing Co. Ladies' bustles. Infringement, particular patent. 5 Blatch. 477; 3 Fisher, 306.
- Western Telegraph Co. v. Magnetic Telegraph Co. Telegraph. If no infringement and no violation of contract, no legal remedy. 21 How. 456.
- Western Telegraph Co. v. Penniman. Telegraph. Above affirmed. 21 How, 460.
- Westinghouse v. Gardner and Ransom Air Brake Co. Air-brakes. Purpose of reissues. "Substantially as described" is implied. Original inventor, want of novelty. Reissue not for same invention. Ambiguity. Patentability. 9 Off. Gaz. 538.
- Westlake v. Cartter. Truss-bridges. Sufficiency of notice of defense. Evidence of state of art. Utility. Want of novelty. Infringement, combination. 6 Fisher, 519; 4 Off. Gaz. 636.
- Weston v. Nash. Draining sugar. Foreign patents. Infringement, change of form. 1 Holmes, 488; 7 Off. Gaz. 1096.
- Weston r. White. Differential pulley-blocks. Expiration of foreign patents. 13 Blatch. 364; 9 Off. Gaz. 1196.
- Weston v. White. Differential pulley-blocks. Preliminary injunctions, prior suit at law not required. Abandonment, public and common use. 13 Blatch. 447.
- WETHERILL v. New Jersey Zinc Co. Zinc-making. Contempt, violation of injunction, attempt to invade. 5 Off. Gaz. 460.
- WETHERILL v. PASSAIC ZINC Co. Zinc-making. Interpretation of agreement, right to use, &c. 6 Fisher, 50; 9 Phila. 385; 2 Off. Gaz. 471.

- Wheeler v. Billings. Stone-dressing machine. Assignment, consideration, parol evidence to vary. Exceptions to court's rulings. 38 N. Y. 263.
- Wheeler v. Clipper Mower and Reaper Co. Reaper. Practicability. Reissue not for same invention. Want of novelty. Infringement, taking each part separately. 10 Blatch. 181; 6 Fisher, 1; 2 Off. Gaz. 442.
- Wheeler v. McCormick. Harvester. Pleading, pendency of other suit. Irregular to file two pleas without special leave of court. Jurisdiction. 8 Blatch. 267; 4 Fisher, 433.
- Wheeler v. McCormick. Harvester. Non-joinder of parties. Prior decisions. Several reissues of one patent for its several parts. Want of novelty. Infringement clear. 11 Blatch. 334; 6 Fisher, 551; 4 Off. Gaz. 692.
- Wheeler v. Simpson. Saws. Result not patentable. Infringement, combination. 6 Off. Gaz. 435.
- WHIP CO. (See AMERICAN WHIP CO.)
- Whipple v. Baldwin Manufacturing Co. Cotton-gin.
 Original inventor, patent prima facie evidence. Construction of patents. 4 Fisher, 29.
- WHIPPLE v. HUTCHINSON. Iron bridges. Contempt, violation of injunction. What is open and what not. 4 Blatch. 190.
- Whipple v. Middlesex Company. Cotton-gin. Construction of patents. Want of novelty. Infringement, mechanical changes, same result. 4 Fisher, 41.
- White v. Allen. Fire-arms. Original inventor, patent prima facie evidence. What "first inventor" is. Patentability. Abandonment. Want of novelty, foreign patents, translation. 2 Cliff. 224; 2 Fisher, 440.
- White v. Boker. Fire-arms. Infringement, particular patent. 3 Fisher, 66.
- WHITEHEAD v. KITSON. Cotton-openers. State jurisdiction in patent suits. 119 Mass. 484.
- WHITELY v. FISHER. Plows. Reissues, expiration, antedating.

 Res adjudicatæ. Powers of Commissioners. 4 Fisher, 248.

- WITTELY v. KIRBY. Harvester. Want of novelty. Infringement, colorable alterations. 11 Wall. 678.
- WHITELY v. SWAYNE. Harvester. Acts of public officer, record showing disregard of rules. Oath of assignee to reissue in lifetime of patentee. Reissue not for same invention, fraud on Patent Office. 4 Fisher, 117.
- WHITELY v. SWAYNE. Harvester. Abandoned experiments, first inventor. 7 Wall. 685.
- WHITING v. GRAVES. Sawing-machine. Inventions by employé. Equitable titles. Contract as a license. 13 Off. Gaz. 455.
- WHITNEY v. EMMETT. Glass knobs. What "useful" means. Prior knowledge and use. Uncertainty in specification. Excessive damages. 1 Baldwin, 303; 1 Robb, 567.
- Whitney v. Mowry. Car-wheels. Presumption of novelty strengthened by extension. Want of novelty, state of art. Infringement, substantial identity. Suspension of decree till Master reports. 2 Bond, 45; 3 Fisher, 157.
- Whitney v. Mowry. Car-wheels. Master's report, profits and damages. 4 Fisher, 141.
- Whitney v. Mowry. Car-wheels. Master's report, evidence. Profits, &c. Fraud not open collaterally. 4 Fisher, 207.
- WHITNEY v. ROLLSTONE MACHINE WORKS. Lathes, irregular forms. Preliminary injunctions. Prior patent expired. 8 Off. Gaz. 908.
- WHITTEMORE v. CUTTER. Wool-card machine. Right to sue, assignee of half, joint action. Infringement, making to use, but not using. Irregular oath of plaintiff. Clearness in specification. Damages, counsel fees, &c. 1 Gall. 429; 1 Robb, 28.
- Whittemore v. Cutter. Wool-card machine. Inventors of part only. What identity is. Dedication. Actual damages. 1 Gall. 478; 1 Robb, 40.
- WICKE P. KLEINKNECHT. Box-nailing machine. Territorial license to use. Used outside. 7 Off. Gaz. 1098.

- Wicks v. Stevens. Cotton-presses. Reissue not for same invention. 2 Woods, 310.
- WILBUR v. BEECHER. Bark-mill. Charge to jury. Clearness in specification. Degree of utility required. Infringement, change of shape. Damages. 2 Blatch. 132.
- WILCOX v. KOMP. Hoop-skirts. Infringement clear. Original inventor. 7 Blatch. 126.
- WILDER v. ADAMS. Safe. Covenant, consideration, defenses allowed. Fraud. No profits from patent. Proper remedy is on the covenant. 2 W. & M. 329.
- WILDER v. GAYLOR. Fire-proof safe. Notice under general issue. Special pleas. 1 Blatch. 597.
- WILDER v. McCormick. Fire-proof safe. Demurrers in general. Technical defects may be amended. 2 Blatch. 31.
- WILDER v. STEARNS. Safe. Sale under contract. Royalty. 48 N. Y. 656,
- Wilkins v. Spafford. Bristle-machine. Invention by employé, exclusive license to employer. Contract to serve. 13 Off. Gaz. 675.
- WILLIAMS v. EMPIRE TRANSPORTATION Co. Plea to jurisdiction. Foreign corporation found in State. 14 Off. Gaz. 523.
- WILLIAMS v. HICKS. Saddles. Note, worthless patent, not admissible under non assumpsit. False representations not a good defense. 2 Vt. 36.
- WILLIAMS v. LEONARD. Interlocutory decree. Profits and damages. Salaries of defendants. 9 Blatch. 476; 5 Fisher, 381.
- WILLIAMS v. ROME, WATERTOWN, AND OGDENSBURG RAIL-ROAD. Locomotive-lamps. Patentable combinations, aggregations. Combination is entirety. Want of novelty. 15 Off. Gaz. 653.
- Wilson v. Barnum. Planing-machine. Authority of action of patent-office on title to patent. Construction of patents.
 Infringement, combination, change of form. 2 Fisher, 635.

- WILSON v. BARNUM. Planing-machine. Want of novelty, patent prima facie evidence. Weight of ex parte proceedings. Granting injunctions. Dissolution of injunctions. 1 Wall. Jr. 347; 2 Robb, 749.
- WILSON v. BARNUM. Planing-machine. Jurisdiction of Supreme Court on certificate of division is only for law points. 8 How. 258.
- Wilson v. Janes. Cooking-stove. Verdict set aside as against evidence. 3 Blatch, 227.
- Wilson v. Marlow. Weather-guards for windows. Construction of contracts, implied covenants. 66 Ill. 385.
- WILSON v. ROUSSEAU. Planing-machine. Ten questions certified to United States Supreme Court. 1 Blatch. 3.
- WILSON v. ROUSSEAU. Planing-machine. Extensions to administrators. Covenant. Party claiming under administrator can sue one claiming under patentee. Right of assignee to sue. Action of Board of Commissioners not conclusive. Authority to reissue. 4 How. 646; 2 Robb, 373.
- WILSON v. SANDFORD. Planing-machine. Jurisdiction, no appeal lies on bill to set aside assignment. 10 How. 99.
- WILSON v. Sherman. Planing-machine. Preliminary injunction, violation of license, misapprehension. 1 Blatch. 536.
- WILSON v. SIMPSON. Planing-machine. Fraud, hearsay evidence. Right of assignee to continue to use, right to repair. 9 How. 109.
- Wilson v. Stolly. Planing-machine. Reasonable notice of injunction. 4 McLean, 273.
- WILSON v. STOLLY. Planing-machine. License as a defense. Evidence of forfeiture of license. 4 McLean, 275.
- WILSON v. STOLLY. Planing-machine. License may be assigned. Forfeiture of license by abandonment or neglect. 5 McLean, 1.
- Wilson v. Turner. Planing-machine. Rights of assignees and grantees. After extension. Valuable inventions. What "renewal" means. Taney, Dec. 278.

- WILSON v. TURNER. Planing-machine. "Wilson v. Rousseau settles this case." 4 How. 712; 2 Robb, 467.
- WILSON PACKING Co. v. CLAPP. Cooked corned beef. Want of novelty. Granting preliminary injunctions. Intimidation. 13 Off. Gaz. 368.
- WILTON v. THE RAILROADS. Notice of prior knowledge and use, particularity required. 1 Wall. Jr. 192; 2 Robb, 641.
- Winans v. Boston and Providence Railroad. Cars. Invalidity of particular patent. 2 Story, 412; 2 Robb, 136.
- Winans v. Denmead. Cars. When change of form is material. 15 How. 330.
- Winans v. Eaton. Cars. Preliminary injunctions, reasonable doubt. Infringement. 1 Fisher, 181.
- Winans v. New York and Erie Railroad. Cars. Charge to jury. Want of novelty, patent prima facie evidence. Construction of patents. Disclaimer. 1 Fisher, 213.
- Winans v. New York and Erie Railroad. Cars. Rejection of evidence, expert testimony sometimes unnecessary. 21 How. 88.
- WINANS v. NEW YORK AND HARLEM RAILROAD. Cars.
 Original inventor. Want of novelty. Infringement, improvements. Damages. 4 Fisher, 1.
- Winans v. Schenectady and Troy Railroad. Cars. Patent-office proceedings prima facie valid. 2 Blatch. 279.
- WINDMILL CO. (See CONTINENTAL WINDMILL CO.)
- WING v. RICHARDSON. Plate-holder for cameras. Original inventor, patent prima facie evidence, how far presumption extends. Date of invention. Want of novelty. 2 Cliff. 449; 2 Fisher, 535.
- WING v. SCHOONMAKER. Plate-holder for cameras. Original inventor, state of art. 3 Fisher, 607.
- WING v. WARREN. Plate-holder for cameras. Reissues to patentee after assignment. 5 Fisher, 548; 2 Off. Gaz. 342.

- WINTERMUTE v. REDINGTON. Water-wheel. Charge to jury.
 Assignments by administrators. Rights of inventors.
 Utility. Patents for improvements. Principle not patentable. "Machine." Damages. Infringement, identity.
 1 Fisher, 239.
- WIRE RAIL CO. (See NEW YORK WIRE RAIL CO.)
- WISE v. ALLIS. Balancing millstones. Notice of prior use, particularity required. 9 Wall. 737.
- Wonson v. Gilman. Marine paint. Infringement. 11 Off. Gaz. 1011.
- Wonson v. Peterson. Marine paint. Reissue not for same invention. Defenses must be set up in answer. 13 Off. Gaz. 549.
- WOOD, EX PARTE. Repeal of patents. Mandamus. Scire facias. Matters of record. 9 Wheat. 603; 1 Robb, 438.
- WOOD v. CLEVELAND ROLLING MILL Co. Nuts. Time within which to apply for patent. 4 Fisher, 550.
- Wood v. Michigan Southern Railroad. Car-brakes. Construction of assignment, assignee before extension may use after. 2 Bissell, 62; 3 Fisher, 464.
- Wood v. Underhill. Brick-making. Uncertainty in specification. 5 How. 1; 2 Robb, 588.
- Wood v. Union Iron Works. Nuts. Time within which to apply for patent. 4 Fisher, 550.
- Wood v. Wells. Landau doors. Construction of license. 6 Fisher, 382.
- Wood v. Williams. Clover-machine. Process to repeal patents. Patent suits are between parties, not United States. Surreptitiously obtained. 1 Gilpin, 517; 1 Robb, 717.
- WOODBURY v. WILCOX. Invention is perfected conception. 2 A. L. T. (U. S.) R. 129.
- WOODCOCK v. PARKER. Splitting leather. Who first inventor is in law. 1 Gall. 438; 1 Robb, 37.
- WOODMAN v. STIMPSON. Ornamenting leather. Patents are construed for benefit of inventor. Patentability. Want of novelty. Original inventor. First application. Combinations. Date of invention. 3 Fisher, 98.

- WOOD PAPER CO. (See AMERICAN WOOD PAPER CO.)
- Wood Paper Patent. (Appeal, American Wood Paper Co. v. Fibre Disintegrating Co.) Patentability, new process with old result. Want of novelty. Reissue not for same invention. Infringement. 23 Wall. 566.
- WOODRUFF v. BARNEY. Retaxing costs, mileage for witnesses out of State, models, copies of patents. 1 Bond, 528; 2 Fisher, 244.
- WOODWARD v. DINSMORE. Solar camera. Validity of reissues. Reissue not for same invention. Original inventor, printed publications. 4 Fisher, 163.
- Woodward v. Morrison. Flour paste. State of art. Infringement, compound, well-known equivalents. Specifications are addressed to those skilled in the art. 1 Holmes, 124; 5 Fisher, 357; 2 Off. Gaz. 120.
- Woodworth v. Cheever. Planing-machine. Single witness against patentee and his presumption. Extensions to administrators. Assignee after extension. Security for costs. 3 Story, 171; 2 Robb, 257.
- Woodworth v. Cook. Planing-machine. Agreement, bona fide purchasers. Misjoinder of plaintiffs. 2 Blatch. 151.
- Woodworth v. Curtis. Planing-machine. Right to use one machine, implies right to make it. Use after expiration of license. 2 W. & M. 524; 2 Robb, 603.
- Woodworth v. Edwards. Planing-machine. Omission of oath to bill. Patent for twenty-eight years by extensions, legality. Demurrers overruled. Dissolving injunctions. 3 W. & M. 120; 2 Robb, 610.
- Woodworth v. Hall. Planing-machine. When injunctions will issue, long possession. Extensions to administrators. "Acting Commissioners." Reissues relate back. Certified copies of patents as evidence. 1 W. & M. 248; 2 Robb, 495.
- WOODWORTH v. HALL. Planing-machine. Chief clerk acting as Commissioner, validity of patents granted. Reissues relate back. Dissolution of injunctions. 1 W. & M. 389; 2 Robb, 517.

- Woodworth v. Rogers. Planing-machine. Contempt, same principle. Dissolving injunctions. Injunction as a remedy. 3 W. & M. 135; 2 Robb, 625.
- Woodworth v. Sherman. Planing-machine. Single witness against patentee and his presumption. Extensions to administrators. Assignee after extension. Security for costs. 3 Story, 171; 2 Robb, 257.
- WOODWORTH v. STONE. Planing-machine. Dissolution of injunctions after reissue. Assignee's consent to reissue. Reissue not for same invention. 3 Story, 749; 2 Robb, 296.
- WOODWORTH v. WEED. Planing-machine. Forfeiture of license. 1 Blatch. 165.
- Woodworth v. Wilson. Planing-machine. Assignee's right to apply for extension. Original inventor. Clearness in specification. Assignee's and assignor's right to sue. 4 How. 712; 2 Robb, 473.
- Woolcocks v. Many. Speaking-tube whistles. Infringement, combination, equivalents. 9 Blatch. 139; 5 Fisher, 72.
- WOOSTER v. CALHOUN. Ruffle. Product not patentable. Original inventor. 11 Blatch. 215; 6 Fisher, 514.
- WOOSTER v. SIDENBERG. Sewing-machine. Assignee before extension uses after. 13 Blatch. 88; 10 Off. Gaz. 244.
- Wooster v. Taylor. Sewing-machine. Agreement. Assignments before extension. Nominal consideration. 12 Blatch. 384; 8 Off. Gaz. 644.
- Wooster v. Taylor. Sewing-machine. Master's report, profits and damages. 14 Blatch. 403.
- WOVEN TAPE SKIRT Co., IN RE. Skirts. Receiver of corporation has the exclusive right to use its patents. Infringement suits must be brought in United States courts. 12 Hun (N. Y), 111.
- WRIGHT v. GLICK. Farm-gates. Bill dismissed. 13 Off. Gaz. 47.

- Wright v. Hickey. Farm-gates. Bill dismissed. 13 Off. Gaz. 47.
- WRIGHT v. McMillan. Farm-gates. Bill dismissed. 13 Off. Gaz. 47.
- WRIGHT v. SMITHPETER. Farm-gates. Bill dismissed. 13 Off. Gaz. 47.
- Wright v. Wilson. Looms. Breach of warranty. State court has jurisdiction except where validity is involved. 11 Rich. (S. C.) 144.
- Wringing Machine Co. (See Metropolitan Wringing Machine Co.)
- Wyeth v. Stone. Ice-cutter. Want of novelty. Infringement, same mode of operation. Public use, abandonment. Refusing injunctions. Construction of patents. Recording assignments. 1 Story, 273; 2 Robb, 23.

Y.

- Yale and Greenleaf Manufacturing Co. v. North. Locks. Want of novelty, combinations. 5 Blatch. 455; 3 Fisher, 279.
- YORK AND MARYLAND LINE RAILROAD v. WINANS. Cars. Infringement, corporation in other State connected with one in this. 17 How. 30.
- Young v. Hunter. Wagon-wheels. Contract, time to try and elect, reassignment, conditions precedent. 6 N. Y. 203.
- Young v. Lippman. Hoop-skirt springs. Infringement, particular patent. 9 Blatch. 277; 5 Fisher, 230; 2 Off. Gaz. 249.
- Young v. Lippman. Hoop-skirt springs. Motion to dissolve. Want of novelty. 9 Blatch. 283; 5 Fisher, 236; 2 Off. Gaz. 342.

Yuengling v. Johnson. Liquor-register. Preliminary injunctions, reasonable notice no longer required. Discretion of United States Courts. Action of Commissioner is prima facie evidence for or against. New combination of old devices is not entitled to equivalents. 1 Hughes, 607.

Z_{i}

ZANE v. PECK. Self-closing faucet. Want of novelty, economy, structural change. 12 Off. Gaz. 518



PART II.

SYNOPSIS OF LAW POINTS.



PART II.

SYNOPSIS OF LAW POINTS.

ABANDONED EXPERIMENTS.

- Single machine, twenty years before, not enough. Blake v. Rawson, 1 Holmes, 200; 6 Fisher, 74; 3 Off. Gaz. 122.
- Will not anticipate patent if unknown to patentee. Cahoon v. Ring, 1 Cliff. 592; 1 Fisher, 397.
- Experiments equivocal in their results and given up for years will not anticipate. Ellithorp v. Robertson, 4 Blatch. 307; 2 Fisher, 83.
- Cast aside, taken apart, and parts used for other purposes. Gallahue v. Butterfield, 10 Blatch. 232; 6 Fisher, 203; 2 Off. Gaz. 645.
- Lost arts, finally forgotten. Gaylor v. Wilder, 10 How. 477.
- Old remains. Howe v. Underwood, 1 Fisher, 160.
- Attempt to produce, if unsuccessful will not anticipate. Many v. Sizer, 1 Fisher, 17.
- Statement to that effect by plaintiff not admissible. Many v. Jagger, 1 Blatch. 372.
- Did not go into use, and were abandoned. McCormick v. Seymour, 3 Blatch. 209.
- Never made public, and at last abandoned and lost. Murphy v. Eastham, 1 Holmes, 113; 5 Fisher, 306; 2 Off. Gaz. 61.
- Experimental and fruitless. National Car Spring Co. v. Union Car Spring Co., 12 Blatch. 80; 6 Off. Gaz. 221.
- Successful experiments in public which show the merits of the invention, though disused, are not abandoned. Northwest Fire Extinguisher Co. v. Philadelphia Fire Extinguisher Co., 6 Off. Gaz. 34.
- Private use, reasonable diligence. Ransom v. Mayor of New York, 1 Fisher, 252.

- Temporary disuse because demand was light is not abandoned, experiment. Snow v. Tapley, 13 Off. Gaz. 548.
- Abortive experiments, abandoned after filing caveat. Stainthorp v. Elkinton, 1 Fisher, 349.
- If they furnish definite ideas to inventor defeats his patent. Union Paper Bag Machine Co. v. Pultz and Walkley Co., 15 Off.Gaz. 423.
- However suggestive, producing no practical result, does not anticipate. United Nickel Co. v. Anthes, 1 Holmes, 155; 5 Fisher, 517; 1 Off. Gaz. 578.
- Inventor may enter the field abandoned by a less successful inventor. Whitely v. Swayne, 7 Wall. 685.

(See Original Inventor.)

ABANDONMENT.

- Acquiescence in use by public. Howe v. Williams, 2 Fisher, 395; Wyeth v. Stone, 1 Story, 273; 2 Robb, 23.
- Rejected application. Adams v. Edwards, 1 Fisher, 1; Goodyear Dental Vulcanite Co. v. Wetherbee, 2 Cliff. 555; 3 Fisher, 87.
- Continuity of applications where no actual abandonment. Goodyear Dental Vulcanite Co. v. Willis, 7 Off. Gaz. 41.
- After application, proof must be clear. M'Millin v. Barclay, 5 Fisher, 189.
- Between successive applications; public use. Howe v. Newton, 2 Fisher, 531.
- Remissness of agents in filing application after it was ready. Birdsell v. McDonald, 6 Off. Gaz. 682.
- Before first application. Rich v. Lippincott, 2 Fisher, 1.
- Causes of, patriotism, generosity, despair, &c. Adams v. Edwards, 1 Fisher, 1.
- Delay, involuntary. Adams v. Jones, 1 Fisher, 527.
- Delay while perfecting invention. Kendall v. Winsor, 21 How. 322; Agawam Co. v. Jordan, 7 Wall. 583.
- May be done at any time. American Hide and Leather Splitting, &c. Co. v. American Tool Co., 1 Holmes, 503; 4 Fisher, 281.
- After patent issues, proof must be strong. Bell v. Daniels, 1 Bond, 212; 1 Fisher, 372.

Five years lying dormant. Carleton v. Atwood, 2 A. L. T. (U. S.) R. 129.

Nine years' neglect and invention by others. Consolidated Fruit Jar Co. v. Wright, 12 Blatch. 149; 6 Off. Gaz. 327; also 4 Otto, 92.

Machine put away in cellar. Hall v. Bird, 6 Blatch. 438; 3 Fisher, 595.

Reissne prima facie evidence against. Hoffheims v. Brandt, 3 Fisher, 218.

Principles of abandonment. Johnson v. Fassman, 1 Woods, 138; 5 Fisher, 471; 2 Off. Gaz. 94.

Unavoidable delay. Jones v. Sewall, 3 Cliff. 563; 6 Fisher, 343; 2 Off. Gaz. 630.

Mere delay is not enough. Kelleher v. Darling, 14 Off. Gaz. 673. Delay during war is not. Knox v. Loweree, 6 Off. Gaz. 802.

Public use as evidence. Locomotive Engine Safety Truck Co. v. Pennsylvania Railroad, 6 Off. Gaz. 927.

Non-action for eighteen years, original reason immaterial. Marsh v. Commissioner of Patents, 3 Bissell, 321.

Too long delay and invention by others. Marsh v. Sayles, 5 Fisher, 610; 2 Off. Gaz. 398.

Sale by inventor within two years not conclusive. McCormick v. Seymour, 2 Blatch. 240.

Evidence of. Pickering v. McCulloch, 13 Off. Gaz. 818.

Cannot be recalled. Ransom v. Mayor of New York, 1 Fisher, 252.

Publicity of experiments to test the invention. Andrews v. Carman, 13 Blatch. 307.

Public use for ten years with consent of inventor. Bevin v. East Hampton Bell Co., 9 Blatch. 50; 5 Fisher, 23.

Use to test qualities and remedy defects. City of Elizabeth v. Pavement Co., 7 Otto, 126.

Must be to the public, and must be set up in answer. Clark v. Scott, 9 Blatch. 301; 5 Fisher, 245; 2 Off. Gaz. 4.

Delay, poverty and wrong action by Patent Office. Colgate v. Western Union Telegraph Co., 14 Off. Gaz. 943.

Omission to claim in patent. Conklin v. Stafford, 5 Off. Gaz. 235. Lapse of time from issue to suit. Emerson v. Hogg, 2 Blatch. 7.

Disuse of patent after issue is not abandonment. Gray v. James, Peters, C. C. 394; 1 Robb, 120.

Inference from specification. Henderson v. Cleveland Co-operative Store Co., 12 Off. Gaz. 4.

Element taken out of model and substitute put in. Johnson v. Root, 2 Cliff. 108; 2 Fisher, 291.

Publication of discovery and free use by public. Pennock v. Dialogue, 4 Wash. 538; 1 Robb, 466.

Consent is necessary. Pierson v. Eagle Screw Co., 3 Story, 402; 2 Robb, 268.

Declarations of intention not enough. Pitts v. Hall, 2 Blatch.

Before first application. Rich v. Lippincott, 2 Fisher, 1.

Construction of sect. 7 of 1839, on prior use. Sanders v. Logan, 2 Fisher, 167.

Does not depend on intention, but fact. Shaw v. Cooper, 7 Peters, 29; 1 Robb, 643.

Forfeitures and abandonment not favored, but must be conclusively shown. Singer v. Braunsdorf, 7 Blatch. 521.

Laches of his attorneys. Weston v. White, 13 Blatch. 447.

Claim for combination only is abandonment of its parts. Batten v. Taggert, 2 Wall. Jr. 101.

Sales by inventor more than two years before. Earl v. Page, 6 N. H. 477.

Rejected twice, delay of eleven years, four patents meanwhile. Gates v. Benson, 3 A. L. T. (U. S.) R. 113.

Evidence must be of a distinctive character. Hovey v. Henry, 3 West. L. J. 153.

Neglect for nine years. Rowley v. Mason, 2 A. L. T. (U. S.) R. 106.

Mere lapse of time not conclusive. Russell and Erwin Manufacturing Co. v. Mallory, 10 Blatch. 140; 5 Fisher, 632; 2 Off. Gaz. 495.

Abandonment is a fact, not a conclusion of law. Sprague v. Adriance, 14 Off. Gaz. 308.

Weight of Commissioner's decision upon. United States Rifle and Cartridge Co. v. Whitney Arms Co., 14 Blatch. 94; 11 Off. Gaz. 373.

Experimental use. United States Rifle and Cartridge Co. v. Whitney Arms Co., 14 Blatch. 94; 11 Off. Gaz. 373.

(See Dedication; License; Pleading; Preliminary Injunctions.)

ABATEMENT.

(See Partners; Pleading.)

ACCIDENT.

(See Invention.)

ACCORD AND SATISFACTION.

(See Contract.)

ACCOUNT.

Right to an account is incident of and dependent on injunction. Draper v. Hudson, 208; 6 Fisher, 327; 3 Off. Gaz. 354.

Where injunction cannot be granted at once. Imlay v. Norwich and Worcester Railroad, 4 Blatch. 227; 1 Fisher, 340.

Without injunction. Smith v. Baker's Administrators, 5 Off. Gaz. 496.

Without injunction, patent having expired. Imlay v. Norwich and Worcester Railroad, 4 Blatch. 227; 1 Fisher, 340; Seymour v. Marsh, 6 Fisher, 115; 9 Phila. 380; 2 Off. Gaz. 675.

Account of profits. Mowry v. Whitney, 14 Wall. 620; 5 Fisher, 494; 1 Off. Gaz. 492; Providence Rubber Co. v. Goodyear, 9 Wall. 788.

(See BILL; ESTOPPEL; JURISDICTION.)

ACKNOWLEDGMENT.

(See Contract.)

ACQUIESCENCE.

(See Infringement; Injunction; Preliminary Injunctions.)

ACTS.

Of 1789, construction. Chaffee v. Hayward, 20 How. 208. 1836, sect. 14. Merchant v. Lewis, 1 Bond, 172.

1836, repeal of, does not affect patents granted under it. Union Paper Bag Machine Co. v. Newell, 11 Blatch. 379; 6 Fisher, 582; 5 Off. Gaz. 459.

1839, constitutionality. Blanchard v. Sprague, 3 Sumner, 535; 1 Robb, 734.

Evans's special act. Evans v. Jordan and Morehead, 9 Cranch, 199; 1 Robb, 57; Evans v. Weiss, 2 Wash. 342; 1 Robb, 10.

Woodworth's special act. Gibson v. Gifford, 1 Blatch. 529.

(See Abandonment; Extensions; Fraud; Public Officer; Reissue; Statutes.)

ADMINISTRATOR.

Appointment of, not open collaterally. Northwest Fire Extinguisher Co. v. Philadelphia Fire Extinguisher Co., 6 Off. Gaz. 34.

Liability, royalties are not "new assets." Robinson v. Hodge, 117 Mass. 222.

Rights of administratrix *versus* assignee. Oliver v. Morgan, 10 Heisk. (Tenn.) 322.

(See Assignment; Extensions; Patent; Reissue.)

ADMISSIONS.

Of parties to contract. Goodyear v. Cary, 4 Blatch. 271.

Generally. Ely v. Monson, 4 Fisher, 64.

By defendant, weight of. Hussey v. McCormick, 1 Bissell, 300; 1 Fisher, 509.

Striking out admission of infringement. Morehead v. Jones, 3 Wall. Jr. 306; Ruggles v. Eddy, 11 Blatch. 524.

(See Answer; Preliminary Injunctions.)

ADVICE OF COUNSEL.

(See Injunction.)

AFFIDAVITS.

Not entitled in cause. Buerk v. Imhauser, 10 Off. Gaz. 907.

Immaterial. Lockwood v. Lockwood, 33 Iowa, 198.

In support of bill. Brooks v. Bicknell, 3 McLean, 250; 2 Robb, 118.

Taken before bill filed. Baldwin v. Bernhard, 5 Fisher, 442; 2 Off. Gaz. 320; 9 Blatch. 509, note.

Practice as to. Day v. Boston Belting Co., 16 Law Rep. 329. (See Preliminary Injunctions.)

AGENTS.

(See Abandonment; Bill; Infringement; Injunction; Note; Preliminary Injunctions; Sale.)

AGREEMENT.

(See CONTRACT.)

AGGREGATION.

(See Combination; Patentability; Want of Novelty.)

ALIEN.

Assignments from. Tatham v. Lowber, 2 Blatch. 49. False oath. Child v. Adams, 3 Wall. Jr. 20; 1 Fisher, 189. (See Assignment.)

ALLEGATION.

- General allegation of infringement is enough. Haven v. Brown, 6 Fisher, 413; Thatcher Heating Co. v. Carbon Stove Co., 15 Off. Gaz. 1051; Turrell v. Cammerrer, 3 Fisher, 462.
- That infringement is contrary to statute, not necessary. Parker v. Haworth, 4 McLean, 370; 2 Robb, 725.
- Of petition for patent, not recited. Evans v. Chambers, 2 Wash. 125; 1 Robb, 7.
- Of public use, notice of places required. Agawam Co. v. Jordan, 7 Wall. 583.
- Of residence of parties. Teese v. Phelps, 1 McAllister, 17. (See Pleading; Public Use.)

AMBIGUITY.

(See Reissue; Uncertainty.)

AMENDMENT.

(See Answer; Bill; Corporation; Declaration; Decree; Evidence.)

ANSWER.

- Amending, laches. Webster Loom Co. v. Higgins, 13 Blatch. 349; 9 Off. Gaz. 965.
- Amending after decree. India-rubber Comb Co. v. Phelps, 8 Blatch. 85; 4 Fisher, 315.
- Amending by striking out admission of use of articles or infringement. Morehead v. Jones, 3 Wall. Jr. 306; Ruggles v. Eddy, 11 Blatch. 524.
- Prematurely filed. Brooks v. Bicknell, 3 McLean, 250; 2 Robb, 118.
 - (See Abandonment; Defense; Evidence; Injunction; Patent.)

ANTICIPATION.

(See Want of Novelty.)

APPEALS.

- No appeal lies on bill to set aside assignment. Wilson v. Sandford, 10 How. 99.
- From Commissioner, refusal to reissue. Gould, II. W., Ex parte, 1 McArthur, 410; 5 Off. Gaz. 121.
- From Commissioner. Conklin v. Stafford, 5 Off. Gaz. 235; Merrill, Rufus S., 5 Off. Gaz. 120; Pennock, J. L., 5 Off. Gaz. 668.
- Cross-appeals. Blake v. Robertson, 4 Otto, 728; 11 Off. Gaz. 877; Robertson v. Blake, 4 Otto, 728; 11 Off. Gaz. 877.
- Only from final decrees. Barnard v. Gibson, 7 How. 650.
- None from discretion of Circuit Court. Dean v. Mason, 20 How. 198.
- Case coming up by discretion comes up as a whole. Hogg v. Emerson, 6 How. 437; 2 Robb, 655.
- Dismissing, plaintiffs owning both sides of litigation. American Wood Paper Co. v. Heft, 8 Wall. 333.
- Dismissing, parting with interest. Dean v. Mason, 20 How. 198.
- No appeal from injunction and account till Master reports. Potter v. Mack, 3 Fisher, 428.
- Frivolous appeal, double costs. Rice v. Garnhart, 34 Wisc. 470.

APPEARANCE.

(See Jurisdiction; Waiver.)

APPLICATION.

Continuity of, withdrawing one and putting in other. Godfrey v. Eames, 1 Wall. 317.

Date. Singer v. Braunsdorf, 7 Blatch. 521.

Date cannot be proved by parol. Wayne v. Winter, 6 McLean, 344.

First application. Woodman v. Stimpson, 3 Fisher, 98.

Where there are several, patent is presumed to issue on the first. Pelton v. Waters, 7 Off. Gaz. 425.

For patents are ex parte only. Potter v. Stevens, 2 Fisher, 163. Time, within which to apply for patent. Wood v. Cleveland

Rolling Mill Co., 4 Fisher, 550.

(See ABANDONMENT; ASSIGNEE; EVIDENCE; INVENTION;
PRIOR USE; PUBLIC USE.)

APPORTIONMENT.

(See Assignment; Damages.)

APPROXIMATIONS.

(See Invention.)

ARBITRATORS.

Presumed to decide correctly. Reedy v. Scott, 7 Off. Gaz. 463.

ART.

Patents for. French v. Rogers, 1 Fisher, 133. Lost arts. Gaylor v. Wilder, 10 How. 477.

(See Abandoned Experiments; Construction of Patents; Definition; Patentability.)

ASSIGNEES.

Assignees of executors. Carew v. Boston Elastic Fabrics Co., 3 Cliff. 356; 5 Fisher, 90.

- Assignee of executor may reissue. Carew v. Boston Elastic Fabrics Co., 1 Holmes, 45; 1 Off. Gaz. 91.
- Must join in surrender and reissue. Meyer v. Bailey, 8 Off. Gaz. 437.
- Legal representatives include assignees. Hamilton v. Kingsbury, 14 Off. Gaz. 448.
- Assignee sues, not patentee. Herbert v. Adams, 4 Mason, 15; 1 Robb, 505.
- Cannot sue in equity. Ogle v. Ege, 4 Wash. 581; 1 Robb, 516. Joining as plaintiffs. Stein v. Goddard, 1 McAllister, 82.
- Of part, cannot sue. Tyler v. Tuel, 6 Cranch, 324; 1 Robb, 14.
- Right to sue. Wilson v. Rousseau, 4 How. 646; 2 Robb, 373; Woodworth v. Wilson, 4 How. 712; 2 Robb, 473.
- Rights after extension. Eunson v. Dodge, 18 Wall. 414; 5 Off. Gaz. 95; Wilson v. Turner, Taney, Dec. 278; Woodworth v. Cheever, 3 Story, 171; 2 Robb, 257.
- Before extension uses after. Wood v. Michigan Southern Railroad, 2 Bissell, 62; 3 Fisher, 464; Wooster v. Sidenberg, 13 Blatch. 88; 10 Off. Gaz. 244.
- Rights are only such as inventors have. Tatham v. Loring, 5 N. Y. Leg. Obs. 207.
- Right to continue to use and repair. Wilson v. Simpson, 9 How. 109.
- Right to apply for extension. Woodworth v. Wilson, 4 How. 712; 2 Robb, 473.
- Territorial assignee, rights of. McKay v. Wooster, 2 Sawyer, 373; 6 Fisher, 375; 3 Off. Gaz. 441; Simpson v. Wilson, 4 How. 709; 2 Robb, 469.
- Territorial assignee cannot stop patentee or others working outside of his territory. Kempton v. Bray, 99 Mass. 350.
- (See Administrator; Assignment; Injunction; Reissue; Sale.)

ASSIGNMENTS.

By administrators. Wintermute v. Redington, 1 Fisher, 239. Agreement to assign does not bar suits. Park v. Little, 3 Wash. 196; 1 Robb, 17.

From alien patentees. Tatham v. Lowber, 2 Blatch. 49.

Apportionment of rights. Brooks v. Byam, 2 Story, 525; 2 Robb, 161.

Patents can be divided only according to United States laws. Kempton v. Bray, 99 Mass. 350.

Assignments in whole or in part. Parker v. Haworth, 4 Mc-Lean, 370; 2 Robb, 725.

To company and use by its assignee. Emigh v. Chamberlain, 1 Bissell, 367; 2 Fisher, 192.

Compelling assignments. Binney v. Annan, 107 Mass. 94.

Conditional assignments. Brooks v. Stolley, 3 McLean, 523; 2 Robb, 281.

Consideration, parol evidence to vary. Wheeler v. Billings, 38 N. Y. 263.

Construction of, extraneous facts. Day v. Cary, 1 Fisher, 424. Construction of, written instruments. Day v. Stellman, 1 Fisher, 487.

Construction of, before issue and before extension. Gear v. Grosvenor, 1 Holmes, 215; 6 Fisher, 314; 3 Off. Gaz. 380.

Construction of, habendum clause. Gear v. Holmes, 6 Fisher, 595; Jenkins v. Nicolson Pavement Co., 1 Abbott, 567; 4 Fisher, 201.

Construction, "term for which are or may be granted." American Nicolson Pavement Co. v. Jenkins, 14 Wall. 452; 5 Fisher, 491; 1 Off. Gaz. 465.

Copies of, certified. Lee v. Blandy, 1 Bond, 361; 2 Fisher, 89. Date of. Dyer v. Rich, 1 Met. (Mass.) 180.

Parol declarations of patentee, effect. Baldwin v. Sibley, 1 Cliff, 150.

Amounting to quitclaim deed. Gilmore v. Aiken, 118 Mass. 94. To defendant, effect. Clum v. Brewer, 2 Curtis, 506.

Effect on extension. Blanchard Gunstock Factory v. Warner, 1 Blatch. 258; Chase v. Walker, 3 Fisher, 120; Mowry v. Grand Street and Newton Railroad, 10 Blatch. 89; 5 Fisher, 586.

Effect of, by statute. Brooks v. Bicknell, 4 McLean, 64.

Effect of, parol evidence to vary not admissible, Ruggles v. Eddy, 10 Blatch. 52; 5 Fisher, 581.

Admissibility of evidence of assignment. Many v. Jagger, I Blatch. 372; Munde v. Lambie, 122 Mass. 336. Assignment of executrix as administratrix. Newell v. West, 13 Blatch. 114; 8 Off. Gaz. 598.

Extensions not carried. Case v. Redfield, 4 McLean, 526; 2 Robb, 741.

Before extension. Gibson v. Cook, 2 Blatch. 144.

Of extension before granted. Railroad Co. v. Trimble, 10 Wall. 367.

Before extension, nominal consideration. Wooster v. Taylor, 12 Blatch. 384; 8 Off. Gaz. 644.

Interest conveyed by. Ritter v. Serrell, 2 Blatch. 379.

Interpretation of assignments. Waterman v. Wallace, 13 Blatch. 129.

Before issue. Cammeyer v. Newton, 4 Otto, 225; 11 Off. Gaz. 287; Gaylor v. Wilder, 10 How. 477.

Before issue, effect on extensions. Clum v. Brewer, 2 Curtis, 506. Before issue, what it conveys. Emmons v. Sladdin, 9 Off. Gaz. 352.

Before issue, issue to assignee, he has right to get extension. Hendrie v. Sayles, 8 Otto, 546.

Before issue is good. Herbert v. Adams, 4 Mason, 15; 1 Robb, 505.

Before issue for poverty of inventor. Rathbone v. Orr, 5 Mc-Lean, 131.

Which conveys no interest in the patent-right is a mere license. Armstrong v. Hanlenbeck, 3 N. Y. Leg. Obs. 43.

Patentee has a right to assign or license as most profitable. Burr v. Duryee, 2 Fisher, 275.

Distinguished from license. Farrington v. Gregory, 4 Fisher, 221; Littlefield v. Perry, 21 Wall. 205; 7 Off. Gaz. 964; Potter v. Holland, 4 Blatch. 206; 1 Fisher, 327; Sanford v. Messer, 1 Holmes, 149; 5 Fisher, 411; 2 Off. Gaz. 470.

Assignee can sue, licensee cannot. Littlefield v. Perry, 21 Wall. 205; 7 Off. Gaz. 964.

What it means. Barnes v. Morgan, 3 Hun (N. Y.), 703; 6 Th. & C. (N. Y.) 105.

Ohio law. Blakenay v. Goode, 30 Ohio St. 350.

Failure to record does not forfeit right of assignee. Boyd v. McAlpin, 3 McLean, 427; 2 Robb, 277; Perry v. Corning, 7 Blatch, 195.

- Record only necessary by way of notice to strangers. Case v. Redfield, 4 McLean, 526; 2 Robb, 741.
- Omission to state record of is cured by verdict. Dobson v. Campbell, 1 Sumu. 319; 1 Robb, 681.
- Must be recorded to defeat subsequent purchasers. Gibson v. Cook, 2 Blatch. 144; Higgins v. Strong, 4 Blackf. (Ind.) 182; Turnbull v. Weir Plow Co., 6 Bissell, 225; 7 Off. Gaz. 173.
- Time to record. Pitts v. Whitman, 2 Story, 609; 2 Robb, 189. Cannot sue till recorded. Wyeth v. Stone, 1 Story, 273; 2 Robb, 23.
- Record only necessary as notice to subsequent purchasers. Black v. Stone, 33 Ala. 327; Hall v. Speer, 6 Pitts. L. J. 403.
- Assignment before issue of patent may be recorded at any time before issue of patent. Gay v. Cornell, 1 Blatch. 506.
- Unrecorded, good except against creditors and bona fide purchasers. Holden v. Curtis, 2 N. II. 61.
- Statute is directory on subject of recording, not peremptory. Loudon v. Birt, 4 Ind. 566.
- Valid without recording. McKernan v. Hite, 6 Ind. 428.
- Unrecorded, good against subsequent assignees without consideration. Saxton v. Aultman, 15 Ohio St. 471.
- Clerk in Patent Office as witness of record. Sone v. Palmer, 28 Mo. 539.
- After patent is rejected. Gay v. Cornell. 1 Blatch. 506.
- "Without restriction." McKay v. Wooster, 2 Sawyer, 373; 6 Fisher, 375; 3 Off. Gaz. 441.
- And rights of assignees. Suydam v. Day, 2 Blatch. 20.
- Parties to sue. Blanchard v. Eldridge, 1 Wall. Jr. 337; 2 Robb, 737; Dibble v. Augur, 7 Blatch, 86.
- Territorial, use outside. Adams v. Burke, 1 Holmes, 40; 4 Fisher, 392.
- Territorial rights. Boyd v. Brown, 3 McLean, 295; 2 Robb, 203.
- Territorial right to use. Dorsey Revolving Harvester Rake Co. v. Bradley Manufacturing Co., 12 Blatch. 202.
- Territorial assignments. Hamilton v. Kingsbury, 14 Off. Gaz. 448.
- Territorial assignments, rights conveyed. May v. Chaffee, 2 Dillon, 385; 5 Fisher, 160.

Title to convey, defendant's title defective. Moore v. Bare, 11 Iowa, 198.

Must be in writing. Galpin v. Atwater, 29 Conn. 93; Jordan v. Dobson, 2 Abbott, 398; 7 Phila. 533; 4 Fisher, 232.

(See ALIEN; APPEAL; CONTRACT; EXTENSION; LICENSE; NOTE; PRELIMINARY INJUNCTIONS; REISSUE; TITLE.)

ATTACHMENT.

(See Injunction; Master.)

ATTORNEY.

Powers of attorney. Day v. Candee, 3 Fisher, 9. (See Abandonment; Contract.)

AUTHORITY.

(See COMMISSIONER; COURT.)

BAR.

(See PLEADING; SUIT.)

BELIEF.

(See Inventor.)

BILL IN EQUITY.

For account only. Perry v. Corning, 6 Blatch. 134.

For account and discovery. Perry v. Corning, 6 Blatch. 134.

Amendments. Tremaine v. Hitchcock, 7 Off. Gaz. 1055.

Successive bills for continuing infringement. Pennsylvania Salt Co. v. Myers, 1 Weekly Notes, 377; Turrell v. Spaeth, 9 Off, Gaz. 1163.

Discovery of profits. Vaughan v. Central Pacific Railroad, 4 Sawyer, 280; Vaughan v. East Tennessee, Virginia, and Georgia Railroad, 11 Off. Gaz. 789.

Omission of oath. National Hay Rake Co. v. Harbert, 2 Weekly Notes, 100; Woodworth v. Edwards, 3 W. & M. 120; 2 Robb, 610.

For reassignment, agent to sell, buys. Jeffries v. Wiester, 2 Sawyer, 135.

- Quia timet for threatened infringement. Turrell v. Spaeth, 9 Off. Gaz. 1163.
- Review, laches. Providence Rubber Co. v. Goodyear, 9 Wall. 805.
- Revivor. Troy Iron and Nail Factory v. Winslow, 11 Blatch. 513.
- What it should show. Gutta-percha Comb Co. v. Goodyear Rubber Co., 3 Sawyer, 542.
- (See Appeal; Decree; Demurrer; Discovery; Exceptions; Jurisdiction; Original Inventor; Parties; Prior Judgment; Repeal; Suit; Supplemental Bills.)

BOND.

(See Contract; Defense; Injunction.)

BOOKS.

- Compelling production of. Finch v. Rikeman, 2 Blatch. 301; Turrell v. Spaeth, 8 Off. Gaz. 986.
- Of parties as evidence. Stanley v. Whipple, 2 McLean, 35; 2 Robb, 1.
- Of science to aid the court. Kneass v. Schuylkill Bank, 4 Wash. 9; 1 Robb, 303.

(See Master.)

BREACH.

(See Contract.)

BURDEN OF PROOF.

Generally. Buck v. Cobb, 9 Law Rep. 545.

- Of infringement. Francis v. Mellor, 5 Fisher, 153; 1 Off. Gaz. 48; Fuller v. Yentzer, 4 Otto, 288; 11 Off. Gaz. 551.
- Of old machine. Hayden v. Suffolk Manufacturing Co., 4 Fisher, 86.
- Defendant must overcome plaintiff's prima facie case. Potter v. Stevens, 2 Fisher, 163.
- (See Infringement; Invention; Original Inventor; Prior Knowledge; Prior Use; Public Use; Want of Novelty.)

CAVEATS.

Effect of. Bell v. Daniels, 1 Bond, 212; 1 Fisher, 372. Purpose of. Phelps v. Brown, 4 Blatch. 362; 1 Fisher, 479. (See Preliminary Injunctions.)

CERTAINTY.

(See Uncertainty.)

CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL.

(See PLEADING.)

CERTIFICATE OF DIVISION.

(See Jurisdiction.)

CHAMPERTY.

(See Contract.)

CHANGES.

(See Construction of Patents; Patentability; Want of Novelty.)

CHARGE.

(See Damages; Jury.)

CHEAPNESS.

(See Want of Novelty.)

CIRCULAR.

(See Infringement.)

CIRCUMSTANCES OF PARTIES.

(See Preliminary Injunctions.)

CITATION.

(See Error.)

CITIZENSHIP.

(See Jurisdiction.)

CLAIMS.

(See Construction of Patents; Dedication; Defense; Infringement; Patent; Reissue; Suits; Uncertainty; Want of Novelty.)

CLEARNESS.

(See Construction of Patents; Defective Specification; Foreign Patents; Inventor; Pleading; Publications; Uncertainty.)

COLLUSION.

(See Preliminary Injunctions.)

COLORABLE ALTERATIONS.

(See Infringement.)

COMBINATIONS.

Claims for. Forbush v. Cook, 2 Fisher, 668.

New combinations generally. Merrill v. Yeomans, 4 Otto, 568; 11 Off. Gaz. 970.

New combination of old devices not entitled to equivalents. Yuengling v. Johnson, 1 Hughes, 607.

New combinations of old parts. Hale v. Stimpson, 2 Fisher, 565.

Of old elements. Hamilton v. Ives, 6 Fisher, 244.

Of old elements with new results distinguished from aggregation. Hailes v. Van Wormer, 7 Blatch. 443; Williams v. Rome, Watertown, and Ogdensburg Railroad, 15 Off. Gaz. 653.

- Combination is an entirety. Williams v. Rome, Watertown, and Ogdensburg Railroad, 15 Off. Gaz. 653.
- Machines are generally combinations. Waterbury Brass Co. v. Miller, 9 Blatch. 77; 5 Fisher, 48.
- A part not new can only be claimed in combination. McCormick v. Many, 6 McLean, 539.
- What are patentable. Reckendorfer v. Faber, 2 Otto, 347; 10 Off. Gaz. 71; Rees v. Gould, 2 Off. Gaz. 624.
- Two kinds of patentable combinations. Lee v. Blandy, 1 Bond, 361; 2 Fisher, 89.
- Rules applicable to. Cahill v. Brown, 15 Off. Gaz. 697.
- (See Abandonment; Construction of Patents; Infringement; Inventor; Patent; Patentability; Reissue.)

COMMISSIONER.

- "Acting commissioner." Woodworth v. Hall, 1 W. & M. 248; 2 Robb, 495.
- Acting commissioner, chief clerk as. Validity of patents granted. Woodworth v. Hall, 1 W. & M. 389; 2 Robb, 517.
- Acting commissioner, patents signed by. Smith v. Mercer, 5 Penn. L. J. 529; 4 West. L. J. 49.
- Action of, is *prima facie* evidence for or against. Yuengling v. Johnson, 1 Hughes, 607.
- Action of Board of Commissioners, not conclusive. Wilson v. Rousseau, 4 How. 646; 2 Robb, 373.
- His allowance of patent is only *prima facie* right. Reckendorfer v. Faber, 2 Otto, 347; 10 Off. Gaz. 71.
- Authority by special act to extend a patent. Gibson v. Harris, 1 Blatch. 167; Jordan v. Dobson, 2 Abbott, 398; 7 Phila. 533; 4 Fisher, 232.
- Court will not compel him to issue a patent. Hull v. Commissioner of Patents, 8 Off. Gaz. 46.
- Decisions in interferences. Bain v. Morse, 6 West. L. J. 372.
- Weight of his decisions. French v. Rogers, 1 Fisher, 133; Providence Rubber Co. v. Goodyear, 9 Wall. 788.
- Discretion of. Henry v. Providence Tool Co., 14 Off. Gaz. 855. Not disqualified from taking a patent after office expires. Foote
 - v. Frost, 14 Off. Gaz. 860.

Duties of examiners in chief. Hull v. Commissioner of Patents, 7 Off. Gaz. 559.

Jurisdiction of. Colt v. Young, 2 Blatch. 471; Gear v. Grosvenor, 1 Holmes, 215; 6 Fisher, 314; 3 Off. Gaz. 380.

Power, generally. Atlantic Giant Powder Works v. California Powder Works, 8 Otto, 126; 15 Off. Gaz. 289; Hull v. Commissioner of Patents, 7 Off. Gaz. 559.

Powers generally. United States v. Thacher, 7 Off. Gaz. 603.

Proceedings in granting reissue. Goodyear Dental Vulcanite Co. v. Wetherbee, 3 Cliff. 555; 3 Fisher, 87.

Province of. Conklin v. Stafford, 5 Off. Gaz. 235.

Action in reissue not conclusive. Seymour v. Osborne, 3 Fisher, 555.

Mandamus, interfering patents. United States v. Thacher, 7 Off. Gaz. 603.

Authority to reissue in two patents, discretion. Goodyear v. Wait, 5 Blatch. 468; 3 Fisher, 242.

Commissioner is presumed to do his duty in reissuing. Miller and Peters Manufacturing Co. v. Du Brul, 12 Off. Gaz. 351.

Commissioner's action on reissue is not re-examinable unless on its face an exceeding his authority. Milligan and Higgins Glue Co. v. Upton, 6 Off. Gaz. 837; Seymour v. Osborne, 11 Wall. 516; Tucker v. Tucker Manufacturing Co., 10 Off. Gaz. 464.

Commissioner has authority to reissue during extension. Wilson v. Rousseau, 4 How. 646; 2 Robb, 373.

(See Abandonment; Appeal; Costs; Interference; Mandamus; Patent Office; Reissue.)

COMMON LAW.

(See Costs; Inventor; Patent.)

COMMON SENSE.
(See Patentability.)

.

COMPANY.
(See Assignment.)

COMPENSATION.

(See Damages.)

COMPETENCY.

(See Experts.)

COMPLETE.

(See Invention; Want of Novelty.)

COMPOSITION.

(See Infringement.)

COMPOUND.

(See Infringement; Invention; Reissue; Uncertainty.)

CONCEALMENT.

(See Preliminary Injunctions; Uncertainty.)

CONCEPTION.

(See Invention; Original Inventor.)

CONDITIONS.

(See Contract; Waiver.)

CONJECTURE.

(See Damages.)

CONSENT.

(See Abandonment; Dedication; Foreign Patents; Nonsuit; Public Use; Reissue.)

CONSEQUENTIAL.

(See Damages.)

CONSIDERATION.

(See Assignment; Contract; License; Note; Pleading.)

CONSPIRACY.

(See Injunction.)

CONSTRUCTION OF PATENTS.

Is for the court. Davoll v. Brown, 1 W. & M. 53; 2 Robb, 303;
Emerson v. Hogg, 2 Blatch. 1; Jackson v. Allen, 120 Mass.
64; Johnson v. Root, 1 Fisher, 351; Mabie v. Haskell, 2
Cliff. 507; Parker v. Hulme, 1 Fisher, 44; Poppenhusen v.
New York Gutta-percha Comb Co., 2 Fisher, 62; Serrell v.
Collins, 4 Blatch. 61; 1 Fisher, 289; &c.

Liberally construed. Francis v. Mellor, 5 Fisher, 153; 1 Off. Gaz. 48; Goodyear v. Berry, 2 Bond, 189; 3 Fisher, 439; Jones v. Merrill, 8 Off. Gaz. 401; Mann v. Bayliss, 10 Off. Gaz. 780; Parker v. Stiles, 5 McLean, 44; Potter v. Holland, 4 Blatch. 238; 1 Fisher, 382; Schillinger v. Gunther, 14 Blatch. 152; 11 Off. Gaz. 831; Sickels v. Gloucester Manufacturing Co., 1 Fisher, 222; Smith v. Elliott, 9 Blatch. 400; 5 Fisher, 315; 1 Off. Gaz. 331; Smith v. O'Connor, 2 Sawyer, 461; 6 Fisher, 469; 4 Off. Gaz. 633; Turrill v. Michigan Southern and Northern Indiana Railroad, 1 Wall. 491; Union Paper Bag Machine Co. v. Nixon, 9 Off. Gaz. 691; Waterbury Brass Co. v. New York and Brooklyn Brass Co., 3 Fisher, 43; &c.

Strict construction. Trader v. Messmore, 7 Off. Gaz. 385.

Limited by state of the art. Blaisdell v. Tufts, 15 Off. Gaz. 881; Burden v. Corning, 2 Fisher, 477; Clark v. Kennedy Manufacturing Co., 14 Blatch. 79; 11 Off. Gaz. 67; Clark v. Scott, 9 Blatch. 301; 5 Fisher, 245; 2 Off. Gaz. 4; Pike v. Providence and Worcester Railroad, 1 Holmes, 445; 6 Off. Gaz. 575; Pitts v. Wemple, 1 Bissell, 87; 2 Fisher, 10.

Patents are not monopolies. Parker v. Stiles, 5 McLeau, 44;
Seymour v. Osborne, 11 Wall. 516; Singer v. Walmesley,
1 Fisher, 558; Turrill v. Michigan Southern and Northern Indiana Railroad, 1 Wall. 491.

- Relation of inventor to the public. Allen v. Hunter, 6 McLean, 303; Attorney-General v. Rumford Chemical Works, 9 Off. Gaz. 1062.
- Theory of patentee is of no account. Foss v. Herbert, 1 Bissell, 121; 2 Fisher, 31.
- Gradual growth of inventions. Chicago and Northwestern Railroad v. Sayles, 7 Otto, 554; 15 Off. Gaz. 243.
- Presumption of law. Geier v. Goetinger, 7 Off. Gaz. 563.
- Weight of prior decisions on the patent's construction. Goodyear v. Berry, 2 Bond, 189; 3 Fisher, 439; Many v. Sizer, 1 Fisher, 31; Turrill v. Illinois Central Railroad, 3 Bissell, 66; 3 Fisher, 330.
- Technical terms. Estabrook v. Dunbar, 10 Off. Gaz. 909; Rubber Coated Harness Trimming Co. v. Welling, 7 Otto, 7; 13 Off. Gaz. 727.
- Drawings and specification together. Hogg v. Emerson, 6 How. 437; 2 Robb, 655; Hogg v. Emerson, 11 How. 587.
- Whole taken together. Sarven v. Hall, 11 Blatch. 295; 6 Fisher, 495; 4 Off. Gaz. 666.
- Claims must be regarded distinct from specification. Merrill v. Yeomans, 4 Otto, 568; 11 Off. Gaz. 970.
- Specification is addressed to those skilled in the art. Tilghman v. Mitchell, 9 Blatch. 1; 4 Fisher, 599; Woodward v. Morrison, 1 Holmes, 124; 5 Fisher, 357; 2 Off. Gaz. 120.
- Construed for the benefit of the inventor. Woodman v. Stimpson, 3 Fisher, 98.
- Fair interpretation of the words. Welling v. Rubber Coated Harness Trimming Co., 7 Off. Gaz. 606.
- Must be according to the language. Keystone Bridge Co. v. Phænix Iron Co., 5 Otto, 274; 12 Off. Gaz. 980.
- Must be according to the claims. Dennis v. Cross, 3 Bissell, 389; 6 Fisher, 138; Kidd v. Spence, 4 Fisher, 37; Meissner v. Devoe Manufacturing Co., 9 Blatch. 363; 5 Fisher, 285; 2 Off. Gaz. 545; Rich v. Close, 8 Blatch. 41; 4 Fisher, 279.
- Court construes patents, not corrects them. Kittle v. Merriam, 2 Curtis, 475.
- Joint patent modified by prior patent of one joint inventor. Hopkins and Dickinson Manufacturing Co. v. Parker and Whipple Manufacturing Co., 14 Blatch. 396; 14 Off. Gaz. 3.

Patentee's construction. Trader v. Messmore, 7 Off. Gaz. 385.

Patents which interfere are confined to particular shape. Rapp v. Bard, 1 Fisher, 190.

Construction by Supreme Court. Turrill v. Illinois Central Railroad, 5 Bissell, 344.

Construction of claims. Francis v. Mellor, 5 Fisher, 153; 1 Off. Gaz. 48; Carlton v. Bokee, 17 Wall. 463.

Claims for whole and its parts. Foss v. Herbert, 1 Bissell, 121; 2 Fisher, 31.

Word "combination." Burden v. Corning, 2 Fisher, 477.

Claims for results. Henderson v. Cleveland Co-operative Stove Co., 12 Off. Gaz. 4.

Claims for art and manufacture. Merrill v. Yeomans, 1 Holmes, 331; 5 Off. Gaz. 267.

Fairly and liberally, and not subjected to over-nice or critical refinements. Ames v. Howard, 1 Sumn. 482; 1 Robb, 689.

So that patent will be coextensive with invention. Andrews v. Carman, 13 Blatch, 307; 11 Off. Gaz. 1011.

Liberal, because to promote science and the useful arts. Blanchard v. Sprague, 3 Sunn. 535; 1 Robb, 734.

Changes slight or elementary. Cornell v. American Bush Co., 7 Bissell, 346; 11 Off. Gaz. 331.

Is for court where no parol evidence to explain is offered. Davoll v. Brown, 1 W. & M. 53; 2 Robb, 303.

Liberal, but patent must be clear. Davoll v. Brown, 1 W. & M. 53; 2 Robb, 303.

(See Assignment; Infringement.)

CONTEMPT.

(See Injunction.)

CONTRACT.

Accord and satisfaction. Burdell v. Denig, 2 Fisher, 588.

To assign, annulled, no action lies. Newbury v. Bay State Screw Co., 7 Allen, 257.

Bond, void patent, no consideration. Brown v. Wright, 17 Ark. 9.

Breach by suing out injunction. Sullings v. Goodyear, 36 Mich. 313.

Broken by plaintiff, effect on his rights. Stanley Rule and Level Co. v. Bailey, 14 Blatch. 510.

Cancelling. Brooks v. Stolley, 3 McLean, 523; 2 Robb, 281.

Void for champerty and maintenance. Gregerson v. Imlay, 4 Blatch, 503.

Conditions precedent. Young v. Hunter, 6 N. Y. 203.

Implied covenants. Wilson v. Marlow, 66 Ill. 385.

Covenant, consideration, defenses allowed, &c. Wilder v. Adams, 2 W. & M. 329.

Unreasonable delay to elect. McBurney v. Goodyear, 11 Cush. (Mass.) 569.

As a defense. Kittle v. Frost, 9 Blatch. 214; 5 Fisher, 213.

Estoppel to dispute validity. Brooks v. Morehouse, 13 Off. Gaz. 499.

Parol evidence to vary. Hollida v. Hunt, 70 Ill. 109; Pierce v. Wilson, 34 Ala. 596.

Executed by attorney. Bellas v. Hays, 5 S. & R. (Penn.) 427.

Partly executed. Gibson v. Barnard, 1 Blatch. 388.

Effect of agreement on extension. Union Manufacturing Co. v. Lounsbury, 42 Barb. (N. Y.) 125.

Interpretation. Baker v. Mason, 3 R. I. 45; Goodyear Dental Vulcanite Co. v. Gardner, 3 Cliff. 408; 4 Fisher, 224;
Wetherill v. Passaic Zinc Co., 6 Fisher, 50; 9 Phila. 385;
2 Off. Gaz. 471.

As a license. Whitney v. Graves, 13 Off. Gaz. 455.

Licensee's stamping goods acknowledges they were made under the contract. Jones v. Van Kirk, 2 Fisher, 586.

Misrepresentations, means of knowledge. Hess v. Young, 59 Ind. 379.

Money paid under. Case v. Morey, 1 N. H. 347.

Papers, whether they amount to contract. Burdell v. Denig, 2 Otto, 716.

Parol. Davy v. Morgan, 56 Barb. (N. Y.) 218.

Parol. Statute of Frauds. Jenkins v. Abbotts, 54 N. H. 447; Marston v. Swett, 4 Hun (N. Y.), 153; 6 Th. & C. (N. Y.) 534; Sherman v. Champlain Transportation Co., 31 Vt. 162.

Parol negotiations not included. Edwards v. Richards, Wright (Ohio), 596.

Parol warranty. Galpin v. Atwater, 29 Conn. 93; Joliffe v. Collins, 21 Mo. 338.

To pay price. Avery v. Bushnell, 123 Mass. 349.

Specific performance. Ely v. McKay, 12 Allen (Mass.), 323; Nesmith v. Calvert, 1 W. & M. 34; 2 Robb, 311.

Plaintiff must show performance on his side. McDougall v. Fogg, 3 Bosw. (N. Y.) 387.

Plaintiff bound by. Troy Iron and Nail Factory v. Corning, 1 Blatch. 467; 6 Fisher, 87.

Reassignment, time to try and to elect. Young v. Hunter, 6 N. Y. 203.

Before reissue applies after. McBurney v. Goodyear, 11 Cush. (Mass.) 569.

Remedy, if no infringement and no violation of contract, no remedy. Western Telegraph Co. v. Magnetic Telegraph Co., 21 How. 456.

Representations, performance. Serviss v. Stockstill, 30 Ohio St. 418.

Rescission. Elkins v. Kenyon, 34 Wisc. 93; Gatling v. Newell, 9 Ind. 572.

Rescission, false representations. Hall v. Orvis, 35 Iowa, 366; Newell v. Gatling, 7 Ind. 147.

Rescission, fraud. Warren v. Cole, 15 Mich. 265.

Rescission in equity, frand, laches. Pierce v. Wilson, 34 Ala. 596. Rescission, worthless patent no ground for. West v. Morrison, 2 Bibb (Kv.), 376.

Right to rescind. Hartshorn v. Day, 19 How. 211.

Restraint of trade. Billings v. Ames, 32 Mo. 265; Kinsman v. Parkhurst, 18 How. 289; Morse Twist Drill, &c. Co. v. Morse, 103 Mass. 73.

Royalty, violation of contract for. Union Manufacturing Co. v. Lounsbury, 41 N. Y. 363.

Royalty, void patent no consideration for. Jenkins v. Abbotts, 51 N. H. 447.

Worthless note, total failure of consideration. Joliffe v. Collins, 21 Mo. 338.

(See Admissions; Assignment; Corporation; Damages; Employé; Estoppel; Evidence; Infringement; Injunction; Jurisdiction; Patent; Sale; Statutes.)

CONTROVERSY.

(See Preliminary Injunctions.)

CONVENIENCE.

(See Want of Novelty.)

COPARTNERS.

(See Corporation; Joint Owners.)

COPIES.

(See Assignment; Costs; Evidence; Patent.)

CORPORATION.

- Contracts by chairman. Lightner v. Brooks, 2 Cliff. 287.
- County Commissioners are quasi corporation, and not liable for infringement. Jacobs v. Commissioners of Hamilton Co., 1 Bond, 500; 4 Fisher, 81.
- Corporation sued as copartners, may be amended. Needham v. Washburn, 7 Off. Gaz. 649.
- Domicile not necessarily stated. National Hay Rake Co. v. Harbert, 2 Weekly Notes, 100.
- Existence of. Dorsey Revolving Harvester Rake Co. v. Marsh, 6 Fisher, 387.
- Foreign corporations. Grover and Baker Sewing Machine Co. v. Sloat, 2 Fisher, 112.
- Foreign corporation found in State. Williams v. Empire Transportation Co., 14 Off. Gaz. 523.
- Indiana law of foreign corporations. Walter A. Wood, &c. Co. v. Caldwell, 54 Ind. 270.
- Liability in tort. Jacobs v. Commissioners of Hamilton County, 1 Bond, 500; 4 Fisher, 81; Lightner v. Brooks, 2 Cliff. 287.
- As plaintiff. Goodyear Dental Vulcanite Co. v. Wetherbee, 2 Cliff. 555; 3 Fisher, 87.

- Purchase of patent before issue by corporation is protected by statute. McClurg v. Kingsland, 1 How. 202; 2 Robb, 105.
- Receiver of, has exclusive right to use its patents. Woven Tape Skirt Co., In re, 12 Hun (N. Y.), 111.
- Where sued. Jones v. Osgood, 6 Blatch. 435; 3 Fisher, 591. (See Infringement; Jurisdiction; New Trial.)

COSTS.

- Allowance of. Hovey v. Stevens, 3 W. & M. 17; 2 Robb, 567; Peek v. Frame, 5 Fisher, 211.
- When not allowed. Emerson v. Peddie, 8 Blatch. 446; 4 Fisher, 493.
- What are allowable. Hussey v. Bradley, 5 Blatch. 210.
- None by common law. Kneass v. Schuylkill Bank, 4 Wash. 106.
- Right to, discretion of court. Hathaway v. Roach, 2 W. & M. 63.
- Taxation of. Goodyear Dental Vulcanite Co. v. Osgood, 13 Off. Gaz. 325.
- Taxation, copies of patents. Hathaway v. Roach, 2 W. & M. 63; Woodruff v. Barney, 1 Bond, 528; 2 Fisher, 244.
- Taxation, docket fees. Doughty v. West, Bradley, and Cary Manufacturing Co., 4 Fisher, 318.
- Taxation, marshal's fees for witnesses out of State. Parker v. Bigler, 1 Fisher, 285.
- Taxation, Master's and Commissioner's fees. Doughty v. West, Bradley, and Cary Manufacturing Co., 4 Fisher, 518.
- Taxation, models. Hathaway v. Roach, 2 W. & M. 63; Parker v. Bigler, 1 Fisher, 285; Woodruff v. Barney, 1 Bond, 528; 2 Fisher, 241.
- Taxation, printing testimony not included. Spaulding v. Tucker, Deady, 649; 4 Fisher, 633.
- Taxation, witness fees during few days' suspension. Hathaway v. Roach, 2 W. & M. 63.
- Taxation, witness's travel, out of State. Spaulding v. Tucker, Deady, 649; 4 Fisher, 633; Woodruff v. Barney, 1 Bond, 528; 2 Fisher, 244.

(See Appeal; Disclaimer.)

COUNSEL FEES.

(See Damages.)

COURT.

- Circuit Courts form one system, and are bound by previous decisions. Goodyear Dental Vulcanite Co. v. Willis, 7 Off. Gaz. 41.
- Deference due decisions of Supreme Court. Kirby v. Dodge and Stevenson Manufacturing Co., 10 Blatch. 307; 6 Fisher, 156; 3 Off. Gaz. 181.
- Judgment of Supreme Court on facts. Seymour v. Marsh, 6 Fisher, 115; 9 Phila. 380; 2 Off. Gaz. 675.
- Opinions of other courts. Union Paper Bag Machine Co. v. Nixon, 6 Fisher, 402; 4 Off. Gaz. 31.
- Powers of Circuit Courts. Goodyear v. Providence Rubber Co., 2 Cliff. 351; 2 Fisher, 499.
- No power to regulate plaintiff's proceedings in other courts. Rumford Chemical Works v. Hecker, 11 Blatch. 552; 5 Off. Gaz. 644.
- Rulings by, before defendant's case is in. McMahon v. Tyng, 14 Allen (Mass.), 167.
- When State court is proper tribunal to sue in. Aiken v. Manchester Print Works, 2 Cliff. 435.
- (See Construction of Patents; Damages; Decree; Jurisdiction; New Trial; Preliminary Injunction; Reissue; Repeal; Suits; Uncertainty; Usefulness.)

COURT OF DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA. (See Jurisdiction.)

CREDIBILITY.

(See EVIDENCE; WITNESSES.)

CREDITORS.

(See Assignment.)

CROSS-BILL.

What constitutes. Providence Rubber Co. v. Goodyear, 9 Wall. 807.

- Without notice, must be stricken from the files. Webster Loom Co. v. Short, 10 Off. Gaz. 1019.
- New parties by. Brandon Manufacturing Co. v. Prime, 14 Blatch, 371.

DAMAGES.

- Actual damages are profits defendant has made. Conover v. Rapp, 4 Fisher, 57.
- Actual damages, license fee. Seymour v. McCormick, 16 How. 480.
- Actual damages are defendant's profits, presumption of law. Wilbur v. Beecher, 2 Blatch. 132.
- Cannot exceed ad damnum of writ. Winans v. New York and Harlem Railroad, 4 Fisher, 1.
- Agreed on by contract. Oliver v. Morgan, 10 Heisk. (Tenn.) 332.
- In contract. Weed v. Draper, 99 Mass. 53; Weed v. Draper, 104 Mass. 28.
- Counsel fees. Bancroft v. Acton, 7 Blatch. 505; Teese v. Huntingdon, 23 How. 2; Whittemore v. Cutter, 1 Gall. 429; 1 Robb, 28.
- Counsel fees allowed by jury. Boston Manufacturing Co. v. Fiske, 2 Mason, 119; 1 Robb, 320; Stimpson v. Railroad, 1 Wall. Jr. 164; 2 Robb, 593.
- Depreciation in price. Billings v. Ames, 32 Mo. 265.
- Excessive. Alden v. Dewey, 1 Story, 336; 2 Robb, 17; Allen v.
 Blunt, 2 W. & M. 121; 2 Robb, 530; Russell v. Place, 9
 Blatch. 173; 5 Fisher, 134; Whitney v. Emmett, 1 Bald. 303; 1 Robb, 567.
- Must be plainly and largely beyond injury inflicted to be excessive. Aiken v. Bemis, 3 W. & M. 348; 2 Robb, 644.
- Improvement by defendant charged and allowed. American Nicolson Pavement Co. v. City of Elizabeth, 6 Off. Gaz. 764.
- Profits by improvements. City of Elizabeth v. Pavement Co., 7 Otto, 126.
- Value of improvements. Garretson v. Clark, 14 Off. Gaz. 485.
- Defendant is entitled to the profits of his improvement. Mason v. Graham, 23 Wall. 261; 7 Off. Gaz. 833.

Increasing. Bell v. McCulloch, 1 Fisher, 380; Guyon v. Serrell, 1 Blatch. 244.

For aggravated conduct of defendant. Peek v. Frame, 9 Blatch. 194; 5 Fisher, 113.

Amount of compensation for infringement by government. Hubbell v. United States, 5 N. & H. 1.

Without injunction. Vaughan v. East Tennessee, Virginia, and Georgia Railroad, 11 Off. Gaz. 789.

Interest not given. Silsby v. Foote, 20 How. 378.

Jury may give interest if they choose. Tatham v. Leroy, 2 Blatch. 474.

Not infringing wantonly, is free from paying interest. Mowry v. Whitney, 14 Wall. 620; 5 Fisher, 494; 1 Off. Gaz. 492.

Unwitting infringement, only compensatory damages. Parker v. Corbin, 4 McLean, 462; 2 Robb, 716.

Liberal. Foote v. Silsby, 1 Blatch. 445.

To get at damages jury must find facts. Goodyear v. Bishop, 2 Fisher, 154.

Interest on profits not generally given. Littlefield v. Perry, 21 Wall. 205; 7 Off. Gaz. 964.

Reserved up to time of conveyance. Boomer v. United Power Press Co., 13 Blatch. 107.

Courts may increase to any amount not more than treble. Carew v. Boston Elastic Fabrics Co., 3 Cliff. 356; 5 Fisher, 90.

Profits overestimated by Master. Cawood Patent, 4 Otto, 695.

Damages must be found from evidence, not conjecture. Carter v. Baker, 1 Sawyer, 512; 4 Fisher, 404; Philp v. Nock, 17 Wall. 460.

In finding profits, saving by use is not balanced against defendant's losses. Conover v. Mers, 11 Blatch. 197; 6 Fisher, 506.

Under contract, profits not really made. Kinsman v. Parkhurst, 18 How. 289.

Before recording patent. Emerson v. Hogg, 2 Blatch. 1.

Decree for damages of license fee gives right to use for life of patent. Emerson v. Simm, 6 Fisher, 281; 3 Off. Gaz. 293; Sickels v. Borden, 3 Blatch. 535.

Recoupment. Gold v. Ives, 29 Conn. 119; Green v. Willard, Improved Barrel Co., 1 Mo. App. 202.

Unliquidated. Green v. Willard Improved Barrel Co., 1 Mo. App. 202.

Given contrary to charge. Johnson v. Root, 2 Cliff. 108; 2 Fisher, 291.

Postponed till after accounting. Magic Ruffle Co. v. Elm City Co., 13 Blatch, 151; 8 Off. Gaz. 773.

Trebling is in discretion of the court, aggravated conduct. Merchant v. Lewis, 1 Bond, 172.

Treble by sect. 14 of 1836. Motte v. Bennett, 2 Fisher, 642.

Master's construction of patent too narrow. Ruggles v. Eddy, 12 Off. Gaz. 716.

Reasons for trebling. Schwarzel v. Holmshade, 2 Bond, 29; 3 Fisher, 116.

Not trebled when reasonable contest. Smith v. O'Connor, 2 Sawyer, 461; 6 Fisher, 469; 4 Off. Gaz. 633.

What actual damages are. Stephens v. Felt, 2 Blatch. 37. Measure of.

For improvement is not whole machine. Bedford v. Hunt, 1 Mason, 302; 1 Robb, 148.

Established royalty. Birdsall v. Coolidge, 3 Otto, 64; 10 Off. Gaz. 748.

Saving by use of patented machine. Black v. Thorne, 12 Blatch. 20; 7 Off. Gaz. 176.

Gains and profits the proper measure. Brady v. Atlantic Works, 15 Off. Gaz. 965.

Difference in value between new and old. Brodie v. Ophir Silver, &c. Co., 4 Fisher, 137; Earl v. Sawyer, 4 Mason, 1; 1 Robb, 490.

Immediate, not remote and consequential. Buerk v. Innhauser, 14 Blatch. 19; 10 Off. Gaz. 907.

Profits defendant made or ought, not what plaintiff might. Burdell v. Denig, 2 Otto, 716.

Price he has sold machine, no criterion. Campbell v. Barclay, 5 Bissell, 179.

Plaintiff's loss not defendant's gains. Cowing v. Rumsey, 8 Blatch. 36; 4 Fisher, 275.

Whatever profits or benefit defendant has received. Cox v. Griggs, 2 Fisher, 174.

Profits defendant made, not might have. Dean v. Mason, 20 How. 198.

Measure of — continued.

- License fee. Goodyear v. Bishop, 2 Fisher, 154.
- Patents are so varied there can be no fixed rule. Graham v. Mason, 1 Holmes, 88; 5 Fisher, 290; 1 Off. Gaz. 609.
- Sound discretion of the jury. Hawes v. Gage, 5 Off. Gaz. 494.
- Law gives defendant's profits if they can be ascertained. Hays v. Sulsor, 1 Bond, 279; 1 Fisher, 532.
- Price paid for license may be considered by jury. Hogg v. Emerson, 11 How. 587.
- Deduction of proper part of general expenses of general dealer. Hitchcock v. Tremaine, 9 Blatch. 385; 5 Fisher, 310.
- Different rules in law and equity. Hudson v. Draper, 4 Fisher, 256; Vaughan v. Central Pacific Railroad, 4 Sawyer, 280.
- Only profits made by plaintiff's improvement. Ingels v. Mast, 6 Fisher, 415; 7 Off. Gaz. 836.
- Lie in discretion of jury. Judson v. Moore, 1 Bond, 285; 1 Fisher, 544.
- Compensation for injury sustained. Kneass v. Schuylkill Bank, 4 Wash. 9; 1 Robb, 303; Magic Ruffle Co. v. Douglass, 2 Fisher, 330; Many v. Sizer, 1 Fisher, 17.
- Cases classified as having different measures. Livingston v. Jones, 3 Wall. Jr. 330; 2 Fisher, 207.
- Profits of defendant may be more or less than damage to plaintiff. Magic Ruffle Co. v. Elm City Co., 14 Blatch. 109; 11 Off. Gaz. 501.
- Liability for royalty only for number actually sold. Marsh v. Dodge, 4 Hun (N. Y.), 278; 6 Th. & C. (N. Y.) 508.
- Compensatory where careless infringer made no profits. Marsh v. Seymour, 7 Otto, 348; 13 Off. Gaz. 723.
- Actual damages and profits he would have made. Mc-Cormick v. Seymour, 2 Blatch. 240.
- Depends upon whether plaintiff sells rights or not. Mc-Cormick v. Seymour, 3 Blatch. 209.

Measure of - continued.

Patented and unpatented articles should not be lumped together. Mulford v. Pearce, 14 Blatch. 141; 11 Off. Gaz. 741.

Rule at law is license fee, if there is one. Packet Co. v. Sickles, 19 Wall. 611.

One-fourth of profits in special case. Parker v. Bamker, 6 McLean, 631.

Expenses of litigation not generally included. Parker v. Hulme, 1 Fisher, 44; Warren v. Cole, 15 Mich. 265.

Profits of defendant and loss of plaintiff. Philp v. Nock, 17 Wall. 460.

Expense of suit included. Pierson v. Eagle Screw Co., 3 Story, 402; 2 Robb, 268.

Actual not vindictive. Pitts v. Hall, 2 Blatch. 229.

Special or general under the statute. Rumford Chemical Works v. Hecker, 11 Off. Gaz. 330.

Saving by use, over any thing else he could adopt. Serrell v. Collins, 1 Fisher, 289.

License fee, may be small to introduce. Sickles v. Borden, 3 Blatch. 535.

Full amount of profits, no fixed royalties. Spaulding v. Page, 1 Sawyer, 702; 4 Fisher, 641.

Damages without license fee, only for time of infringement, not of patent. Suffolk Manufacturing Co. v. Hayden, 3 Wall. 315.

Saving by use of plaintiff's process. Tilghman v. Mitchell, 9 Blatch. 1; 4 Fisher, 599.

Expenses and charges allowed in finding net profits. Troy Iron and Nail Factory v. Corning, 6 Blatch. 328; 3 Fisher, 497.

Apportionment of profits. Whitney v. Mowry, 4 Fisher, 141; Whitney v. Mowry, 4 Fisher, 207.

Actual. Whittemore v. Cutter, 1 Gall. 478; 1 Robb, 40.

Profits and damages, salaries of defendants not profits. Williams v. Leonard, 9 Blatch. 476; 5 Fisher, 381.

Amount of profits is the practice in damages. Wintermute v. Redington, 1 Fisher, 239.

If profits enced license fee, not limited to it. Wooster v. Taylo, 14 Blatch. 403.

- Nominal. Burdell v. Denig, 2 Fisher, 588; Gold v. Ives, 29 Conn. 119; Gould's Manufacturing Co. v. Cowing, 14 Blatch. 315; 12 Off. Gaz. 942; Ingersoll v. Benham, 14 Blatch. 541; 13 Off. Gaz. 966.
 - When plaintiff fails to prove damages in accounting. Gould's Manufacturing Co. v. Cowing, 12 Blatch. 243; 8 Off. Gaz. 277.
 - Article not marked patented and no notice proved. McComb v. Brodie, 1 Woods, 153; 5 Fisher, 384; 2 Off. Gaz. 117.
 - Where profit by plaintiff's improvement cannot be shown. Schillinger v. Gunther, 14 Off. Gaz. 733.
- Reference to Master, extent of infringement is question. Turrill v. Illinois Central Railroad, 5 Bissell, 344.
- Under the statute. Goodyear Dental Vulcanite Co. v. Van Antwerp, 9 Off. Gaz. 497.
- Use by defendant is evidence of utility, and subjects to damages. Simpson v. Mad River Railroad, 6 McLean, 603.
- (See Inventor; New Trial; Preliminary Injunctions.)

DATE.

(See Application; Assignment.)

DEATH.

(See Partners.)

DECEIT.

(See FRAUD.)

DECISION.

(See Commissioner; Construction of Patents; Court; Issue; Jury; Preliminary Injunctions; Prior Judgment; Reissue.)

DECLARATION.

Amending by adding new count. Peck v. Bacon, 18 Conn. 377. Bad, leave to amend. Peterson v. Wooden, 3 McLean, 248; 2 Robb, 116.

- What it must contain. Cutting v. Myers, 4 Wash. 220; 1 Robb, 159.
- Taken as admitted where no plea is filed. Parker v. Bamker, 6 McLean, 631.
- Time stated in, binds plaintiff if material. Eastman v. Bodfish, 1 Story, 528; 2 Robb, 72.
- Must show title. Gray v. James, Peters, C. C. 476; 1 Robb, 140.

(See Assignment; Evidence; Patent.)

DECREE.

- Amendments after final decree. Tremolo Patent, 23 How. 518. Of affirmance vacated, &c. Gardner v. Goodyear Dental Vulcanite Co., 6 Fisher, 329; 3 Off. Gaz. 295.
- Court will not proceed where final decree cannot be reached. Florence Sewing Machine Co. v. Singer Sewing Machine Co., 8 Blatch. 113; 4 Fisher, 329.
- If granted, must be according to prayer of the bill. Livingston v. Woodworth, 15 How. 546.
- Interlocutory, practice. Carew v. Boston Elastic Fabrics Co., 3 Cliff. 356; 5 Fisher, 90.
- Pro confesso, motion to file answer. Dean v. Mason, 20 How. 198.
- Pro confesso, motion to set aside. Andrews v. Denslow, 14 Blatch. 182.
- Pro confesso, not a decree establishing validity in usual sense. Russell v. Lathrop, 122 Mass. 300.
- Interlocutory decree is not res adjudicatæ. Rumford Chemical Works v. Hecker, 10 Off. Gaz. 289.
- (See Answer; Damages; Injunction; Master's Report; Pleading.)

DEDICATION.

- Must be to public. Goodyear v. Hills, 3 Fisher, 134; Goodyear v. Wait, 5 Blatch. 468; 3 Fisher, 242.
- A right of the inventor. Kendall v. Winsor, 21 How. 322.
- Not claiming in a later but different application, is not. Suffolk Manufacturing Co. v. Hayden, 3 Wall. 315.

- Cannot be revoked. Whittemore v. Cutter, 1 Gall. 478; 1 Robb, 40.
- On sale, with consent of patentee. Mellus v. Silsbee, 4 Mason, 108; 1 Robb, 506.

DEDUCTION.

(See Damages.)

DEED.

See Assignment; Estoppel.)

DEFECTIVE SPECIFICATION.

- Admissible under general issue. Kneass v. Schuylkill Bank, 4 Wash. 9; 1 Robb, 303.
- Cured by verdict. Gray v. James, Peters C. C. 476; 1 Robb, 140.
- What defects are fatal. Union Paper Bag Machine Co. v. Nixon, 6 Fisher, 402; 4 Off. Gaz. 31.
- Every definition presupposes some knowledge. Smith v. O'Connor, 2 Sawyer, 461; 6 Fisher, 469; 4 Off. Gaz. 633.
- Clear enough to construct a machine by those familiar with them. Brooks v. Bicknell, 3 McLean, 250; 2 Robb, 118.
- Question of intent to deceive. Gray v. James, Peters, C. C. 394; 1 Robb, 120.
- Not saying what prior machine improved on is. Isaacs v. Cooper, 4 Wash. 259; 1 Robb, 332.
- Good description of thing, but not of how it is made. Magic Ruffle Co. v. Douglass, 2 Fisher, 330.
- Sufficiency of specification. Brooks v. Bicknell, 4 McLean, 70. Sufficiency is determined by taking whole together. Howes v. Nute, 4 Fisher, 263.
- May be defective on its face, or as shown by outside facts. Head v. Stevens, 19 Wend. (N. Y.) 411.
- (See ABANDONMENT; ASSIGNMENT; DEMURRER; INVENTION; REISSUE.)

DEFENDANTS.

District where defendant lives in civil suits. Chaffee v. Hayward, 20 How. 208.

Privity of. Wells v. Jacques, 5 Off. Gaz. 364.

DEFENSE.

- Set up in the answer. Brown v. Hall, 6 Blatch. 401; 3 Fisher, 531; Comstock v. Sandusky Seat Co., 3 Off. Gaz. 23; Doubleday v. Sherman, 3 Fisher, 369; Enreka Co. v. Bailey Washing Machine Co., 11 Wall. 488; Grover and Baker Sewing Machine Co. v. Sloat, 2 Fisher, 112; Guidet v. Barber, 5 Off. Gaz. 149; Jordan v. Dobson, 2 Abbott, 398; 7 Phila. 533; 4 Fisher, 232.
- Must be set up in answer to be availed of. Wallace v. Holmes, 9 Blatch. 65; 5 Fisher, 37; 1 Off. Gaz. 117; Wonson v. Peterson, 13 Off. Gaz. 549.
- Must be set up in answer, propriety of the rule. Russell and Erwin Manufacturing Co. v. Mallory, 10 Blatch. 140; 5 Fisher, 632; 2 Off. Gaz. 495.
- Court cannot notice defenses not set up. Bates v. Coe, 8 Otto, 31; 15 Off. Gaz. 337; United States and Foreign Salamander Felting Co. v. Haven, 3 Dillon, 131; 9 Off. Gaz. 253.
- Notice of defense. American Hide and Leather Splitting, &c. Co. v. American Tool Co., 1 Holmes, 503; 4 Fisher, 284; American Saddle Co. v. Hogg, 1 Holmes, 133; 5 Fisher, 353; 2 Off. Gaz. 59; Burden v. Corning, 2 Fisher, 477.
- Notice required. Railroad Co. v. Dubois, 12 Wall. 47.
- Thirty days' notice. McComb v. Brodie, 1 Woods, 153; 5 Fisher, 384; 2 Off. Gaz. 117.
- Notice of special defense under general issue. Kelleher v. Darling, 14 Off. Gaz. 673; Kendall v. Winsor, 21 How. 322; Keplinger v. De Young, 10 Wheat. 358; 1 Robb, 459.
- Offer to pay. Berger v. Peterson, 78 Ill. 633.
- What the special defenses under general issue are. Bates v. Coe, 8 Otto, 31; 15 Off. Gaz. 337.
- Under general issue, what payment is made in. Loudon v. Birt, 4 Ind. 566.

Sufficiency of notice. Westlake v. Cartter, 4 Off. Gaz. 636.

Must be proved. Collender v. Came, 10 Off. Gaz. 467; Cook v. Howard, 4 Fisher, 269; Jenkins v. Walker, 1 Holmes, 120; 5 Fisher, 347; 1 Off. Gaz. 359.

Counter-claims, invalidity. Marston v. Swett, 4 Hun (N. Y.), 153; 6 Th. & C. (N. Y.) 534.

Invalidity as defense to bond. Nye v. Raymond, 16 Ill. 153.

License as a defense. Day v. New England Car Spring Co., 3
Blatch. 154.

Purchase from license. Howe v. Newton, 2 Fisher, 531.

Waiver. Foy v. Hunter, 9 Off. Gaz. 542.

(See Contract, Injunction; License; Note; Pleading; Preliminary Injunctions; Publications; Reissue; Usefulness; Validity.)

DEFINITIONS.

- "Art." Smith v. Downing, 1 Fisher, 64.
- "Cuspadore." Ingersoll v. Turner, 12 Off. Gaz. 189; Burden v. Corning, 2 Fisher, 477; Cahoon v. Ring, 1 Cliff. 592; 1 Fisher, 397.
- "Equivalents." Tyler v. Boston, 7 Wall. 327.
- "Identity." Whittemore v. Cutter, 1 Gall. 478; 1 Robb, 40.
- "Machine." Wintermute v. Redington, 1 Fisher, 239.
- "Not known or used before his application." Pennock v. Dialogue, 2 Peters, 1; 1 Robb, 542.
- "Novelty." McCormick v. Seymour, 3 Blatch. 209.
- "Principle." Leroy v. Tatham, 14 How. 156.
- "Profits." Mers v. Conover, 11 Off. Gaz. 1111.
- "Public use and sale." Ryan v. Goodwin, 3 Sumn. 514; 1 Robb, 725.
- "Simultaneously." King v. Werner, 12 Blatch. 270; 8 Off. Gaz. 361.
- "Substantially the same." Adams v. Edwards, 1 Fisher, 1.
- "Substantially as described." Seymour v. Osborne, 3 Fisher, 555.
- "Useful." Bedford v. Hunt, 1 Mason, 302; 1 Robb, 148; Lowell v. Lewis, 1 Mason, 182; 1 Robb, 131; Whitney v. Emmett, 1 Bald. 303; 1 Robb, 567.
- (See Assignment; Defective Specification; Usefulness.)

DEGREE.

(See Usefulness.)

DELAY.

Lack of patent lawyers as reason in a suit. Goodyear v. Hullihen, 2 Hughes, 492; 3 Fisher, 251.

(See Abandonment; Contract; Disclaimer; Invention; Suits.)

DEMURRER.

Anthony v. Carroll, 9 Off. Gaz. 199.

In general. Wilder v. McCormick, 2 Blatch. 31.

To whole bill and to part. Atlantic Giant Powder Co. v. California Powder Works, 8 Otto, 126; 15 Off. Gaz. 289.

To pleas. Birdsell v. Perego, 5 Blatch. 251.

Technical defects may be amended. Wilder v. McCormick, 2 Blatch. 31.

DENIAL.

(See Infringement.)

DEPOSITIONS.

Taking. Evans v. Hettick, 3 Wash. 408; 1 Robb, 166. (See EVIDENCE.)

DESCRIPTION.

(See Defective Specification; Invention; Inventor; Reissue.)

DESIGNS.

Machine to print designs is not a design. Clark v. Bousfield, 10 Wall. 133.

DILIGENCE.

Reasonable. Ayling v. Hull, 2 Cliff. 494. (See New Trial; Original Inventor.)

DISADVANTAGES. (See Inventor.)

DISCLAIMER.

- Admissibility of, in evidence. Silsby v. Foote, 14 How. 218.
- After suit brought, no costs. Guyon v. Serrell, 1 Blatch. 244; Hall v. Wiles, 2 Blatch. 194.
- Costs not allowed, when. Myers v. Dunbar, 8 Blatch. 446; 4 Fisher, 493.
- Delay. Burden v. Corning, 2 Fisher, 477.
- Delay, unreasonable. Burdett v. Estey, 15 Off. Gaz. 877; Hotchkiss v. Oliver, 5 Denio (N. Y.) 314; Lippincott v. Kelly, 1 West. L. J. 513; Seymour v. McCormick, 19 How. 96; Smith v. Nichols, 21 Wall. 112.
- Must be without delay. Silsby v. Foote, 20 How. 378.
- During suit. Taylor v. Archer, 8 Blatch. 315; 4 Fisher, 449.
- When to be filed. Tuck v. Bramhill, 6 Blatch. 95; 3 Fisher, 400.
- By mistake. American Shoe Tip Co. v. National Shoe Tip Protector Co., 11 Off. Gaz. 740; Hussey v. Bradley, 5 Blatch. 134; 2 Fisher, 362.
- Unreasonable negligence. Hall v. Wiles, 2 Blatch. 194.
- What are proper. Tuck v. Bramhill, 6 Blatch. 95; 3 Fisher, 400.
- Express exclusion of one ingredient. By am v. Farr, 1 Curtis, 260.
- When recorded, becomes part of original specification. Dunbar v. Myers, 4 Otto, 187; 11 Off. Gaz. 35.
- Proposition to file disclaimer, treated as if already filed. Aiken v. Dolan, 3 Fisher, 197.
- Must be properly proved before admitted into evidence. Foote v. Silsby, 1 Blatch. 445.
- By owner of sectional interest. Potter v. Holland, 4 Blatch. 206; 1 Fisher, 327.
- Must be filed before suit is brought. Reed v. Cutter, 1 Story, 590; 2 Robb, 81.
- Disclaimers do not change the statements of patents, only remove part. Schillinger v. Gunther, 14 Off. Gaz. 713.

(See Injunction; Reissue.)

DISCOVERY.

When penalty would fall on defendant. Finch v. Rikeman, 2 Blatch. 301.

(See ABANDONMENT; BILL; INVENTION; JURISDICTION.)

DISCRETION.

(See Appeal; Commissioner; Costs; Preliminary Injunctions.)

DISSOLUTION.

(See Injunction.)

DISTRICT ATTORNEY.

(See REPEAL.)

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

(See Mandamus.)

DISTRICT JUDGE.

(See Injunction.)

DOCKET FEES.

(See Costs.)

DOMICILE.

(See Corporation; Jurisdiction.)

DOUBT.

(See Injunction; Preliminary Injunctions; Public Use.)

DRAWINGS.

May correct error in text. Kittle v. Merriam, 2 Curtis, 475. Effect in limiting a patent. Hamilton v. Ives, 6 Fisher, 244; 3 Off. Gaz. 30.

New drawings may be added by reissue. Hank's Case, 2 A. L. T. (U. S.) R. 129.

Are part of specification. Earl v. Sawyer, 4 Mason, 1; 1 Robb, 490; Hamilton v. Ives, 6 Fisher, 244.

Not referred to in specification. Washburn v. Gould, 3 Story, 122; 2 Robb, 206.

(See Construction of Patents; Reissue.)

DUPLICITY.

(See PLEADING.)

DURATION.

(See PATENT.)

EFFECT.

(See PATENTABILITY; RELEASE.)

ELECTION.

See CONTRACT.)

EMPLOYE.

Improvement in model by. Berdan Fire-arms Manufacturing Co. v. Remington, 3 Off. Gaz. 688.

Making experiments, rule of law. Chabot v. American Button, &c. Co., 6 Fisher, 71.

Contract to serve and invent. Continental Windmill Co. v. Empire Windmill Co., 8 Blatch. 295; 4 Fisher, 428; McClurg v. Kingsland, 1 How. 202; 2 Robb, 105; Wilkins v. Spofford, 13 Off. Gaz. 675.

Using his own time in making experiments. Lockwood v. Lockwood, 33 Iowa, 198.

At expense of employer in making experiments. Slemmer's Appeal, 58 Penn. St. 155.

Mere fact of employment not enough to cover inventions not in particular line. Whiting v. Graves, 13 Off. Gaz. 455.

(See Infringement; Prior Use.)

ENGLISH COMMON PLEAS.

Effect of judgment of. Bishchoff v. Wethered, 9 Wall. 812.

EQUITY.

Adequate remedy at law. Perry v. Corning, 7 Blatch. 195.

Fraud of plaintiff prevents relief. Hoffheims v. Brandt, 3 Fisher, 218.

Jurisdiction is as full as at law. Hoffheims v. Brandt, 3 Fisher, 218.

Jurisdiction, suit at law not a prerequisite. Motte v. Bennett, 2 Fisher, 642.

Relief, mistake. Black v. Stone, 33 Ala. 327.

Equitable and legal titles. Continental Windmill Co. v. Empire Windmill Co., 8 Blatch. 295; 4 Fisher, 428; Day v. Candee, 3 Fisher, 9; Littlefield v. Perry, 21 Wall. 205; 7 Off. Gaz. 964; Whiting v. Graves, 13 Off. Gaz. 455.

Equitable title gives right to sue. Ruggles v. Eddy, 10 Blatch. 52; 5 Fisher, 581.

(See Assignee; Forfeiture; Jurisdiction; Jury; Suits.)

EQUIVALENT.

(See Combination; Defective Specification; Definition; Infringement; Injunction; Inventor; Patent.)

ERROR.

Writ. Bellas v. Hays, 5 S. & R. (Penn.) 427.

Writ allowed in chambers. Foote v. Silsby, 1 Blatch. 542.

Writ lies against decision on agreed statement of facts. Stimpson v. Baltimore and Susquehanna Railroad, 10 How. 329.

Writ, conclusions of fact not open. Stevens v. Pierpont, 42 Conn. 360.

Writ after maudate brings up only what was done since. Sizer v. Many, 16 How. 98.

Assignment of error in Iowa. Hawes v. Twogood, 12 Iowa, 582.

Too broad assignment of error. Marsh v. Seymour, 7 Otto, 348; 13 Off. Gaz. 723.

Error in citation. Chaffee v. Hayward, 20 How. 208. (See Preliminary Injunctions.)

ESTOPPEL.

By contract. Eureka Co. v. Bailey Washing Machine Co., 11 Wall. 488; Magic Ruffle Co. v. Elm City Co., 13 Blatch. 151; 8 Off. Gaz. 773; Read v. Bowman, 2 Wall. 591.

By contract in restraint of trade. Parkhurst v. Kinsman, 1 Blatch. 488.

Not estopped by contract to pay royalty. Hawks v. Swett, 4 Hun (N. Y.) 146; 6 Th. & C. (N. Y.) 529.

By covenant. Heilner v. Batten, 27 Penn. St. 517; Stanley Rule and Level Co. v. Bailey, 14 Blatch. 510.

By covenant not to infringe. Sargent v. Larned, 2 Curtis, 340.

By deed and in pais. Jackson v. Allen, 120 Mass. 64.

By judgment, certainty of record required. Russell v. Place, 4 Otto, 606; 12 Off. Gaz. 53.

By judgment, former suit on want of novelty. Dubois v. Philadelphia and Wilmington Railroad, 5 Fisher, 208.

By license. Jones v. Burnham, 67 Me. 93; Rich v. Atwater, 16 Conn. 409.

By note. Rose v. Hurley, 39 Ind. 77.

On nudum pactum, generally. Saxton v. Dodge, 57 Barb. (N. Y.) 84.

Of purchaser, by resale. Thomas v. Quintard, 5 Duer (N. Y.) 80.

Silence as an estoppel. Railroad Co. v. Dubois, 12 Wall. 47.

By sales under agreement. Kinsman v. Parkhurst, 18 How. 289. By previous statement of date of invention. Union Paper Bag

Machine Co. v. Crane, 1 Holmes, 429; 6 Off. Gaz. 801.

(See Contract; Invention.)

EVASION.

(See Preliminary Injunctions.)

EVIDENCE.

Actions at law as evidence. Doughty v. West, 2 Fisher, 553.

Additional. Birdsell v. Hagerstown Agricultural, &c. Co., 6 Off. Gaz. 604.

Admissibility of. Collender v. Griffeth, 11 Blatch. 212; 3 Off. Gaz. 689; Corning v. Burden, 15 How. 252; Foote v. Silsby, 1 Blatch. 445; Hawes v. Twogood, 12 Iowa, 582; Johnson v. Willimantic Linen Co., 33 Conn. 436; Marsh v. Dodge, 4 Hun (N. Y.) 278; 6 Th. & C. (N. Y.) 568; Rich v. Atwater, 16 Conn. 409; Vale v. Butler, 111 Mass. 55.

Admission of. Earl v. Dexter, 1 Holmes, 412; 6 Off. Gaz. 729; Philadelphia and Trenton Railroad v. Stimpson, 14 Peters, 448; 2 Robb, 46.

Agreement of counsel to admit. American Saddle Co. v. Hogg, 2 Off. Gaz. 595.

Agreement of counsel about evidence may not be accepted by court. Heilner v. Battin, 27 Penn. St. 517.

Not in answer. Geier v. Goetinzer, 7 Off. Gaz. 563.

State of art. Westlake v. Cartter, 6 Fisher, 519; 4 Off. Gaz. 636. State of art under general issue. Brown v. Piper, 1 Otto, 37; 10 Off. Gaz. 417.

In chancery. Berger v. Patterson, 78 Ill. 633.

Contract as evidence. Russell v. Lathrop, 117 Mass. 424.

Certified copies of patents. Woodworth v. Hall, 1 W. & M. 248; 2 Robb, 495.

Credibility. Cahill v. Brown, 15 Off. Gaz. 697.

Cumulative. Blandy v. Griffeth, 6 Fisher, 431.

Declarations by patentee. Evans v. Hettick, 7 Wheat. 453; 1 Robb, 417.

Depositions de bene esse. Pettibone v. Deringer, 4 Wash. 215; 1 Robb, 152.

Exclusion of evidence. Davis v. Gray, 17 Ohio St. 330; McDougall v. Fogg, 2 Bosw. (N. Y.), 387; Osborn v. Herron, 28 Ga. 313; Tucker v. Spaulding, 13 Wall. 453; 5 Fisher, 297; 1 Off. Gaz. 144.

Execution of instrument, proved by attestation. Sherman v. Champlain Transportation Co., 31 Vt. 162.

Irregularly put on record. Pennock v. Dialogue, 2 Peters, 1; 1 Robb, 542.

Knowledge of witness better than opinion of others. Guttapercha Co. v. Goodyear Rubber Co., 3 Sawyer, 542.

Memory as evidence of anticipation. Hayden v. Suffolk Manufacturing Co., 4 Fisher, 86.

New evidence. Foote v. Silsby, 1 Blatch. 545.

Notice, special in equity. Doughty v. West, 2 Fisher, 553.

Notice, sufficiency of. McDowell v. Meredith, 4 Whart. (Penn.) 311.

Notice, want of, cannot be cured by amending answer. Roberts v. Buck, 1 Holmes, 224; 6 Fisher, 325; 3 Off. Gaz. 269.

Note, best evidence. Dunbar v. Marden, 13 N. H. 311.

Omission of. Aiken v. Bemis, 3 W. & M. 348; 2 Robb, 644.

Positive and negative. Sayles v. Chicago and Northwestern Railroad, 3 Bissell, 52; 4 Fisher, 586.

Rebutting. Day v. New England Car Spring Co., 3 Blatch. 154; Evans v Hettick, 7 Wheat. 453; 1 Robb, 417.

Rejected applications of third party. Union Paper Bag Machine Co. v. Pultz and Walkley Co., 15 Off. Gaz. 423.

Statutes under general issue. Kneass v. Schuylkill Bank, 4 Wash. 9; 1 Robb, 303.

Under general issue. Bartholomew v. Sawyer, 4 Blatch. 347; 1 Fisher, 516.

Incompetency, evidence of prior use to prove right in defendant's assignor. Baldwin v. Sibley, 1 Cliff. 150.

(See Abandoned Experiments; Abandonment; Assignment; Books; Contract; Damages; Defective Specification; Disclaimer; Experts; Foreign Patents; Fraud; Infringement; Injunction; Inventor; Jurisdiction; License; Master; New Trial; Note; Original Inventor; Patent; Preliminary Injunctions; Rehearing; Reissue; Usefulness; Want of Novelty.)

EXAMINERS.

Appointment of special. Squire, John L., In re, 12 Off. Gaz. 1025.

(See COMMISSIONER; COSTS; PATENT.)

EXCEPTIONS.

Bill of, is part of record. Chaffee v. Boston Belting Co., 22 How. 217.

- General, to charge of court. Serviss v. Stockstill, 30 Ohio St. 418; Stimpson v. West Chester Railroad, 4 How. 380; 2 Robb, 335.
- To court's rulings. Case v. Brown, 2 Wall. 320; Wheeler v. Billings, 38 N. Y. 263.
- Rule of court not made part of bill of exceptions, effect. Packet Co. v. Sickles, 19 Wall. 611.

(See Excess; Master's Report; New Trial.)

EXECUTION.

Seizure of materials on. Powder Co. v. Burkhardt, 7 Otto, 110. (See Contract.)

EXECUTOR.

(See Administrators; Assignee; Assignment; Parties; Patent; Reissue; Title.)

EX PARTE.

(See Application.)

EXPERIMENTS.

(See Abandoned Experiments; Abandonment; Employé; Public Use; Statute.)

EXPERTS.

- Practical and theoretical mechanics are contemplated by patent law. Allen v. Blunt, 3 Story, 742; 2 Robb, 288.
- No doubt of their competency as witnesses. Barrett v. Hall, 1 Mason, 447; 1 Robb, 207.
- Competency of particular expert. Bierce v. Stocking, 11 Gray (Mass.) 174.
- Expert testimony is proper but sometimes unnecessary. Bish-choff v. Wethered, 9 Wall. 812.
- Opinion of identity can be testified to by experts only. Conover v. Rapp, 4 Fisher, 57.

- To explain terms used in the arts, &c., not to construe written instruments. Day v. Steltman, 1 Fisher, 487.
- Persons acquainted with the particular art. Dixon v. Moyer, 4 Wash. 68; 1 Robb, 324.
- Practical experiment is better than expert opinion. Hudson v. Draper, 4 Fisher, 256.
- In weighing expert's opinions, look at his ability, knowledge, fairness, &c. Johnson v. Root, 1 Fisher, 351.
- Ingenuity of, does not make a patent good. Kelleher v. Darling, 14 Off. Gaz. 673.
- Little confidence in opinions of experts and professors. Livingston v. Jones, 1 Fisher, 521.
- Expert testimony is exception to law of evidence of opinion. Many v. Sizer, 1 Fisher, 17.
- Entitled to great respect when qualified and free from bias. Morris v. Barrett, 1 Bond, 254; 1 Fisher, 461.
- Opinion as to impracticability, of little weight compared to actual use. Seymour v. Marsh, 6 Fisher, 115; 9 Phila. 380; 2 Off. Gaz. 675.
- Mere opinion without facts, is unsatisfactory. United States Annunciator Co. v. Sanderson, 3 Blatch. 184.
- Agreement between experts for plaintiff and defendant. Webster v. New Brunswick Carpet Co., 5 Off. Gaz. 522.
- Sometimes unnecessary. Winans v. New York and Erie Railroad, 21 How. 88.

(See Rehearing.)

EXPIRATION OF PATENT.

(See Extensions; Patent; Reissue.)

EXTENSIONS.

- To administrators. Washburn v. Gould, 3 Story, 122; 2 Robb, 206.
- Administrator can sue assignees of patentee. Wilson v. Rousseau, 4 How. 646; 2 Robb, 373; Woodworth v. Cheever, 3 Story, 171; 2 Robb, 257; Woodworth v. Hall, 1 W. & M. 248; 2 Robb, 495.

Applied for ninety days before expiration of patent. Johnson v. McCulloch, 4 Fisher, 170.

Effect of assignment. Case v. Redfield, 4 McLean, 526; 2 Robb, 741; Day v. Candee, 3 Fisher, 9; Lowell Manufacturing Co. v. Hatfield Carpet Co., 2 Fisher, 472.

By special act. Blanchard v. Haynes, 6 West. L. J. 82; Evans v. Eaton, 3 Wheat. 454; 1 Robb, 243.

Beginning of. Jordan v. Dobson, 2 Abbott, 398; 7 Phila. 533; 4 Fisher, 232.

By Congress. Blanchard v. Beers, 2 Blatch. 411; Jordan v. Dobson, 2 Abbott, 398; 7 Phila. 533; 4 Fisher, 232.

By Congress does not prevent royalties. Union Manufacturing Co. v. Lounsbury, 41 N. Y. 363.

False representations. Goodyear v. Providence Rubber Co., 2 Cliff. 351; 2 Fisher, 499.

Illegality of. Crompton v. Belknap Mills, 3 Fisher, 536; Brooks v. Bicknell, 3 McLean, 250; 2 Robb, 118.

Object is to make fair profit. Aiken v. Dolan, 3 Fisher. 197.

Operates prospectively only. Bloomer v. McQuewan, 14 How. 539; Bloomer v. Stolly, 5 McLean, 158.

Extension to patentee after reissue to assignee, is good. Potter v. Braunsdorf, 7 Blatch. 97.

Vests whole title without regard to where it was at reissue. Potter v. Empire Sewing Machine Co., 3 Fisher, 474.

Validity of. Colt v. Young, 2 Blatch. 471.

Strengthens presumption of novelty. Whitney v. Mowry, 2 Bond, 45; 3 Fisher, 157.

Strengthens presumption of validity. McComb v. Ernest, 1 Woods, 195.

Time twenty-eight years in all, legality. Woodworth v. Edwards, 3 W. & M. 120; 2 Robb, 610.

Use after. Farrington v. Water Commissioners, 4 Fisher, 216. Renewal. Brooks v. Bicknell, 4 McLean, 70.

Is judicial act not impeached collaterally. American Wood Paper Co. v. Glen Falls Paper Co., 8 Blatch. 513; 4 Fisher, 324.

(See Assignee; Assignment; Commissioner; Contract; Injunction; License; Renewal; Repeal; Sale; Use.)

FACT.

(See Abandonment; Assignment; Court; Damages; Injunction; Master's Report; Preliminary Injunctions; Prior Knowledge; Uncertainty.)

FALSE REPRESENTATIONS.

(See Note; Sale.)

FEES.

(See Costs; Master.)

FINE.

(See Injunction.)

FIRST INVENTOR.

(See Inventor.)

FORECLOSURE.

(See Mortgage.)

FOREIGN CORPORATIONS.

(See Corporation.)

FOREIGN PATENTS.

Date of. French v. Rogers, 1 Fisher, 133; Morse v. Bain, 9 West. L. J. 106.

English patent. Gatling v. Newell, 9 Ind. 572.

English patent surreptitiously obtained does not defeat inventor's right here. Kendrick v. Emmons, 9 Off. Gaz. 201.

Expiration of. Badische Anilin, &c. v. Hamilton Manufacturing Co., 13 Off. Gaz. 273; Henry v. Providence Tool Co., 14 Off. Gaz. 855; Smith v. Ely, 5 McLean, 76; Weston v. White, 13 Blatch. 364; 9 Off. Gaz. 1196.

U.S. Patent of verne invento, held to be invaled if not limited to 54Reversedmin Grallon Paillaged V. Cantochi.

Expiration before extension. Tilghman v. Mitchell, 9 Blatch. 18; 4 Fisher, 615.

Unsatisfactory evidence of. Putnam v. Hickey, 3 Bissell, 157; 5 Fisher, 334; 2 Off. Gaz. 225.

Must be clear and exact to anticipate. Cahill v. Brown, 15 Off. Gaz. 697.

Free from interferences, patents held by aliens. Bland, ex parte, 15 Off. Gaz. 828.

By third person without consent of inventor. Goodyear Dental Vulcanite Co. v. Willis, 7 Off. Gaz. 41.

Statute of 1861. Goff v. Stafford, 14 Off. Gaz. 748.

Time of. City of Elizabeth v. Pavement Co., 7 Otto, 126.

Translation of. White v. Allen, 2 Cliff. 224; 2 Fisher, 440.

Met by showing their worthlessness. Harwood v. Mill River Woollen Manufacturing Co., 3 Fisher, 526.

(See Original Inventor; Preliminary Injunctions; Statute; Want of Novelty.)

FORFEITURE.

Equity never enforces. Morse v. O'Reilly, 6 Penn. L. J. 501. By laches. Magic Ruffle Co. v. Elm City Co., 14 Blatch. 109; 11 Off. Gaz. 501.

Of license. Woodworth v. Weed, 1 Blatch. 165.

Generally. Pitts v. Hall, 2 Blatch. 229.

(See ABANDONMENT; ASSIGNMENT; LICENSE.)

FORM.

When change of, is material. Winans v. Denmead, 15 How. 330.

Importance of. Bain v. Morse, 6 West. L. J. 372. (See Infringement; Reissue.)

FRAUD.

Not admissible under defense of want of novelty. Agawam Co. v. Jordan, 7 Wall. 583.

In obtaining special act, remedy. Gibson v. Gifford, 1 Blatch. 529.

Not open collaterally. Eureka Co. v. Bailey Washing Machine Co., 11 Wall. 488; Gear v. Grosvenor, 1 Holmes, 215; 6 Fisher, 314; 3 Off. Gaz. 380; Milligan and Higgins Glue Co. v. Upton, 6 Off. Gaz. 837; Seymour v. Osborne, 11 Wall. 516; Whitney v. Mowry, 4 Fisher, 207.

Fraudulent misrepresentations in patent. Elm City Co. v. Wooster, 6 Fisher, 452; 4 Off. Gaz. 83.

Hearsay evidence. Wilson v. Simpson, 9 How. 109.

Of government, limitations not accepted by patentee. Pike v. Potter, 3 Fisher, 55.

Must be proved. Jordan v. Dobson, 2 Abbott, 398; 7 Phila. 533; 4 Fisher, 232.

Tort for deceit, false representations. David v. Park, 103 Mass. 501.

(See Contract; Equity; Extension; License; Note; Original Inventor; Pleading; Reissue; Šale; Suits.)

FUNCTION.

(See PATENTABILITY.)

GAINS.

(See Damages.)

GENERAL ISSUE.

(See Defense; Evidence; Pleading.)

GOVERNMENT.

(See Damages; Fraud; Repeal.)

GUARDIANS.

(See Sale.)

HABENDUM CLAUSE.

(See Assignment.)

IDEA.

(See PATENTABILITY.)

IDENTITY.

(See Jury.)

IGNORANCE.

(See Uncertainty.)

IMPRACTICABILITY.

(See Patent.)

IMPROVEMENTS.

(See Damages; Injunction; Invention; Inventor; Patent; Patentability.)

INADVERTENCE.

(See Reissue.)

INCAPACITY.

(See Reissue.)

INCOMPETENCY.

(See EVIDENCE.)

INCOMPLETENESS.

(See Patentability.)

INDIANA LAW.

(See Corporation.)

INFRINGEMENT.

Acquiescence by plaintiff. Jordan v. Dobson, 2 Abbott, 398; 7 Phila. 533; 4 Fisher, 232.

Agents of corporations having nothing to do with its use, do not infringe. Lightner v. Kimball, 1 Lowell, 211.

By American boats on high seas. Gardiner v. Howe, 2 Cliff. 462.

Burden of proof. Agawam Co. v. Jordan, 7 Wall. 583; Bates
v. Coe, 8 Otto, 31; 15 Off. Gaz. 337; Goff v. Stafford, 14
Off. Gaz. 748; Graham v. Mason, 5 Fisher, 1; Hudson v.
Draper, 4 Fisher, 256; Parker v. Stiles, 5 McLean, 44.

Change of form.

May be of essence. Dennis v. Eddy, 4 Fisher, 423.

Mechanical equivalents. Gorham v. Mixter, 8 Penn. L. J. 539; Lowell v. Lewis, 1 Mason, 182; 1 Robb, 131; Murphy v. Eastham, 1 Holmes, 113; 5 Fisher, 306; 2 Off. Gaz. 61; Murphy v. Kissling, 1 Holmes, 432; 7 Off. Gaz. 302; Sargent v. Larned, 2 Curtis, 340; Tatham v. Leroy, 2 Blatch. 474; Taylor v. Garretson, 9 Blatch. 156; 5 Fisher, 116.

Inventive skill. Teese v. Phelps, 1 McAllister, 48.

When essential. Union Paper Bag, &c. Co. v. Murphy, 7 Otto, 120; 13 Off. Gaz. 366; Weston v. Nash, 1 Hohnes, 488; 7 Off. Gaz. 1096.

Shape. Wilbur v. Beecher, 2 Blatch. 132.

"Characteristic resemblance." Union Paper Collar Co. v. White, 9 Off. Gaz. 698.

Choice of remedies. Magic Ruffle Co. v. Elm City Co., 13 Blatch. 151; 8 Off. Gaz. 773.

Circular of defendant as evidence. Masury v. Tiemann, 8 Blatch. 426; 4 Fisher, 524.

Claim, narrow. Reckendorfer v. Faber, 12 Blatch. 68; 5 Off. Gaz. 697.

Colorable alterations. Adams v. Jones, 1 Fisher, 527; American Diamond Rock Boring Co. v. Sullivan Company, 14 Blatch.
119; American Nicolson Pavement Co. v. City of Elizabeth, 4 Fisher, 189; Byam v. Eddy, 2 Blatch. 521; Odiorne v. Denney, 13 Off. Gaz. 965; Odiorne v. Winkley, 2 Gall.
51; 1 Robb, 52; Pitts v. Wemple, 1 Bissell, 87; 2 Fisher, 10; Vaughan v. Central Pacific Railroad, 4 Sawyer, 280; Whitely v. Kirby, 11 Wall. 678.

Combination.

Change of position of parts, better work. Adams v. Joliet Manufacturing Co., 12 Off. Gaz. 93.

Combination — continued.

Not using the essential part. Adams and Westlake Manufacturing Co. v. St. Louis Wire Goods Co., 12 Off. Gaz. 940.

Additions. American Nicolson Pavement Co. v. City of Elizabeth, 6 Fisher, 424; 3 Off. Gaz. 522.

Of two, not infringed by one and an outside element. American Nicolson Pavement Co. v. Hatch, 3 Fisher, 432.

Substantial equivalents. American Whip Co. v. Lombard, 14 Off. Gaz. 900.

Mere substitute. Atlantic Giant Powder Co. v. Mowbray, 12 Off. Gaz. iii., Oct. 2.

Substituting another well-known part. Bailey Washing, &c. Co. v. Lincoln, 4 Fisher, 379.

Change by mere mechanical skill. Blake v. Eagle Works Manufacturing Co., 3 Bissell, 77; 4 Fisher, 591.

Mechanical equivalents. Blake v. Robertson, 11 Blatch. 237. Change for purpose of evasion. Blake v. Robertson, 4 Otto, 726; 11 Off. Gaz. 877.

Used for only one of its many purposes. Blanchard ν . Beers, 2 Blatch, 411.

Sale of materials. Bridge v. Brown, 3 Off. Gaz. 121.

Immaterial change of location of a part. Brown v. Selby, 23 Wall. 181; 6 Off. Gaz. 392.

Cannot claim alternative combinations where parts will not work. Brown v. Whittemore, 5 Fisher, 524; 2 Off. Gaz. 248.

Same test of infringement as of novelty. Buck v. Hermance, 1 Blatch. 398.

Colorable difference or slight variation. Byam v. Eddy, 2 Blatch, 521.

Test of similarity. Cahoon v. Ring, 1 Cliff. 592; 1 Fisher, 397. Change of form of parts. Conover v. Roach, 4 Fisher, 12.

Of three not infringed by two. Coolidge v. McCone, 2 Sawyer, 571; 5 Off. Gaz. 458; Crompton v. Belknap Mills, 3 Fisher, 536.

Omission of one ingredient. Densmore v. Schofield, 4 Fisher, 148.

Must use entire combination. Dunbar v. Myers, 4 Otto, 187; 11 Off. Gaz. 35.

Combination -- continued.

Mechanical substitute. Fisher v. Craig, 3 Sawyer, 69.

Different function of a part. Forsyth v. Clapp, 1 Holmes, 278; 6 Fisher, 528; 4 Off. Gaz. 527.

Mere substitutes. Fuller v. Yentzer, 4 Otto, 288; 11 Off. Gaz. 551; Fuller v. Yentzer, 4 Otto, 299; 11 Off. Gaz. 597.

Equivalents. Fuzzard Wadding Manufacturing Co. v. Dickinson, 6 Blatch. 80; 3 Fisher, 289; Gould v. Rees,
15 Wall. 187; 2 Off. Gaz. 624; King v. Louisville Cement Co., 6 Fisher, 334; 4 Off. Gaz. 181; McCormick v. Many, 6 McLean, 539; Storrs v. Howe, 10 Off. Gaz. 420; Turrell v. Spaeth, 14 Off. Gaz. 377; Woolcocks v. Many, 9 Blatch. 139; 5 Fisher, 72.

How infringed. Foster v. Moore, 1 Curtis, 279; Singer v. Walmesley, 1 Fisher, 558.

Analogous device, colorable imitation. Gibson v. Van Dresar, 1 Blatch. 532.

Omission of one element. Gill v. Wells, 22 Wall. 1.

Policy of rule of equivalents. Gill v. Wells, 22 Wall. 1.

Combination and *entire process*, not by combination and part of process. Howe v. Abbott, 2 Story, 190; 2 Robb, 99.

Use of its various parts. Moody v. Fiske, 2 Mason, 112; 1 Robb, 312.

Must use all the parts. Parker v. Haworth, 4 McLean, 370;
2 Robb, 725; Prouty v. Draper, 1 Story, 568;
2 Robb, 75; Prouty v. Ruggles, 16 Peters, 336;
2 Robb, 93.

Omission of one ingredient. Rees v. Gould, 6 Fisher, 106; 2 Off. Gaz. 624.

Leaving out the new elements. Rich v. Close, 8 Blatch. 41; 4 Fisher, 279.

Selling parts to be put together. Richardson v. Noyes, 10 Off. Gaz. 507.

All the elements. Roberts v. Harnden, 2 Cliff. 500; Sands v. Wardwell, 3 Cliff. 277; Stimpson v. Baltimore and Susquehanna Railroad, 6 Otto, 549.

Making one part. Saxe v. Hammond, 1 Holmes, 456; 7 Off. Gaz. 781.

Is entirety. Schumacher v. Cornell, 6 Otto, 549; Vance v. Campbell, 1 Black, 427.

Combination — continued.

Smooth roller replaced by one covered with designs. Stimpson v. Woodman, 10 Wall. 117.

Making some parts in concert with another making the rest. Wallace v. Holmes, 9 Blatch. 65; 5 Fisher, 37.

Change of form. Wilson v. Barnum, 2 Fisher, 635.

Comparison of machines. Conover v. Dohrman, 6 Blatch. 60; 3 Fisher, 382; Hoe v. Simpson, 6 Off. Gaz. 435.

Is for jury. Johnson v. Root, 1 Fisher, 351; King v. Maudelbaum, 8 Blatch. 468; 4 Fisher, 577.

Is best test. Marsh v. Seymour, 7 Otto, 348; 13 Off. Gaz.
723; Morris v. Roger, 2 Bond, 66; 3 Fisher, 176; Seymour v. Osborne, 11 Wall. 516; Swift v. Whisen, 2 Bond, 115; 3 Fisher, 343.

Composition. Francis v. Mellor, 5 Fisher, 148; 1 Off. Gaz. 48;
Goodyear v. New York Gutta-percha Co., 2 Fisher, 312;
Rich v. Lippincott, 2 Fisher, 1; United States and Foreign Salamander Felting Co. v. Lawrence Manufacturing Co., 9 Off. Gaz. 202.

Compound. Well-known equivalents. Woodward v. Morrison, 1 Holmes, 124; 5 Fisher, 357; 2 Off. Gaz. 120.

Construction of patent and comparison of machines. Imlay v. Norwich and Worcester Railroad, 4 Blatch. 227; 1 Fisher, 340.

Conveyance under insolvent law of Massachusetts. Ashcroft v. Walworth, 1 Holmes, 152; 5 Fisher, 528; 2 Off. Gaz. 546. Corporation.

Directors and agents are responsible. Goodyear v. Phelps, 3 Blatch. 91.

Director without control is not. Jones v. Osgood, 6 Blatch. 435. New corporation may use cars licensed to old one. Lightner v. Boston and Albany Railroad, 1 Lowell, 338.

Not responsible for infringement by contractor. Lightner v. Brooks, 2 Cliff. 287.

In other State connected with one in this. York and Maryland Line Railroad v. Winans, 17 How. 30.

Damages. Cox v. Griggs, 1 Bissell, 362; 2 Fisher, 174; Hays v. Sulsor, 1 Bond, 279; 1 Fisher, 532.

Defendant abandoning his contract may be sued as infringer. Steam Cutter Co. v. Sheldon, 10 Blatch. 1; 5 Fisher, 477.

Denial must be direct. Goodyear v. Berry, 3 Fisher, 439.

Must be distinctly and unevasively denied in answer. Jordan v. Wallace, 5 Fisher, 185.

Special denial. Mabie v. Haskell, 2 Cliff. 507.

Depends on construction given to plaintiff's patent. Knox v. Murtha, 9 Blatch. 205; 5 Fisher, 174.

Formal differences. Cook v. Howard, 4 Fisher, 269; Klein v. Park, 13 Off. Gaz. 5; Pennsylvania Salt Co. v. Thomas, 5 Fisher, 148.

Essential difference. Mann v. Baylies, 10 Off. Gaz. 789.

Marked difference. Werner v. King, 6 Otto, 218; 13 Off. Gaz. 176.

By employé. Boyce v. Dorr, 3 McLean, 582; 2 Robb, 302.

Equivalents. Aiken v. Dolan, 3 Fisher, 197; Byam v. Farr, 1
Curtis, 260; Carter v. Baker, 1 Sawyer, 512; 4 Fisher, 404; Clough v. Gilbert and Barker Manufacturing Co., 15 Off. Gaz. 1009; Conover v. Rapp, 4 Fisher, 57; Goodyear Dental Vulcanite Co. v. Davis, 12 Off. Gaz. Oct. 2d, I.

Palpable evasion. Hyndman v. Roots, 7 Otto, 224; 13 Off. Gaz. 868.

Mode of operation. King v. Werner, 12 Blatch. 270; 8 Off. Gaz. 361.

Intent. Matthews v. Skates, 1 Fisher, 602; Parker v. Remhof, 14 Off. Gaz. 601; Parker v. Stiles, 5 McLean, 44;
Poppenhusen v. Falke, 5 Blatch. 46; 2 Fisher, 213;
Potter v. Davis Sewing Machine Co., 3 Fisher, 472;
Smith v. Downing, 1 Fisher, 64; Smith v. Marshall, 10
Off. Gaz. 375; Stanley Rule and Level Co. v. Bailey, 14
Blatch. 510; Taylor v. Archer, 8 Blatch. 315; 4 Fisher, 449; Tompkins v. Gage, 5 Blatch. 269; 2 Fisher, 577;
Vogler v. Semple, 11 Off. Gaz. 923.

Same actuating forces. Seymour v. Marsh, 6 Fisher, 115; 9 Phila. 380; 2 Off. Gaz. 675.

Comparative excellence irrelevant. Sickels v. Gloucester Manufacturing Co., 1 Fisher, 222.

Thing with two functions not infringed by thing with one only. Bliss v. Haight, 7 Blatch. 7; 3 Fisher, 621.

Same function. Brown v. Rubber Step, &c. Co., 13 Off. Gaz. 369.

Gist of the action. Evans v. Eaton, 3 Wash. 443; 1 Robb, 68. By government. Brady v. Atlantic Works, 10 Off. Gaz. 702.

Officers. Cammeyer v. Newton, 12 Blatch. 122; 5 Off. Gaz. 753; Cammeyer v. Newton, 4 Otto, 225; 11 Off. Gaz. 287.

On government vessel is not infringement in workman. Heaton v. Quintard, 7 Blatch. 73.

Amount of compensation. Hubbell v. United States, 5 N. & H. 1.

Is not a taking of private property for public use. Pitcher v. United States, 1 N. & II. 7.

By warden of penitentiary. Pitcher v. United States, 1 N. & H. 7.

Taking ideas of plaintiff. McCormick v. Seymour, 2 Blatch. 240; McCormick v. Seymour, 3 Blatch. 209.

Identity, substantial. Pike v. Providence and Worcester Railroad, 1 Holmes, 445; 6 Off. Gaz. 575; Smith v. Mercer,
5 Penn. L. J. 529; Smith v. Pearce, 2 McLean, 176; 2
Robb, 13; Whitney v. Mowry, 2 Bond, 45; 3 Fisher,
157; Wintermute v. Redington, 1 Fisher, 239.

Two machines with same result are not necessarily the same. Burr v. Duryee_t 1 Wall. 531.

Illegal attempt of State to let city use without pay. Bliss v. City of Brooklyn, 8 Blatch. 533; 4 Fisher, 596.

Improvements, effect of. Howes v. McNeal, 15 Off. Gaz. 608; Winans v. New York and Harlem Railroad, 4 Fisher, 1.

What infringement is. Hale v. Stimpson, 2 Fisher, 565; Haselden v. Ogden, 3 Fisher, 378; Reutgen v. Kanowrs, 1 Wash. 168; 1 Robb, 1; Union Sugar Refinery v. Matthieson, 3 Cliff. 639; 2 Fisher, 600.

May recover for infringement between fire of 1836 and restoring records. Hogg v. Emerson, 6 How. 437; 2 Robb, 655.

Patents for use not infringed by making and selling. Keystone Bridge Co. v. Phænix Iron Co., 5 Fisher, 468; 1 Off. Gaz. 471.

Process not infringed by sale of product. Merrill v. Yeomans, 4 Otto, 568; 11 Off. Gaz. 970.

Where no infringement is found court will not pass on novelty. Saxe v. Hammond, 1 Holmes, 456; 7 Off. Gaz. 781.

Intention. Hawes v. Washburne, 5 Off. Gaz. 491.

Interest. Lack of, in one defendant. Hussey v. Bradley, 5 Blatch. 134.

By joint owner, damages apportioned. Herring v. Gas Consumers' Association, 13 Off. Gaz. 637.

Joint infringement. Poppenhusen v. Falke, 4 Blatch. 493; 2 Fisher, 181.

Is for jury. Jackson v. Allen, 120 Mass. 64; Kneass v. Schuylkill Bank, 4 Wash. 9; 1 Robb, 303; Motte v. Bennett, 2 Fisher, 642.

License.

Use by purchaser outside territory of licensee. Adams v. Burke, 1 Holmes, 40; 4 Fisher, 392; 1 Off. Gaz. 282.

By licensee violating his contract. Cohn v. National Rubber Co., 15 Off. Gaz. 829; Goodyear v. Providence Rubber Co., 2 Cliff. 351; 2 Fisher, 499.

Substantially same machine. Johnson v. Root, 1 Fisher, 351. Sale of materials by sheriff is not. Sawin v. Guild, 1 Gall. 485; 1 Robb, 47.

Same means. Ives v. Hamilton, 2 Otto, 426; 10 Off. Gaz. 336; Mallory v. White, 8 Blatch. 552; 4 Fisher, 628.

Mechanical change. Myers v. Dunbar, 8 Blatch. 446; 4 Fisher, 493; Whipple v. Middlesex Company, 4 Fisher, 41.

Mechanical substitute. Rice v. Heald, 13 Pac. L. R. 33.

Making to use but not using. Whittemore v. Cutter, 1 Gall. 429; 1 Robb, 28.

Mode of operation the same. American Manufacturing Co. v. Lane, 14 Blatch. 438; 15 Off. Gaz. 421; Earle v. Harlow, 9 Off. Gaz. 1018; Evarts v. Ford, 6 Fisher, 587; 5 Off. Gaz. 58; Gray v. James, Peters C. C. 394; 1 Robb, 120; Hartshorn v. Tripp, 7 Blatch. 120; Wyeth v. Stone, 1 Story, 273; 2 Robb, 23.

Mode of operation different. Merriam v. Van Nest, 13 Off. Gaz. 597.

Parts, selling the parts. Graham v. Mason, 1 Holmes, 88; 5 Fisher, 290; 1 Off. Gaz. 609.

Performing same function. Tillotson v. Munson, 5 Bissell, 426.

By less perfect machine. Union Metallic Cartridge Co. v. United States Cartridge Co., 11 Off. Gaz. 1113.

Principle the same. Aultman v. Holley, 11 Blatch. 317; 6 Fisher, 534; 5 Off. Gaz. 3; Converse v. Cannon, 2 Woods, 7; 9 Off. Gaz. 105; Johnson v. Fassman, 1 Woods, 138; 5 Fisher, 471; 2 Off. Gaz. 94; Judson v. Cope, 1 Bond, 327; 1 Fisher, 615; La Baw v. Hawkins, 6 Off. Gaz. 727; Latta v. Shawk, 1 Bond, 259; 1 Fisher, 465; Lee v. Blandy, 1 Bond, 361; 2 Fisher, 89; Lyman Ventilating, &c. Co, v. Lalor, 12 Blatch. 303; 6 Off. Gaz. 642.

Carried farther. Tracy v. Torrey, 2 Blatch. 275.

Sale of product of patented machine. Boyd v. McAlpin, 3 McLean, 427; 2 Robb, 277.

Process.

Lower pressure in. American Wood Paper Co. v. Fibre Disintegrating Co., 6 Blatch. 27; 5 Fisher, 362; American Wood Paper Co. v. Heft, 3 Fisher, 316.

Different, but with same result. Badische Anilin, &c. v. Hamilton Manufacturing Co., 14 Off. Gaz. 414.

Same. Buchanan v. Howland, 5 Blatch. 151; 2 Fisher, 341;
Burr v. Cowperthwait, 4 Blatch. 163; Clark v. Kennedy
Manufacturing Co., 14 Blatch. 79; 11 Off. Gaz. 67;
Goodyear v. Beverly Rubber Co., 1 Cliff. 348; Goodyear
v. New Jersey Central Railroad, 2 Wall. Jr. 356; 1
Fisher, 626.

Different process. Merrill v. Yeomans, 1 Holmes, 331; 5 Off. Gaz. 267.

Same process. Mitchell v. Tilghman, 19 Wall. 287; Mowry v. Whitney, 14 Wall. 620; 5 Fisher, 494; 1 Off. Gaz. 492; Piper v. Brown, 1 Holmes, 20; 4 Fisher, 175; Tilghman v. Mitchell, 2 Fisher, 518.

Only part. Tilghman v. Werk, 1 Bond, 511; 2 Fisher, 229. Purchase by patentee's agent as evidence. Byam v. Bullard, 1 Curtis, 100.

Purchase of infringer. Eunson v. Dodge, 18 Wall. 414; 5 Off. Gaz. 95.

Purpose the same. Foss v. Herbert, 1 Bissell, 121; 2 Fisher, 31. Before reissue. Moffitt v. Gaar, 1 Fisher, 610.

Of reissue is new cause of action. Reedy v. Scott, 7 Off. Gaz. 463. Remedies for. Vaughan v. Central Pacific Railroad, 4 Sawyer, 280.

Result the same.

Different means. American Pin Co. v. Oakville Co., 3 Blatch. 190.

Same means. Knox v. Loweree, 6 Off. Gaz. 802.

Same means. McComb v. Beard, 10 Blatch. 350; 6 Fisher, 254; 3 Off. Gaz. 33; Roberts v. Harnden, 2 Cliff. 500.

In same way. Searls v. Van Nest, 13 Off. Gaz. 772; Sickels v. Borden, 3 Blatch. 535.

By salesman selling without interest. Maltby v. Bobo, 14 Blatch, 53.

By school committee. Allen v. City of Brooklyn, 4 Fisher, 598. State of the art. United States Gauge Co. v. American Gauge Co., 1 Holmes, 309; 5 Off. Gaz. 208.

Test of.

Taken as a whole. Pickering v. Phillips, 10 Off. Gaz. 420.
Taking each part separately. Wheeler v. Clipper Mower,
&c. Co., 10 Blatch. 181; 6 Fisher, 1; 2 Off. Gaz. 442.

Is a tort. Stein v. Goddard, 1 McAllister, 82.

Trespass on the case for. Chaffee v. Boston Belting Co., 22 How, 217.

Unwitting infringement, only compensatory damages. Parker v. Corbin, 4 McLean, 462: 2 Robb, 736.

Greater utility as a defense. Many v. Sizer, 1 Fisher, 17.

Immaterial variations. Russell and Erwin Manufacturing Co. v. Manufacturing Co., 12 Blatch. 36; 7 Off. Gaz. 383.

Not considered when patent is void. Kirby v. Dodge and Stevenson Manufacturing Co., 10 Blatch. 307; 6 Fisher, 156; 3 Off. Gaz. 181.

(See Admissions; Allegation; Bill; Burden of Proof; Contract; Corporation; Damages; Form; Injunction; Interference; Joint Owners; Patent; Suits; Uncertainty.)

INJUNCTION.

Assignee's right to injunction is by statute. Jenkins v. Greenwald, 1 Bond, 126; 2 Fisher, 37.

Bona fide issue. Goodyear v. Dunbar, 3 Wall. Jr. 310; 1 Fisher, 472.

Conditional. Goodyear v. Housinger, 2 Bissell, 1; 3 Fisher, 147. Contempt, violation of injunction.

Violation must be plainly and satisfactorily shown. Birdsell v. Hagerstown Agricultural Implement, &c. Co., 1 Hughes, 59; 11 Off. Gaz. 420.

Selling things under patent not considered at trial. Buerk v. Imhauser, 11 Off. Gaz. 112.

Substitution of equivalent. Carstaedt v. United States Corset Co., 13 Blatch. 371; 10 Off. Gaz. 3.

Effect of bond acknowledging validity. Byam v. Eddy, 2 Blatch. 521; 24 Vt. 666.

Attachment and fine. Craig v. Fisher, 5 Pac. L. R. 52.

Amount of punishment, poverty of defendant. Double-day v. Sherman, 4 Fisher, 253.

Sometimes defendants are not entitled to favor. Goodyear v. Mullee, 5 Blatch. 429; 3 Fisher, 209.

Intention. Goodyear v. Mullee, 5 Blatch. 463; 3 Fisher, 259. Defendant cannot leave out part at will and go ahead. Hamilton v. Simons, 5 Bissell, 77.

Advice of counsel no defense. Hamilton v. Simons, 5 Bissell, 77.

At request of patentee's agent, conspiracy charged. Knowles v. Peck, 42 Conn. 386.

Article never sold till after injunction, not properly raised on contempt. Liddle v. Cory, 7 Blatch. 1.

Evident non-infringement. New York Wire Rail Co. v. Walker, 2 Fisher, 179.

By using equivalent. Poppenhusen v. New York Guttapercha Comb Co., 4 Blatch. 253; 2 Fisher, 80.

Enjoined from making and selling, sells as agent. Potter v. Muller, 1 Bond, 601; 2 Fisher, 631.

Studied attempt to use plaintiff's invention. Schillinger v. Gunther, 14 Blatch. 152; 11 Off. Gaz. 831.

What constitutes violation. Sickels v. Borden, 4 Blatch. 14. On unconsidered points. Welling v. Rubber Coated Harness Trimming Co., 7 Off. Gaz. 608.

Attempt to invade. Wetherill v. New Jersey Zinc Co., 5 Off. Gaz. 460.

What is open and what not. Whipple v. Hutchinson, 4 Blatch, 190.

Contempt, violation of injunction — continued.

Machine of same principle. Woodworth v. Rogers, 3 W. & M. 135; 2 Robb, 625.

Against disclosure of invention kept secret. Peabody v. Norfolk, 98 Mass. 452.

Dissolution of injunctions.

Lack of interest. Brammer v. Jones, 2 Bond, 100; 3 Fisher, 340.

Privity of purchaser. Dayton v. Wright, 11 Off. Gaz. 197. Laches and acquiescence. Doubleday v. Sherman, 6 Blatch. 513.

Power of district judges. Hussey v. Whitely, 1 Bond, 407; 2 Fisher, 120.

Injury to defendant no ground. Hussey v. Whitely, 1 Bond, 407; 2 Fisher, 120.

Long possession by plaintiff, discretion of court. Orr v. Badger, 7 Law Rep. 465.

Trials at law, proper answers. Orr v. Merrill, 1 W. & M. 376; 2 Robb, 331.

Defenses not proved cannot be set up. Union Paper Bag Machine Co. v. Newell, 11 Blatch. 549; 5 Off. Gaz. 173.

After reissue. Woodworth v. Stone, 3 Story, 749; 2 Robb, 296. Want of novelty. Young v. Lippman, 9 Blatch. 283; 5 Fisher, 230; 2 Off. Gaz. 249.

On account of invalidity of extension. Bloomer v. Stolly, 5 McLean, 158.

Prior inventions set up and examined. Frink v. Petry, 11 Blatch. 422; 5 Off. Gaz. 201.

Granted, license from plaintiff. Goodyear v. Bourn, 3 Blatch, 266.

Particular case, not absolute dissolution. Wilson v. Barnum, 1 Wall. Jr. 347; 2 Robb, 749.

Suit at law on validity not brought by next term. Woodworth v. Edwards, 3 W. & M. 120; 2 Robb, 610.

Not for technical objections which may be removed. Woodworth v. Hall, 1 W. & M. 389; 2 Robb, 517.

New evidence improperly neglected. Woodworth v. Rogers, 3 W. & M. 135; 2 Robb, 625.

Granting.

Suit too soon after issue. Ayling v. Hull, 2 Cliff. 494; Hovey v. Stevens, 1 W. & M. 290; 2 Robb, 479.

Not, where long adverse possession by defendant. Cooper v. Mattheys, 8 Law Rep. 413; Hall v. Speer, 6 Pitts. L. J. 403.

Not, where facts or credibility of witnesses have to be decided. Cooper v. Mattheys, 8 Law Rep. 413.

Doubt. Isaacs v. Cooper, 4 Wash, 259; 1 Robb, 333.

Granted where validity fully established, in spite of damage to defendant. Hodge v. Hudson River Railroad, 6 Blatch. 165.

Short time since issue and no adjudication of validity, denied. Jones v. Field, 12 Blatch. 494.

Against suits under patents not yet declared invalid. Asbestos Felting Co. v. United States and Foreign Salamander Felting Co., 13 Blatch. 453; 10 Off. Gaz. 828.

Generally, license. Bicknell v. Todd, 3 McLean, 236.

From suing defendant's vendees. Birdsell v. Hagerstown Agricultural Implement, &c. Co., 1 Hughes, 59; 11 Off. Gaz. 420.

Opens question of merits. Blake v. Rawson, 1 Holmes, 200; 6 Fisher, 74; 3 Off. Gaz. 122.

Modifying. Consolidated Fruit Jar Co. v. Whitney, 31 Leg. Int. 229.

Protection for defendants. Consolidated Fruit Jar Co. v. Whitney, 31 Leg. Int. 229.

Mischief caused by. Day v. Candee, 3 Fisher, 9.

Defendant's stopping no reason for not making perpetual. Jenkins v. Greenwald, 1 Bond, 126; 2 Fisher, 37.

State court cannot enjoin United States courts. Kendall v. Winsor, 6 R. I. 453.

No vindictive injunctions. Livingston v. Jones, 3 Wall. Jr. 330; 2 Fisher, 207.

When they will issue against defendant holding a patent. McComb v. Ernest, 1 Woods, 195.

Against waste. Morse v. Oreilly, 6 Penn. L. J. 501.

Rules for granting. Ogle v. Ege, 4 Wash. 581; 1 Robb, 516.

Undisturbed possession, sleeping on his rights. Orr v. Littlefield, 1 W. & M. 13; 2 Robb, 333.

Injury to defendant. Orr v. Littlefield, 1 W. & M. 13; 2 Robb, 333.

May issue on facts found by Master or jury. Parker v. Hatfield, 4 McLean, 61.

Should not be suspended till decree. Potter v. Mack, 3 Fisher, 428; Rumford Chemical Works v. Hecker, 11 Off. Gaz. 330.

Terms imposed on granting. Rogers v. Abbott, 4 Wash. 514; 1 Robb, 465.

Irreparable injury. Sanders v. Logan, 2 Fisher, 167.

Prior suit at law not necessary. Sanders v. Logan, 2 Fisher, 167.

Nature of remedy. Singer Co. v. Union Co., 1 Holmes, 253; 6 Fisher, 480; 4 Off. Gaz. 553; Woodworth v. Rogers, 3 W. & M. 135; 2 Robb, 625.

May issue to stop violation of contract which could not be specifically performed. Singer Co. v. Union Co., 1 Holmes, 253; 6 Fisher, 480; 4 Off. Gaz. 553.

Equitable release by plaintiff, attachment refused. Smith v. Patton, 6 Penn. L. J. 189.

Refused, valuable improvements by defendant. Stainthorp v. Humiston, 2 Fisher, 311.

Will not issue after patent has expired. Vaughan v. Central Pacific Railroad, 4 Sawyer, 280.

Reasonable notice of. Wilson v. Stolly, 4 McLean, 273.

When they will issue, long possession. Woodworth v. Hall, 1 W. & M. 248; 2 Robb, 495.

Refusing. Wyeth v. Stone, 1 Story, 273; 2 Robb, 23.

(See Account; Appeal; Contract; Damages; Jurisdiction.)

INJURY.

(See Preliminary Injunctions.)

INSTRUCTIONS.

(See JURY; NEW TRIAL; VERDICT.)

INTENTION.

(See Abandonment; Defective Specification; Injunction; Penalty; Uncertainty.)

INTEREST.

(See Appeal; Assignment; Damages; Suits; Witnesses.)

INTERFERENCE.

- What it is. Bain v. Morse, 6 West. L. J. 372; Gold and Silver Ore, &c. Co. v. United States Disintegrating Co., 3 Fisher, 489.
- If no infringement, no interference. Smith v. Woodruff, 1 McArthur, 459; 6 Fisher, 476; 4 Off. Gaz. 635.
- Is for Commissioner. Gower, Exparte. 15 Off. Gaz. 828.
- Power of Commissioner by statute. Potter v. Dixon, 5 Blatch. 160: 2 Fisher, 381.
- Decision of Patent Office not conclusive. Union Paper Bag Machine Co. v. Crane, 1 Holmes, 429; 6 Off. Gaz. 801.
- Question is, first inventor. Garratt v. Seibert, 8 Otto, 75; 15 Off. Gaz. 383.
- Practice of putting reissues in interference with unexpired patents condemned. Platts, Ex parte, 15 Off. Gaz. 827.
- Interfering patents reissued to cover each other. Smith v. Allen, 2 Fisher, 572.
- (See Commissioner; Construction of Patents; Jurisdic-TION.)

INTERLOCUTORY ORDERS.

Subject to revision. Magic Ruffle Co. v. Elm City Co., 14 Blatch. 109; 11 Off. Gaz. 501.

(See Decree.)

INTERPRETATION.

(See Assignment; Contract; Construction of Patents.)

INTIMIDATION.

(See Preliminary Injunctions.)

INVENTION.

Accidental making is not. Pelton v. Waters, 7 Off. Gaz. 425.

Approximations to. Sayles r. Chicago and Northwestern Railroad, 3 Bissell, 52; 4 Fisher, 586.

Of compound should state ingredients. Jenkins v. Walker, 1 Holmes, 120; 5 Fisher, 347; 1 Off. Gaz. 359.

What constitutes. Adams v. Edwards, 1 Fisher, 1; Conover v.
Roach, 4 Fisher, 12; Dunbar v. Myers, 4 Otto, 187; 11 Off.
Gaz. 35; Marsh v. Seymour, 7 Otto, 318; 13 Off. Gaz. 723;
Sanford v. Merrimack Hat Co., 10 Off. Gaz. 466; Thompson v. Haight, 1 U. S. L. J. 563; Tyler v. Devel, 8 Penn. L. J. 248; &c.

Is perfected conception. Woodbury v. Wilcox, 2 A. L. T. (U. S.) R. 129.

Date of. .

Is date of discovery and attempt to embody. Colt v. Massachusetts Arms Co., 1 Fisher, 108.

Application presumed to be, in lack of other proof. Dane v. Chicago Manufacturing Co., 2 Off. Gaz. 677.

Goes back to discovery. Dixon v. Moyer, 4 Wash. 68; 1 Robb, 324.

Shown by sketches if no unreasonable delay since. Draper v. Potomska Mills, 13 Off. Gaz. 276.

Shown by sketches. Reeves v. Keystone Bridge Co., 5 Fisher, 456; 9 Phila. 368; 1 Off. Gaz. 466.

Burden of proof, laying aside part and then restoring it. Johnson v. Root, 2 Cliff. 108; 2 Fisher, 291.

Completed and in private use. Knox v. Loweree, 6 Off. Gaz. 802.

Reduced to practice in some complete form. Matthews v. Skates, 1 Fisher, 602.

Practical machine without knowing its uses. Piper v. Brown, 1 Holmes, 20; 4 Fisher, 175.

Speculation reduced to practice. Roberts v. Reed Torpedo Co., 3 Fisher, 629.

Date of first conception and first description. Sayles v. Hapgood, 2 Bissell, 189; 3 Fisher, 632.

Estoppel by prior statement. Union Paper Bag Machine Co. v. Crane, 1 Holmes, 429; 6 Off. Gaz. 801.

Must be proved if earlier than application, there is no presumption. Wing v. Richardson, 2 Cliff. 449; 2 Fisher, 535. Date of — continued.

Conception of idea as afterwards patented. Woodman v. Stimpson, 3 Fisher, 98.

Invention to cure theoretical defects. Aiken v. Dolan, 3 Fisher, 197.

For improvements. Merrill v. Yeomans, 4 Otto, 568; 11 Off. Gaz. 970.

Charge of irregularity must be proved. Johnson v. Root, 2 Cliff. 108; 2 Fisher, 291.

Pirated, does not defeat inventor's right. Kendall v. Winsor, 21 How. 322.

Are the same if so in fact, though different in effect. Merrill, Rufus S., 5 Off. Gaz. 120.

Valuable. Wilson v. Turner, Taney, Dec. 278.

(See Construction of Patents; Employé; Estoppel; Injunction; Inventor; Joint Owners; Original Inventor; Patent; Prior Use; Publications; Reissue; Secrecy; Statute; Want of Novelty.)

INVENTOR.

Who is entitled to patent. Ball v. Murry, 10 Penn. St. 111. First in fact, not by patents. Allen v. Blunt, 2 W. & M. 121; 2 Robb, 530.

First who perfects and adapts to actual use. Albright v. Celluloid Harness Trimming Co., 12 Off. Gaz. 227; Whitely v. Swayne, 7 Wall. 685.

First inventor in law. Woodcock v. Parker, 1 Gall. 438; 1 Robb, 37.

Honest belief, patent abroad just afterwards to strengthen it. Aiken v. Dolan, 3 Fisher, 197.

Of improvements. Colt v. Massachusetts Arms Co., 1 Fisher, 108; Conover v. Rapp, 4 Fisher, 57; Cook v. Howard, 4 Fisher, 269; Watson v. Bladen, 4 Wash. 580; 1 Robb, 510.

Of combinations. Cahill v. Brown, 15 Off. Gaz. 697.

Oath of. Seymour v. Osborne, 11 Wall. 516.

Of part. Goodyear v. Matthews, 1 Paine, 300; 1 Robb, 50; Whittemore v. Cutter, 1 Gall. 478; 1 Robb, 40.

Of part claiming whole. Batten v. Taggert, 2 Wall. Jr. 101; Batten v. Taggert, 17 How. 74.

Patent prima facie evidence of his rights. Heinrich v. Luther, 6 McLean, 345.

Patentee of improvement cannot afterwards patent the whole. Turner v. Green, 2 Cranch, C. C. 287.

Peculiar disadvantages of some inventors. McCormick v. Seymour, 3 Blatch. 209.

Prima facie rights. Grover and Baker Sewing Machine Co. v. Williams, 2 Fisher, 132.

Inventor is purchaser from public, description is the consideration. Wintermute v. Redington, 1 Fisher, 239.
Rights.

Only one royalty for one machine. Bloomer v. Millenger, 1 Wall. 340.

None at common law. Dudley v. Mayhew, 3 N. Y. 9.

What they are. Evans v. Weiss, 2 Wash. 342; 1 Robb, 10.

Are federal. Hollida v. Hunt, 70 Ill. 109.

Notice to public of. Hovey v. Rubber Tip Pencil Co., 33 N. Y. Supr. 522.

To treble damages under patent law. Keplinger v. De Young, 10 Wheat. 358; 1 Robb, 458.

Of subsequent inventors to have patent clear. Merrill v. Yeomans, 4 Otto, 568; 11 Off. Gaz. 970.

Against purchasers. Mitchell v. Hawley, 16 Wall. 544; 3 Off. Gaz. 241.

Equivalents. Singer v. Walmesley, 1 Fisher, 558.

To his invention. Sloat v. Patton, 1 Fisher, 154.

Suggestions. Agawam Co. v. Jordan, 7 Wall. 583.

(See Construction of Patents; Dedication; Interference.)

IRREGULARITY.

(See Invention; Pleading.)

ISSUE.

Feigned issues. Foote v. Silsby, 1 Blatch. 545; Goodyear v. Providence Rubber Co., 2 Cliff. 351; 2 Fisher, 499.

No decision of points not in issue. Celluloid Manufacturing Co. v. Goodyear Dental Vulcanite Co., 13 Blatch. 375; 10 Off. Gaz. 41.

For jury or for master. Parker v. Hatfield, 4 McLean, 61.

Of patent, remedy for unintentional issue. Doughty v. West, 6 Blatch. 429; 3 Fisher, 580.

(See Assignment.)

JOINDER.

(See Assignee; Parties.)

JOINT ACTION.

(See Suits.)

JOINT OWNERS.

Are not copartners. Pitts v. Hall, 3 Blatch. 20.

Cannot recover from each other for infringement. De Witt v. Elmira Nobles Manufacturing Co., 66 N. Y. 459; Vose v. Singer, 4 Allen (Mass.), 226.

Joint patent for sole invention is bad. Slemmer's Appeal, 58 Penn. St. 155.

Rights of joint owners. Pitts v. Hall, 3 Blatch. 20.

(See Construction of Patents; Infringement; License; Partners; Patent.)

JUDGMENT.

(See Court; Estoppel; Pleading.)

JUDICIAL NOTICE.

Brown v. Piper, 1 Otto, 37; 10 Off. Gaz. 417.

JURAT.

Date of. French v. Rogers, 1 Fisher, 133.

JURISDICTION.

Account without injunction. Blank v. Manufacturing Co., 3 Wall. Jr. 196. Account and discovery without injunction. Sickels v. Gloucester Manufacturing Co., 1 Fisher, 222.

Effect of appearance of defendant out of jurisdiction. Goodyear v. Chaffee, 3 Blatch. 268.

Appearance and plea by attorney admits jurisdiction. Theyer v. Wales, 5 Fisher, 448.

Appellate jurisdiction of Circuit Courts. Stearns v. Barrett, 1 Mason, 153; 1 Robb, 97.

Of bills for discovery. Magic Ruffle Co. v. Elm City Co., 14 Blatch. 109; 11 Off. Gaz. 501.

On certificate of division is only for law points. Wilson v. Barnum, 8 How. 258.

Citizenship.

Depends on subject-matter, not citizenship. Allen v. Blunt, 1 Blatch. 480.

Generally. Goodyear v. Union Rubber Co., 4 Blatch. 63; Merserole v. Union Paper Collar Co., 6 Blatch. 356; 3 Fisher, 483.

Both parties of same State. Littlefield v. Perry, 21 Wall. 205; 7 Off. Gaz. 964; Livingston v. Van Ingen, 1 Paine, 45.

When objection should be taken. Nesmith v. Calvert, 1 W. & M. 34; 2 Robb, 311.

Evidence. Stainthorp v. Humiston, 4 Fisher, 107.

Contract. Brown v. Shannon, 20 How. 55.

Cancelling. Brooks v. Stolly, 3 McLean, 523; 2 Robb, 281.
Circuit Court, agreement to sell. Rice v. Garnhart, 34
Wisc. 453.

Corporation.

Foreign, found in State. Day v. Newark India-rubber Co., 1 Blatch. 628; Williams v. Empire Transportation Co., 14 Off. Gaz. 523.

Waiver by. Decker v. New York Belting, &c. Co., 6 Fisher, 374; 3 Off. Gaz. 441.

Is under United States laws. Elm City Co. v. Wooster, 6 Fisher, 452; 4 Off. Gaz. 83.

Court of District of Columbia. Cochrane v. Deener, 4 Otto, 789; 11 Off. Gaz. 687; Mason v. Rowley, 3 A. L. T. (U. S.) R. 8.

Court, Circuit.

Prior jurisdiction in State court. Brandon Manufacturing Co. v. Prime, 14 Blatch. 371.

Used in France for French vessel. Brown v. Duchesne, 2 Curtis, 371.

None where validity is not involved. Burr v. Gregory, 2 Paine, 426.

Equity. Continental Windmill Co. v. Empire Windmill Co., 8 Blatch. 295; 4 Fisher, 428.

Equity, suit at law not a prerequisite. McMillin v. Barclay, 5 Fisher, 189.

Exclusive in United States courts. Mitchell v. Tilghman, 19 Wall. 287.

Under United States laws. Nesmith v. Calvert, 1 W. & M. 34; 2 Robb, 311.

In patent suits. Nevins v. Johnson, 3 Blatch. 80.

Of interfering patents is original, not appellate. Squire, J. L., In re, 12 Off. Gaz. 1025.

Equity in patents, acquiescence and enjoyment. Sullivan v. Redfield, 1 Paine, 441; 1 Robb, 477.

Courts, State. Boston and Fair Haven Iron Works v. Montague, 108 Mass. 248; De Witt v. Elmira Nobles Manufacturing Co., 5 Hun (N. Y.), 301; Burrall v. Jewett, 2
Paige (N. Y.), 134; Elmer v. Pennel, 40 Maine, 430; Kendall v. Winsor, 6 R. I. 453; Leonard v. Barnum, 34 Wisc. 105; Lindsay v. Roraback, 4 Jones, Eq. (N. C.)
124; Lockwood v. Lockwood, 33 Iowa, 198; Page v. Dickerson, 28 Wisc. 694; Rich v. Atwater, 16 Conn. 409; Whitehead v. Kitson, 119 Mass. 484.

None in State courts where validity is involved. Dudley v. Mayhew, 3 N. Y. 9; Gibson v. Woodworth, 8 Paige (N. Y.), 132; Green v. Willard Improved Barrel Co., 1 Mo. App. 202; Hovey v. Rubber Tip Pencil Co., 33 N. Y. Supr. 522; Parsons v. Barnard, 7 Johns. (N. Y.) 144; Slemmer's Appeal, 58 Penn. St. 155; Smith v. McClelland, 11 Bush (Ky.), 523; Stone v. Edwards, 35 Texas, 556; Tomlinson r. Battel, 4 Abb. (N. Y.) 266.

Have jurisdiction where patents come in collaterally. Billings v. Ames, 32 Mo. 265.

Courts - continued.

Equity, full legal remedy. Black v. Stone, 33 Ala. 327.

Have, breach of warranty, false representations. Hunt v. Hoover, 24 Iowa, 231.

Have, of contract even if patent is involved. Middlebrook v. Broadbent, 47 N. Y. 443.

Have, where validity is not necessarily involved. Sherman v. Champlain Transportation Co., 31 Vt. 162.

Have, of contracts, false representations. Snow v. Judson, 38 Barb. (N. Y.) 210.

Patent lottery cannot be used where illegal. Vannini v. Paine, 1 Harr. (Del.) 65.

Have, except where validity is involved. Wright v. Wilson, 11 Rich. (S. C.) 144.

License transferred. Bloomer v. Gilpin, 4 Fisher, 50.

Of quantum valebant for use. Battin v. Kear, 2 Phila. 301.

Surrogate, domicile of patentee when he died. Providence Rubber Co. v. Goodyear, 9 Wall. 788.

To vacate patent. Attorney-General v. Rumford Chemical Works, 9 Off. Gaz. 1062.

(See Commissioner; Equity; New Trial; Sale; Waiver.)

JURY.

In chancery. Brooks v. Norcross, 2 Fisher, 661; Ely v. Monson, &c. Co., 4 Fisher, 64.

Form of instructions to. Pitts v. Whitman, 2 Story, 609; 2 Robb, 189.

Weight of decision by, in patent suits. Roberts v. Schuyler, 12 Blatch. 444.

Duty of. Singer v. Walmesley, 1 Fisher, 558.

Province of. Hayden v. Suffolk Manufacturing Co., 4 Fisher, 86.

Identity is for jury. Tucker v. Spaulding, 13 Wall. 453; 5 Fisher, 297; 1 Off. Gaz. 144.

Effect of juryman taken ill. Foote v. Silsby, 1 Blatch. 445; Silsby v. Foote, 14 How. 218.

Identity of two patents not on their face. Hawkes v. Remington, 111 Mass. 171.

Questions left to. Carver v. Braintree Manufacturing Co., 2 Story, 432; 2 Robb, 441; Foote v. Silsby, 1 Blatch. 445.

Trial by jury. Cahoon v. Ring, 1 Cliff. 592; 1 Fisher, 397.

In patent causes. Buchanan v. Howland, 5 Blatch. 151; 2 Fisher, 341.

In equity, province of court. Goodyear v. Day, 2 Wall. Jr. 283.

In England and here. Motte v. Bennett, 2 Fisher, 642. Time to request court to charge. Vaughan v. Porter, 16 Vt.

(See Damages; Issue; New Trial; Reissue; Suits; Usefulness; Validity.)

KNOWLEDGE OF PATENTEE.

(See Abandoned Experiments; Prior Use; Public Action.)

LACHES.

(See Answer; Bill; Contract; Forfeiture; Injunction; Preliminary Injunctions; Reissue.)

LANGUAGE.

(See Construction of Patents; Reissue.)

LAPSE OF TIME.

(See Abandonment; Preliminary Injunctions.)

LAW.

(See Inventor; Patents; Suits.)

LEGAL REPRESENTATIVES.

(See Assignee; Suits.)

LEGISLATION.

(See Sale.)

LIABILITY.

(See Corporation.)

LICENSE.

What it is. Jones v. Burnham, 67 Me. 63.

Assignability. Belding v. Turner, 8 Blatch. 321; 4 Fisher, 446; Bloomer v. Gilpin, 4 Fisher, 50; Wilson v. Stolly, 5 McLean, 1.

As a defense. Hammond v. Organ Co., 1 Holmes, 296; 6 Fisher, 599; 5 Off. Gaz. 31; Hammond v. Organ Co., 2 Otto, 724; Hartshorn v. Day, 19 How. 211; Wilson v. Stolly, 4 Me-Lean, 275.

Exclusive, excludes patentee or grantor. Ferree v. Smith, 29 La. Ann. 811.

Exclusive, void patent no consideration. Harlow v. Putnam, 124 Mass. 553.

Effect of extension. Hodge v. Hudson River Railroad, 6 Blatch. 165.

Before extension, and use after. Day v. Union India-rubber Co., 3 Blatch. 488.

Forfeiture by abandonment or neglect. Wilson v. Stolly, 5 McLean, 1.

Evidence of forfeiture. Wilson v. Stolly, 4 McLean, 275.

Fraud. Beane v. Orr, 9 Off. Gaz. 255.

From one joint-owner. Dunham v. Indiana and St. Louis Railroad, 7 Bissell, 223.

Joint recovery, other licenses. Florence Sewing Machine Co. v. Grover and Baker Sewing Machine Co., 110 Mass. 70.

Held by plaintiffs. Grover and Baker Sewing Machine Co. v. Williams, 2 Fisher, 132.

Recording. Chambers v. Smith, 5 Fisher, 12.

Rights of licensees. Dorsey Revolving Harvester Rake Co. v. Bradley Manufacturing Co., 12 Blatch. 202.

Territorial license to use, use outside. Wicke v. Kleinknecht, 7 Off. Gaz. 1098.

To use. Black v. Hubbard, 12 Off. Gaz. 892.

To use one uses another. England v. Thompson, 3 Cliff. 271.

Verbal. Bell v. McCulloch, 1 Bond, 194; 1 Fisher, 380.

(See Assignment; Contract; Defense; Estoppel; Forfeiture; Infringement; Injunction; Jurisdiction; Preliminary Injunctions; Sale; Suits; Use; Usefulness.)

LICENSE FEE.

(See Damages.)

LICENSEE.

(See LICENSE.)

LIMITATIONS.

Acts of limitations. Vaughan v. East Tennessee, Virginia, and Georgia Railroad, 11 Off. Gaz. 789.

No statute of limitations. Parker v. Hallock, 2 Fisher, 543.

State statutes. Collins v. Peebles, 2 Fisher, 541.

In patent suits.

State statute is good plea. Parker v. Hawk, 2 Fisher, 58; Rich v. Ricketts, 7 Blatch. 230.

State statute cannot be pleaded. Anthony v. Carroll, 9 Off. Gaz. 199; Read v. Miller, 2 Bissell, 12; 3 Fisher, 310.

(See Penalty.)

LITIGATION.

(See Damages.)

LOCATION.

(See Patentability; Want of Novelty.)

LOSS.

(See Damages.)

LOTTERY.

(See Jurisdiction.)

MANDAMUS.

When it will lie. Commissioner of Patents v. Whitely, 4 Wall. 522.

To Commissioner of Patents by Supreme Court of District of Columbia. Mason v. Rowley, 3 A. L. T. (U. S.) R. 8. (See Commissioner; New Trial; Repeal.)

MASTER.

Attachment for his fees. Myers v. Dunbar, 8 Off. Gaz. 321.

Applies principles laid down by court. Turrill v. Illinois Central Railroad, 5 Bissell, 344.

May examine books, &c. Brady v. Atlantic Works, 15 Off. Gaz. 965.

Evidence before, dividing royalties. Kendrick v. Emmons, 15 Off. Gaz. 966.

Fees of. Doughty v. West, Bradley, and Cary Manufacturing Co., 4 Fisher, 518.

Hearings on reference. Doughty v. West, Bradley, and Cary Manufacturing Co., 4 Fisher, 318.

Reference to. Packet Co. v. Sickles, 19 Wall. 611.

Reference to, is not res adjudicatæ. Rumford Chemical Works v. Hecker, 11 Off. Gaz. 330.

Should hand in a report, not file papers on the docket. Union Sugar Refinery v. Matthieson, 3 Cliff. 146.

(See Costs; Damages; Injunction; Issue.)

MASTER'S REPORT.

Exceptions. Black v. Munsen, 14 Blatch. 265.

Exceptions to ruling must be made at the time. Troy Iron and Nail Factory v. Corning, 6 Blatch. 328; 3 Fisher, 497.

Finding of facts. Piper v. Brown, 1 Holmes, 196; 6 Fisher, 240; 3 Off. Gaz. 97.

Suspension of decree till Master reports. Whitney v. Mowry, 2 Bond, 45; 3 Fisher, 157.

(See Appeal.)

MATERIAL.

(See PATENTABILITY; WANT OF NOVELTY.)

MECHANICS.

(See Experts; Uncertainty.)

MEDICINE.

Practice must be according to State law. Jordan v. Dayton, 4 Ohio, 295; Smith v. Tracy, 2 Hall (N. Y.), 465; Thompson v. Staats, 15 Wend. (N. Y.) 395.

MEMORY.

(See EVIDENCE.)

MISCHIEF.

(See Injunction.)

MISJOINDER.

(See Parties; Pleading.)

MISNOMER.

(See Note; Patent.)

MISREPRESENTATIONS.

(See Contract.)

MISTAKE.

(See Disclaimer; Drawing; Equity; Rehearing; Reissue.)

MODE OF OPERATION.

(See Infringement.)

MODELS.

(See Costs; Reissue; Want of Novelty.)

MONEY.

Paid into court and claimed by both parties. Florence Sewing Machine Co. v. Singer Sewing Machine Co., 8 Blatch. 177; 4 Fisher, 348.

Deposited for articles prescribed by government not recoverable. Nusbaum v. Emery, 3 Bissell, 469.

(See CONTRACT; SUITS.)

MONOPOLY.

(See Construction of Patents; Sale.)

MORTGAGE.

Chattel. Boston and Fair Haven Iron Works v. Montague, 108 Mass. 248.

Foreclosure. Boston and Fair Haven Iron Works v. Montagne, 108 Mass. 248; Pacific Iron Works v. Newhall, 34 Conn. 67.

MOTIONS.

To dismiss. Chaffee v. Hayward, 20 How. 208.

For trial at law, when granted. Howe v. Williams, 2 Fisher, 395.

MULTIFARIOUSNESS.

(See Pleading.)

NEGLECT.

(See ABANDONMENT; LICENSE.)

NEGLIGENCE.

(See Disclaimer; Laches; Preliminary Injunctions.)

NEGOTIATIONS.

(See CONTRACT.)

NEW TRIAL.

Action at law. Carr v. Rice, 4 Blatch. 200; 1 Fisher, 325.

Verdict against evidence. Aiken v. Bemis, 3 W. & M. 348; 2
Robb, 644; Allen v. Blunt, 2 W. & M. 121; 2 Robb,
530; Ames v. Howard, 1 Sumn. 482; 1 Robb, 689;
Blanchard Gunstock Factory v. Jacobs, 2 Blatch. 69;
Bray v. Hartshorn, 1 Cliff. 538; Gray v. James, Peters,
C. C. 476; 1 Robb, 140; Roberts v. Schuyler, 12 Blatch.
444; Wilson v. Janes, 3 Blatch. 227.

Preponderance must be clear. Brooks v. Bicknell, 4 Mc-Lean, 70.

Not if evidence conflicts. Stanley v. Whipple, 2 McLean, 35; 2 Robb, 1.

Excessive damages. Alden v. Dewey, 1 Story, 336; 2 Robb, 17. Rejection of evidence. Buck v. Hermance, 1 Blatch. 322.

Erroneous instruction on damages. Cowing v. Rumsey, 8 Blatch. 36; 4 Fisher, 275.

Jurisdiction. Allen v. Blunt, 1 Blatch. 480.

Jury misunderstanding the court. Sherman v. Champlain Transportation Co., 31 Vt. 162.

Mandate, judgment still unreversed. Evans v. Eaton, Peters, C. C. 322; 1 Robb, 193.

Ruling of court.

That printed publications must be before invention, not application. Bartholomew v. Sawyer, 4 Blatch. 347: 1 Fisher, 516.

On existence of corporations. Blanchard Gunstock Factory v. Warner, 1 Blatch. 258.

Jury being waived. Carver v. Braintree Manufacturing Co., 2 Story, 432; 2 Robb, 441.

Surprise and new evidence. Foote v. Silsby, 1 Blatch. 445.

Surprise, reasonable diligence. Washburn v. Gould, 3 Story, 122; 2 Robb, 206.

Court sustaining the patent. Bennett v. Fowler, 8 Wall. 445; Blake v. Stafford, 6 Blatch. 195; 3 Fisher, 295.

(See Preliminary Injunctions.)

NOMINAL. (See Damages.)

NONJOINDER. (See Parties.)

NONSUIT.

Only by consent of plaintiff. Silsby v. Foote, 14 How. 218; Sone v. Palmer, 28 Mo. 539.

Power to order. Foote v. Silsby, 1 Blatch. 445.

Variance. Aiken v. Bemis, 3 W. & M. 348; 2 Robb, 644;
Tryon v. White, 1 Robb, 64.

NOTARY.

Powers of. Buerk v. Imhauser, 10 Off. Gaz. 907. Seal of. Goodyear v. Hullihen, 2 Hughes, 492; 3 Fisher, 251.

NOTE.

Consideration.

Void patent is a defense. Bierce v. Stocking, 11 Gray (Mass.), 174; Bliss v. Negus, 8 Mass. 46; Cowan v. Mitchell, 11 Heisk. (Tenn.) 87; Cragin v. Fowler, 34 Vt. 326; Darst v. Brockway, 11 Ohio, 462; Dickinson v. Hall, 14 Pick. 217; Earl v. Page, 6 N. H. 477; Elmer v. Pennel, 40 Me. 430; First National Bank v. Peck, 8 Kansas, 660.

Sealed. Geiger v. Cook, 3 W. & S. (Penn.) 266; Lester v. Palmer, 4 Allen (Mass.), 145; Midkiff v. Boggess, 15 Ind. 210; Peck v. Farrington, 9 Wend. (N. Y.) 44; Rowe v. Blanchard, 18 Wisc. 441; Scott v. Sweet, 2 G. Greene (Iowa), 224.

Not a good defense, without fraud. Cowan v. Dodd, 3 Cold. (Tenn.) 278; Jones v. Burnham, 67 Me. 93; Williams v. Hicks, 2 Vt. 36.

Revenue. Davy v. Morgan, 56 Barb. (N. Y.) 218.

Misnomer of invention in conveyance. Harmon v. Bird, 22 Wend. 113.

False representations. Johnson v. McCabe, 37 Ind. 535; Page v. Dickerson, 28 Wisc. 694.

Assignment not recorded, so no title in seller. Mulliken v. Latchem, 7 Blackf. (Ind.) 136.

Indorsement by payee. Saxton v. Dodge, 57 Barb. (N. Y.) 84.

Lack of title, admissibility. Stevens v. Head, 9 Vt. 174.

Consideration — continued.

Worthless patent not admissible under general issue. Williams v. Hicks, 2 Vt. 36.

Failure of consideration, reassignment of half-interest. Clark v. Smith, 21 Minn. 539.

Defense of false representations. Groff v. Hansel, 33 Md. 161; Rose v. Hurley, 39 Ind. 77; Van Ostrand v. Reed, 1 Wend. (N. Y.) 424.

Fraud. Burns v. Barnes, 58 Ind. 438; Lane v. Smith, 68 Me. 178.

Receiving void notes as agent, for machines sold. Osborn v. Herron, 28 Ga. 313.

When note is equivalent to payment. Van Ostrand v. Reed, 1 Wend. (N. Y.) 424.

Ohio law on negotiable notes for patents. State v. Brower, 30 Ohio St. 101; State v. Peck, 25 Ohio St. 26.

Warranty. Hawes v. Twogood, 12 Iowa, 582; McClure v. Jeffrey, 8 Ind. 79; Street v. Silver, Brightly (Penn.), 96.

Want of Novelty. Butch v. Boyer, 8 Phila. (Penn.) 57.
(See Contract; Estoppel; Evidence; Patent;
Pleading.)

NOTICE.

(See Cross-bill; Defense; Evidence; Injunction; Inventor; Pleading; Preliminary Injunctions; Prior Knowledge; Prior Use; Suits; Witnesses.)

NOVELTY.

(See Definition; Extension; Want of Novelty.)

OATH.

(See Alien; Bill; Inventor; Practice; Reissue; Validity.)

OBJECTIONS.

(See Pleading; Reissue; Witnesses.)

OHIO LAW.

(See Assignment; Note.)

OMISSION.

(See Publications; Reissue; Uncertainty.)

ORIGINAL INVENTOR.

Patent prima facie evidence. (Almost everywhere.)

Abandoned experiments. Stainthorp v. Elkinton, 1 Fisher, 349.

Suggestions. Agawam Co. v. Jordan, 7 Wall. 583.

Outgrowth belongs to originator of conception. Blandy v. Griffeth, 3 Fisher, 609.

Fraud. Brady v. Atlantic Works, 10 Off. Gaz. 702.

French patents, Brooks v. Norcross, 2 Fisher, 661.

Utility as evidence. Buchanan v. Howland, 5 Blatch. 151; 2 Fisher, 341.

Race of diligence. Johnson v. Root, 1 Fisher, 351.

Suggestions. Matthews v. Skates, 1 Fisher, 602.

Information obtained from scientific men. Oreilly v. Morse, 15 How. 62.

Under provisions of patent law. Pitts v. Hall, 2 Blatch. 229.

Must be so for the whole world. Sewall v. Jones, 1 Otto, 171; 9 Off. Gaz. 47.

Effect of suggestions. Slemmer's Appeal, 58 Penn. St. 155.

Utility as a test. Stanley Works v. Sargent & Co., 8 Blatch. 344; 4 Fisher, 443.

Fact must be sworn to in bill. Sullivan v. Redfield, 1 Paine, 441; 1 Robb, 477.

Suggestions. Thomas v. Weeks, 2 Paine, 92.

Burden of proof. Tompkins v. Gage, 5 Blatch. 269; 2 Fisher, 577.

Prior patent by same inventor for same invention. Treadwell v. Bladen, 4 Wash. 703; 1 Robb, 531.

Practicability in view of state of art. Treadwell v. Parrott, 5 Blatch. 370; 3 Fisher, 124.

Patent surreptitiously obtained. Union Paper Bag Machine Co. v. Pultz and Walkley Co., 15 Off. Gaz. 423.

State of the art. United Nickel Co. v. Keith, 1 Holmes, 328; 5 Off. Gaz. 272.

Prior invention must be useful. Waterman v. Thompson, 2 Fisher, 461.

Who "first inventor" is. White v. Allen, 2 Cliff. 224; 2 Fisher, 440.

How far presumption from issue of patent extends. Wing v. Richardson, 2 Cliff. 449; 2 Fisher, 535.

State of the art. Wing v. Schoonmaker, 3 Fisher, 607. (See Abandoned Experiments.)

OUTGROWTH.

(See ORIGINAL INVENTOR.)

OYER.

(See Patent.)

PAPERS.

(See Contract; Practice.)

PART.

(See Infringement; Inventor; Patent; Reissue.)

PARTIES.

Party "aggrieved" in statute. Hussey v. Whitely, 1 Bond, 407; 2 Fisher, 120.

To bill, who are proper. Goodyear v. Hills, 3 Fisher, 134; Goodyear v. Hullihen, 2 Hughes, 492; 3 Fisher, 251; Goodyear v. New Jersey Central Railroad, 2 Wall. Jr., 356; 1 Fisher, 626.

Defendants. Nichols v. Pearce, 7 Blatch. 5.

Executors. Goodyear v. Providence Rubber Co., 2 Cliff. 351; 2 Fisher, 499.

Joinder of parties. Buck v. Cobb, 9 Law Rep. 545.

Misjoinder. Dorsey Revolving Harvester Rake Co. v. Bradley Manufacturing Co., 12 Blatch. 202.

Misjoinder — continued.

- Of plaintiffs. Goodyear v. Allyn, 6 Blatch. 33; 3 Fisher, 374.
- Of plaintiffs, how taken advantage of. Hodge v. Iron Mountain Railroad, 4 Fisher, 161.
- Too late after decree. Livingston v. Woodworth, 15 How. 546.

Of defendants. Stimpson v. Rogers, 4 Blatch. 333.

- Nonjoinder. Forbes v. Barstow Stove Co., 2 Cliff. 379; Grover and Baker Sewing Machine Co. v. Sloat, 2 Fisher, 112;
 Henry v. Francistown Soapstone Stove Co., 9 Off. Gaz. 408; Potter v. Wilson, 2 Fisher, 102.
- Want of. Aultman v. Holley, 11 Blatch. 317; 6 Fisher, 534; 5 Off. Gaz. 3; Sanford v. Messer, 1 Holmes, 149; 5 Fisher, 411; 2 Off. Gaz. 470.
- As witnesses. Blanchard v. Sprague, 1 Cliff. 288; Buck v. Hermance, 1 Blatch. 322; Foote v. Silsby, 3 Blatch. 507; Kendall v. Winsor, 6 R. I. 453.
- (See Allegation; Assignment; Cross-Bill; Jurisdiction; Pleading.)

PARTNERS.

Authority of. Heilner v. Battin, 27 Penn. St. 517.

Partnership suit does not abate by death of one partner. Troy Iron and Nail Factory v. Winslow, 11 Blatch. 513.

Representatives of deceased partner cannot be sued unless firm is insolvent. Troy Iron and Nail Factory v. Winslow, 11 Blatch. 513.

Patent refused to firm, but granted to partner, belongs to firm. Vetter v. Leutzinger, 31 Iowa, 182.

(See Joint Owners; Patent.)

PAST INFRINGEMENT.

(See Preliminary Injunctions.)

PATENT.

To administrators. Northwestern Fire Extinguisher Co. v. Philadelphia Fire Extinguisher Co., 6 Off. Gaz. 34.

Bad for ambiguity. Bray v. Hartshorn, 1 Cliff. 538.

Before board of examiners was made. Burr v. Duryee, 2 Fisher, 275.

Claims, too large. Cross v. Huntly, 13 Wend. (N. Y.) 385; Davis v. Bell, 8 N. H. 500.

Void pro tanto under act of 1837. Peterson v. Wooden, 3 McLean, 248.

For combinations. Holliday v. Rheem, 18 Penn. St. 465; Latta v. Shawk, 1 Bond, 259; 1 Fisher, 465.

Only infringed by same combination, McCormick v. Talcott, 20 How. 402.

Is contract of government. Ransom v. Mayor of New York, 4 Blatch, 157; 1 Fisher, 252.

Copies, refusal by Commissioner to furnish, is indefensible. Boyden v. Burke, 14 How. 575.

What they can cover. Smith v. Downing, 1 Fisher, 64.

Extent of one. Bennet v. Fowler, 8 Wall. 445.

Several inventions in one patent. Emerson v. Hogg, 2 Blatch. 1.

One patent cannot cover two distinct machines. Root v. Ball, 4 McLean, 177; 2 Robb, 513.

Is creature of statute. Moffitt v. Gaar, 1 Bond, 315; 1 Fisher, 610; Morton v. New York Eye Infirmary, 5 Blatch. 116; 2 Fisher, 320.

Date of. Johnson v. Fassman, 1 Woods, 138; 5 Fisher, 471; 2 Off. Gaz. 94.

Duration. Congress has exclusive power to limit. Evans v. Robinson, 1 Car. L. R. (N. C.) 209.

Who are entitled to. Carr v. Rice, 1 Fisher, 198; Dunbar v.
Myers, 4 Otto, 187; 11 Off. Gaz. 35; Odiorne v. Winkley,
2 Gall. 51; 1 Robb, 52; Reed v. Cutter, 1 Story, 590; 2
Robb, 81; Rice v. Heald, 13 Pac. L. R. 33; Washburn v.
Gould, 3 Story, 122; 2 Robb, 206.

Expiration is last hour of day. Johnson v. McCulloch, 4 Fisher, 170.

Expired patents. Nevins v. Johnson, 3 Blatch. 80.

What they are. Hayden v. Suffolk Manufacturing Co., 4 Fisher, S6.

What they are for. Burr v. Duryee, 1 Wall. 531; Collender v. Came, 10 Off. Gaz. 467; Piper v. Brown, 1 Holmes, 20; 4 Fisher, 175.

- Granting must be according to law. Smith v. Ely, 5 McLean, 76.
- May issue to executor on death of inventor. Stimpson v. Rogers, 4 Blatch. 333.
- For improvements. Chipman v. Wentworth, 1 Holmes, 96; 5 Fisher, 302; 2 Off. Gaz. 58; Foss v. Herbert, 1 Bissell, 121; 2 Fisher, 31; McCormick v. Manny, 6 McLean, 534; Wintermute v. Redington, 1 Fisher, 239.
 - Need not describe machine improved on. Ives v. Hamilton, 2 Otto, 426; 10 Off. Gaz. 336; Many v. Jagger, 1 Blatch. 372.
 - Not entitled to equivalents. McCormick v. Talcott, 20 How. 402.
- Patent claiming several improvements is avoided by showing one not original. Moody v. Fiske, 2 Mason, 112; 1 Robb, 312.
- Two inventions in one patent. Lee v. Blandy, 1 Bond, 361; 2 Fisher, 89.
- Joint patents. Barrett v. Hall, 1 Mason, 447; 1 Robb, 207.
- Joint and sole for same invention. Crocker's Case, 2 A. L. T. (U. S.) R. 129.
- Junior and senior patents. Asbestos Felting Co. v. United States and Foreign Salamander Felting Co., 13 Blatch. 453; Colt v. Massachusetts Arms Co., 1 Fisher, 108.
- Law of patents. Darst v. Brockway, 11 Ohio, 462.
- In law and equity. Buchanau v. Howland, 2 Blatch. 151; 2 Fisher, 341.
- Misnomer of one inventor in patent. Northwest Fire Extinguisher Co. v. Philadelphia Fire Extinguisher Co., 6 Off. Gaz. 34.
- Narrow grounds required by some patents. Rogers v. Ennis, 14 Off. Gaz. 601.
- For impractical inventions. Seymour v. Marsh, 6 Fisher, 115; 9 Phila. 380; 2 Off. Gaz. 675.
- No over of patents. Smith v. Ely, 5 McLean, 76.
- For parts. Union Paper Bag Machine Co. v. Murphy, 7 Otto, 120; 13 Off. Gaz. 366.
- Of partner belongs to firm. Remington v. Allen, 109 Mass. 47.

- Two patents for same invention not allowed at same time. Odiorne v. Amesbury Nail Factory, 2 Mason, 28; 1 Robb, 300.
- Prima facie evidence of what. Cahoon v. Ring, 1 Cliff. 592; 1 Fisher, 397.
 - That preliminaries were legally done. Gear v. Grosvenor, 1 Holmes, 215; 6 Fisher, 314; 3 Off. Gaz. 380.
- For principle. Hitchcock v. Tremaine, 8 Blatch. 440; 4 Fisher, 508.
- Prior patent not surrendered or repealed avoids present. Morris v. Huntington, 1 Paine, 348; 1 Robb, 448.
- Proceedings ex parte, weight of. Wilson v. Barnum, 1 Wall. Jr. 347; 2 Robb, 749.
- For process. Goodyear v. New Jersey Central Railroad, 2 Wall. Jr. 356; 1 Fisher, 626.
- For process and product. Jones v. Sewall, 3 Cliff. 563; 6 Fisher, 343.
- Profert of, makes part of declaration. Pitts v. Whitman, 2 Story, 609; 2 Robb, 189.
- Proper subjects of. Pennsylvania Salt Co. v. Gugenheim, 3 Fisher, 423.
- Protected by State courts. Hammer v. Barnes, 26 How. (N. Y.) 176.
- Rejected patents, remedy. Hull v. Commissioner of Patents, 7 Off. Gaz. 559.
- Rejected patents as evidence. Northwestern Fire Extinguisher Co., v. Philadelphia Fire Extinguisher Co., 6 Off. Gaz. 34.
 - Laches. United States Rifle, &c. Co. v. Whitney Arms Co., 14 Blatch. 94; 11 Off. Gaz. 373.
- State authority to use patented article. Greaton v. Griffin, 4 Abb. N. S. (N. Y.) 310.
- State legislation on. Grover and Baker Sewing Machine Co. v. Butler, 53 Ind. 452; Helm v. First National Bank, 43 Ind. 167.
- Rights by statute only. Higgins v. Strong, 4 Blackf. (Ind.) 182. Cannot be subdivided. Consolidated Fruit Jar Co. v. Whitney, 31 Leg. Int. 229.
- Superseding a patent. Fuller v. Goodrich, 4 Otto, 299; 11 Off. Gaz. 597.

Surreptitiously obtained. Hoeltze v. Hoeller, 2 Bond, 386; Odiorne v. Winkley, 2 Gall. 51; 1 Robb, 52; Wood v. Williams, 1 Gilpin, 517; 1 Robb, 717.

Term of. Goff v. Stafford, 14 Off. Gaz. 748.

Title of, not conclusive. Locomotive Engine Safety Truck Co. v. Erie Railroad, 10 Blatch, 292; 6 Fisher, 187; 3 Off. Gaz. 93; Locomotive Engine Safety Truck Co. v. Pennsylvania Railroad, 6 Off. Gaz. 927.

Value of patents. Case v. Morey, 1 N. H. 347.

Void, sold by fraud. Ball v. Pratt, 1 Conn. 342.

(See Application; Art; Assignment; Commissioner; Construction of Patents; Contract; Costs; Injunction; Invention; Inventor; Issue; Joint Owners; Original Inventor; Partners; Reissues; Suits; Usefulness; Validity.)

PATENTABILITY.

Of "art." Roberts v. Dickey, 4 Fisher, 532; 1 Off. Gaz. 4.

Change of location. Gilbert and Barker Manufacturing Co. v. Tirrell, 12 Blatch. 144; 8 Off. Gaz. 2.

New combination, new results. Gilbert and Barker Manufacturing Co. v. Walworth Manufacturing Co., 9 Off. Gaz. 746.

Not patentable, what more is needed. Marsh v. Dodge and Stevenson Manufacturing Co., 6 Fisher, 562; 5 Off.
Gaz. 398.

Change of material not patentable. Putnam v. Yerrington, 9 Off. Gaz. 689.

Changes, producing new results. McComb v. Ernest, 1 Woods, 195.

Change, mere alteration. Smith v. Pearce, 2 McLean, 176; 2 Robb, 13.

Of combinations. Booth v. Parks, 6 Chicago Legal News, 407; Smith v. Goodyear Dental Vulcanite Co., 3 Otto, 486; 11 Off. Gaz. 246; Union Sugar Refinery v. Matthieson, 3 Cliff. 639; 2 Fisher, 600; Watson v. Cunningham, 4 Fisher, 528.

Aggregations. Sawin v. Hall, 9 Blatch. 524; 5 Fisher, 415; 1 Off. Gaz. 437.

- Of combinations continued.
 - Of old elements with new results is patentable. Child v. Boston and Fair Haven Iron Works, 1 Holmes, 303; 6 Fisher, 606; 5 Off. Gaz. 61.
 - Old results with new method. Child v. Boston and Fair Haven Iron Works, 1 Holmes, 303; 6 Fisher, 606; 5 Off. Gaz. 61.
- New combination of old elements. Crosby v. Lapouraille, Taney, Dec. 374.
- New combination with new result. Gilbert and Barker Manufacturing Co. v. Walworth Manufacturing Co., 9 Off. Gaz. 746; Hailes v. Van Wormer, 20 Wall. 353; 5 Off. Gaz. 89.
- Only what common-sense would suggest. Carter v. Messinger, 11 Blatch. 34.
- Effect or function not patentable. Must state means. Hoe v. Simpson, 6 Off. Gaz. 435; Parham v. American Buttonhole, &c. Co., 4 Fisher, 468; Swain Turbine Co. v. Ladd, 11 Off. Gaz. 153.
- Generally. Leroy v. Tatham, 14 How. 156; Providence Rubber Co. v. Goodyear, 9 Wall. 788; Sanford v. Merrimack Hat Co., 10 Off. Gaz. 466.
- Idea not patentable. Rubber Tip Pencil Co. v. Howard, 20 Wall. 498; 7 Off. Gaz. 172; Sickels v. Borden, 3 Blatch. 535.
 - Must be practical application. Detmold v. Reeves, 1 Fisher,
- Of improvements. McCormick v. Seymour, 3 Blatch. 209.
- Improvement in plan of building jails not patentable. Jacobs v. Baker, 7 Wall. 295.
- Incompleteness. Reed v. Cutter, 1 Story, 590; 2 Robb, 81.
- Inventive skill required. Poppenhusen v. Falke, 5 Blatch. 46; 2 Fisher, 213.
- Old thing to new use. Bean v. Smallwood, 2 Robb, 133; Meyer v. Pritchard, 12 Blatch. 101; 7 Off. Gaz. 1012; Roberts v. Ryer, 1 Otto, 150; 10 Off. Gaz. 204.
- Old process to new purpose. Brown v. Piper, 1 Otto, 37; 10 Off. Gaz. 417.
- Patent prima facie evidence of. Adams v. Edwards, 1 Fisher, 1.

Principle.

Embodied in condition to act is patentable. Andrews v. Carman, 13 Blatch. 307.

Not patentable. Piper v. Brown, 1 Holmes, 20; 4 Fisher, 175; Smith v. Ely, 5 McLean, 76; Tilghman v. Werk, 2 Fisher, 229; Wintermute v. Redington, 1 Fisher, 239.

Product not patentable. Wooster v. Calhoun, 11 Blatch. 215; 6 Fisher, 514.

Purpose not patentable. Carver v. Hyde, 16 Peters, 513.

Is mixed question of law and fact. Teese v. Phelps, 1 Mc-Allister, 17.

Result.

Not patentable. Fuller v. Yentzer, 4 Otto, 299; 11 Off.
Gaz. 597; Howe v. Abbott, 2 Story, 190; 2 Robb,
99; Leroy v. Tatham, 14 How. 156; Marsh v. Dodge
and Stevenson Manufacturing Co., 6 Fisher, 562; 5
Off. Gaz. 398; Reed v. Reed, 12 Blatch. 366; 8 Off.
Gaz. 193; Shaw and Wilcox Co. v. Lovejoy, 7 Blatch.
232; Wheeler v. Simpsou, 6 Off. Gaz. 435.

Not patentable, but important in improvements of old devices. Hall v. Wiles, 2 Blatch. 194.

Difference in, patentable as showing difference in process. Leroy v. Tatham, 22 How. 132; Sloat v. Patton, 1 Fisher, 154.

Old result by new process. Wood Paper Patent, 23 Wall. 566.

State of the art. Hill v. Houghton, 6 Off. Gaz. 3.

Suggestion not patentable. Graham v. Gammon, 7 Bissell, 49. Shown by utility. Pennsylvania Salt Co. v. Thomas, 5 Fisher, 148.

(See Combination; Patent.)

PATENT LAW. (See STATUTE.)

PATENT OFFICE.

Action is conclusive. Cowan v. Mitchell, 11 Heisk. (Tenn.) 87.

Proceedings prima facie valid. Winans v. Schenectady and Troy Railroad, 2 Blatch. 279.

(See Interference; Title.)

PAYMENT.

(See Contract; Defense; Note.)

PENALTY.

Patented articles not marked. Goodyear v. Allyn, 6 Blatch. 33; 3 Fisher, 374; McComb v. Brodie, 1 Woods, 153; 5 Fisher, 384; 2 Off. Gaz. 117.

Unpatented articles marked "patented." Nichols v. Newell, 1 Fisher, 647; United States v. Morris, 2 Bond, 23; 3 Fisher, 72.

Intent to deceive. Walker v. Hawxhurst, 5 Blatch. 494.Limitations of bringing suits. Stimpson v. Pond, 2 Curtis, 502.

(See Discovery.)

PENDENCY OF SUIT. (See Preliminary Injunctions.)

PERFORMANCE.

(See Contract; Preliminary Injunctions.)

PIRATED INVENTIONS.

(See Invention.)

PLEADING.

Abatement, demurrer. Walter A. Wood, &c. Co. v. Caldwell, 54 Ind. 270.

Admissibility of decree of United States courts. Hawks v. Swett, 4 Hun (N. Y.), 146.

Allegation of fraud. Lindsay v. Roraback, 4 Jones, Eq. (N. C.) 124; Loudon v. Birt, 4 Ind. 566.

In bar. Smith v. Ely, 5 McLean, 76.

By special notice under general issue. Evans v. Kremer, Peters, C. C. 215; 1 Robb, 66.

No certificate of counsel with plea. Goodyear v. Toby, 6 Blatch. 130.

Clearness required in equity. Graham v. Mason, 5 Fisher, 1. Consideration of note, statute. Domestic Sewing Machine Co.

v. Hatfield, 58 Ind. 187.

Duplicity, only one defense allowed in one plea. Reissner v. Anness, 12 Off. Gaz. 842.

Formal objections. Goodyear v. Beverly Rubber Co., 1 Cliff. 348. Hypothetical pleas are bad. Morse v. Davis, 5 Blatch. 40.

Irregularity, filing two pleas without special leave. Wheeler v. McCormick, 8 Blatch. 267; 4 Fisher, 433.

Judgment to be plea in bar must be direct on validity. Tyler v. Hyde, 2 Blatch. 308.

Misjoinder of plaintiffs. Woodworth v. Cook, 2 Blatch. 151.

Multifariousness. Case v. Redfield, 4 McLean, 526; 2 Robb, 741; Gillespie v. Cummings, 3 Sawyer, 259; Seymour v. Osborne, 11 Wall. 516.

Several patents, all infringed by same machine. Nellis v. McLanahan, 6 Fisher, 286; Nourse v. Allen, 4 Blatch. 376; 3 Fisher, 63.

Nonjoinder of parties. Wheeler v. McCormick, 11 Blatch. 334; 6 Fisher, 551; 4 Off. Gaz. 692.

Not guilty, what plaintiff must prove. Dixon v. Moyer, 4 Wash. 68; 1 Robb, 324.

Notice of special matter under general issue. Rees v. Gould, 6 Fisher, 106; 2 Off. Gaz. 624.

Thirty days. Teese v. Huntingdon, 23 How. 2; Union Sugar Refinery v. Matthieson, 3 Cliff. 639; 2 Fisher, 600; Wilder v. Gaylor, 1 Blatch. 597.

Deficiency cannot be supplied by special plea. McCay v. Burr, 6 Penn. St. 147.

Sufficiency. Silsby v. Foote, 14 How. 218.

Order, replication followed by testimony. Reissner & Anness, 13 Off. Gaz. 7.

Parties. Goodyear v. Toby, 6 Blatch. 130.

Pendency of other suit. Wheeler v. McCormick, 8 Blatch. 267; 4 Fisher, 433.

Prior use without abandonment is demurrable. Root v. Ball, 4 McLean, 177; 2 Robb, 513.

Redundancy, reference to prior suit. Knox v. Great Western Quicksilver Mining Co., 3 Sawyer, 422; 14 Off. Gaz. 897.

Special pleas under general issue. Morse v. Davis, 5 Blatch. 40; Read v. Miller, 2 Bissell, 12; 3 Fisher, 310; Wilder v. Gaylor, 1 Blatch. 597.

Right to. Phillips v. Combstock, 4 McLean, 353; 2 Robb, 724.

License without attacking validity. Day v. New England Car Spring Co., 3 Blatch. 179.

Sufficiency, note. Kernodle v. Hunt, 4 Blackf. (Ind.) 57. (See Demurrer; Jurisdiction; Limitations; Parties; Publication; Public Use; Want of Novelty.)

POPULARITY. (See Usefulness.)

POSSESSION.

(See Injunction; Preliminary Injunctions.)

POVERTY.

(See ABANDONMENT; ASSIGNMENT; INJUNCTION.)

PRACTICE.

Sudden changes not desirable. Union Sugar Refinery v. Matthieson, 3 Cliff. 146.

Irregular oath of plaintiff. Whittemore v. Cutter, 1 Gall. 429; 1 Robb, 28.

Irregular to file papers without leave of court. Union Sugar Refinery v. Matthieson, 3 Cliff. 146.

(See Affidavits; Preliminary Injunctions.)

PRELIMINARIES. (See Patent.)

PRELIMINARY INJUNCTIONS.

Abandonment and public use reserved for final hearing. Tappan v. National Bank Note Co., 4 Blatch. 509; 2 Fisher, 195.

- Acquiescence. American Shoe Tip Co. v. National Shoe Toe
 Protector Co., 11 Off. Gaz. 740; Battin v. Silliman, 3
 Wall. Jr. 124; Goodyear v. New Jersey Central Railroad, 2 Wall. Jr. 356; 1 Fisher, 626; Grover and
 Baker Sewing Machine Co. v. Williams, 2 Fisher, 133.
 - Mere lapse of time. Guidet v. Palmer, 10 Blatch. 217; 6 Fisher, 82; Potter v. Fuller, 2 Fisher, 251.

Under caveat. Sargent v. Seagrave, 2 Curtis, 553.

- Ex parte affidavits. Grover and Baker Sewing Machine Co. v. Williams, 2 Fisher, 132.
- Verbal admission of infringement. Morse Fountain Pen Co. v. Esterbrook Steel Pen Manufacturing Co., 3 Fisher, 515.
- Assignment after granting, no ground for dissolving. Thompson, &c. v. Barry, 2 Weekly Notes, 100.
- Circumstances of parties, responsibility of defendant. Morris v. Lowell Manufacturing Co., 3 Fisher, 67.
- Compel performance of agreements. Smith v. Cummings, 1 Fisher, 152.
- Concealment by defendant. Union Paper Bag Machine Co. v. Binney, 5 Fisher, 166.
- Substantial controversy, effect of. Smith v. Cummings, 1 Fisher, 152.
- Court, what bound to do. Parker v. Sears, 1 Fisher, 93.

 How far into evidence it will go. Sickels v. Youngs, 3

 Blatch, 293.
- Decisions, prior. American Middlings Purifier Co. v. Christian, 4 Dillon, 448; Battin v. Silliman, 3 Wall. Jr. 124; Jones v. Merrill, 8 Off. Gaz. 401; Potter v. Fuller, 2 Fisher, 251.
 - Charge of collusion. American Middlings Purifier Co. v. Atlantic Middlings Co., 4 Dillon, 100.
 - Entitle plaintiff to preliminary injunctions in absence of testimony. Birdsell v. Hagerstown Agricultural Implement Manufacturing Co., 6 Off. Gaz. 604.
 - Being numerous, only question is infringement. Blanchard v. Reeves, 1 Fisher, 103; Robertson v. Hill, 6 Fisher, 465; 4 Off. Gaz. 132.
 - If none, infringement must be clear. Burleigh Rock Drill Co. v. Lobdell, 1 Holmes, 450; 7 Off. Gaz. 836; North v. Kershaw, 4 Blatch. 70.

Decisions, prior — continued.

Entitle to preliminary injunction without further trial. Clum v. Brewer, 2 Curtis, 506.

Against practically same machine. Conover v. Mers, 3 Fisher, 386.

Laches. Goodyear v. Housinger, 2 Bissell, 1; 3 Fisher, 187. And long use. Goodyear v. Mullee, 3 Fisher, 420.

If none, exclusive possession must be shown. Hockholzer v. Eager, 2 Sawyer, 361.

None, single machine, refused, security for costs. Morris v. Shelburne, 8 Blatch. 266; 4 Fisher, 377.

If verdict at law and infringement, injunction must issue. Poppenhusen v. Falke, 4 Blatch. 493; 2 Fisher, 181.

Ruling is not enough. Sargent Manufacturing Co. v. Woodruff, 5 Bissell, 444.

Two failures at law to establish validity. Serrill v. Collins, 4 Blatch. 61.

Prior suit at law not necessary. Shelly v. Brannan, 2 Bissell, 315; 4 Fisher, 198; Sickels v. Mitchell, 3 Blatch. 548; Weston v. White, 13 Blatch. 447.

Against same machine, weight of. Sickels v. Tileston, 4 Blatch, 109.

Writ of error pending. Wells v. Gill, 2 Off. Gaz. 590.

Discretion of the court. Forbush v. Bradford, 1 Fisher, 317; Irwin v. Dane, 2 Bissell, 442; 4 Fisher, 359.

Is appeal to discretion. Wells v. Gill, 2 Off. Gaz. 590.

Of United States courts. Yuengling v. Johnson, 1 Hughes, 607.

Doubt of infringement. Dodge v. Card, 1 Bond, 393; 2 Fisher, 116; Goodyear v. New Jersey Central Railroad, 2 Wall. Jr. 356; 1 Fisher, 626.

Reasonable. Winans v. Eaton, 1 Fisher, 181.

Doubt of validity. Fales v. Wentworth, 1 Holmes, 96; 5 Fisher, 302; 2 Off. Gaz. 58.

Granted or not.

Not on theory unsupported by affidavits. American Diamond Rock Boring Co. v. Sullivan Co., 14 Blatch. 119.

Not, short time since reissue. Brown v. Hinkley, 6 Fisher, 370; 3 Off. Gaz. 384.

Granted or not - continued.

Denied because suit had been pending several months. Andrews v. Spear, 4 Dillon, 472.

Granted without evasion if proper. Blanchard v. Reeves, 1 Fisher, 103.

Long enjoyment and clear infringement. Chase v. Wesson, 1 Holmes, 274; 6 Fisher, 517; 4 Off. Gaz. 476.

Denial of title no ground for refusing. Clum v. Brewer, 2 Curtis, 506.

Verdict at law, motion for new trial pending. Day v. Hartshorn, 3 Fisher, 32.

When refused. Dorsey Revolving Harvester Rake Co. v. Bradley Manufacturing Co., 12 Blatch. 202.

Short time to run, giving bonds for costs. Howe v. Morton, 1 Fisher, 586.

Damage to plaintiff if refused. Irwin v. Dane, 2 Bissell, 442; 4 Fisher, 359.

Not, where strong doubt of novelty. Jones v. Hodges, 1 Holmes, 37.

Short time since issue and slight proof of infringement. Muscan Hair Manufacturing Co. v. American Hair Manufacturing Co., 4 Blatch. 174; 1 Fisher, 320.

Pendency of other suit, granted. Pennsylvania Salt Co. v. Myers, 1 Weekly News, 377.

Plaintiff with patent, defendant without. Pentlarge v. Beeston, 14 Blatch. 352.

Past infringements which cannot be renewed, no ground for. Potter v. Crowell, 1 Abbott, 89; 3 Fisher, 112.

No laches of plaintiff, no injury to particular defendant, granted. Rumford Chemical Works v. Vice, 14 Blatch. 179; 11 Off. Gaz. 600.

Discontinuance of infringement no answer. Sickels v. Mitchell, 3 Blatch. 548.

General denial of validity no answer. Sickels v. Mitchell, 3 Blatch. 548.

Refused. Defendant making for English government offers to pay. Smith v. Rifle Co., 3 Blatch. 545.

Intimidation. Wilson Packing Co. v. Clapp, 13 Off. Gaz. 368.

Injury.

Inconvenience to parties. Hockholzer v. Eager, 2 Sawyer, 361.

To defendant. Howe v. Newton, 2 Fisher, 531; Potter v. Fuller, 2 Fisher, 251.

Irreparable mischief. Morris v. Lowell Manufacturing Co., 3 Fisher, 67; Sickels v. Youngs, 3 Blatch. 293.

Hardship on defendant. Parker v. Sears, 1 Fisher, 93.

License as a defense. Blake v. Greenwood Cemetery, 14 Blatch. 342; 13 Off. Gaz. 1046; Day v. New England Car Spring Co., 3 Blatch. 154.

Violation of license by misapprehension. Wilson v. Sherman, 1 Blatch. 536.

Negligence. Hockholzer v. Eager, 2 Sawyer, 361.

By plaintiff in not preventing infringement. Jones v. Merrill, 8 Off. Gaz. 401.

Notice of motion for. No longer required. Yuengling v. Johnson, 1 Hughes, 607.

Object of.

To keep things as they are till rights are investigated.
American Nicolson Pavement Co. v. City of Elizabeth,
Fisher, 189; Day v. Boston Belting Co., 16 Law Rep. 329.

Prevent future violations. Poppenhusen v. New York Gutta-percha Comb Co., 4 Blatch. 184; 2 Fisher, 74.

Patent held by defendant is presumptive against infringement. Sargent Manufacturing Co. v. Woodruff, 5 Bissell, 444.

Possession.

Undisturbed. Miller v. Androscoggin Pulp Co., 1 Holmes, 142; 5 Fisher, 340; 1 Off. Gaz. 409.

No fixed length. Potter v. Miller, 2 Fisher, 465.

With consumer's acquiescence. Sargent v. Carter, 1 Fisher, 277.

Length required. Sargent v. Seagrave, 2 Curtis, 553; Muscan Hair Manufacturing Co. v. American Hair Manufacturing Co., 4 Blatch. 174; 1 Fisher, 320.

Exclusive. Thomas v. Weeks, 2 Paine, 92.

Practice. Goodyear v. Hills, 3 Fisher, 134.

Prima facie right to, by eight years' exclusive use. Foster v. Moore, 1 Curtis, 279.

Prior patent expired. Whitney v. Rollstone Machine Works, 8 Off. Gaz. 908.

Questions.

Of fact not easily settled on such motions. Wells v. Jacques, 5 Fisher, 136.

Originality and validity not gone into. Gibson v. Betts, 1 Blatch. 163.

Same in other courts. Atlantic Giant Powder Co. v. Goodyear, 13 Off. Gaz. 45.

Quiet enjoyment. Potter v. Holland, 4 Blatch. 238; 1 Fisher, 382.

Reasons for. Goodyear v. Hullihen, 2 Hughes, 492; 3 Fisher, 251.

Sales by agent. Potter v. Crowell, 1 Abbott, 89; 3 Fisher, 112.
Title, peculiar circumstances. Potter v. Whitney, 1 Lowell, 87; 3 Fisher, 77.

Unsatisfactory evidence. Sykes v. Manhattan Elevator and Grain Drying Co., 6 Blatch. 496.

Untested patents. Gear v. Holmes, 6 Fisher, 595.

Verdicts at law. Many v. Sizer, 1 Fisher, 31; Parker v. Brant, 1 Fisher, 58; Poppenhusen v. New York Gutta-percha Comb Co., 4 Blatch. 184; 2 Fisher, 74.

Validity established, feigned issues asked. Van Hook v. Pendleton, 1 Blatch. 187.

(See Suits.)

PRESUMPTION.

(See Arbitrators; Commissioner; Construction of Patents; Invention; Original Inventor; Public Use; Witnesses.)

PRICE.

(See Damages.)

PRINCIPLE.

(See Definition; Infringement; Injunction; Patent; Patentability; Public Use; Reissue.)

PRINTING.

(See Costs.)

PRIOR JUDGMENT.

- Averment of in bill, when necessary. Blandy v. Griffeth, 3 Fisher, 609.
- No Circuit Court is bound to follow decisions in other circuits. Blake v. Robertson, 6 Off. Gaz. 297.
- Decision of Supreme Court is final as to validity of patent. Goodyear Dental Vulcanite Co. v. Davis, 12 Off. Gaz. Oct. 2, i.

PRIOR KNOWLEDGE.

- Amount of proof required. Cohn v. United States Corset Co., 12 Blatch. 225; 6 Off. Gaz. 259; Hawes v. Antisdel, 8 Off. Gaz. 685.
- Degree required. Elastic Fabrics Co. v. East Hampton Rubber Thread Co., 9 Off. Gaz. 745.
- Particularity of notice required. Smith v. Frayer, 5 Fisher, 543; 2 Off. Gaz. 175; Wilton v. The Railroads, 1 Wall. Jr. 192; 2 Robb, 641; Wise v. Allis, 9 Wall. 737.
- Machine must be the same and not need modification. Cahoon v. Ring, 1 Cliff, 592; 1 Fisher, 397.
- Question of fact, burden of proof on defendant. Fisk v. Church, 5 Fisher, 540; 1 Off. Gaz. 634.
- Time of. Phillips v. Page, 24 How. 164.
- And use. Whitney v. Emmett, 1 Bald. 303; 1 Robb, 567.

PRIOR USE.

- Abroad must be by printed publications. Jones v. Sewall, 3 Cliff. 563; 6 Fisher, 313.
- Application, continuous in spite of adverse public use. Colgate v. Western Union Telegraph Co., 14 Off. Gaz. 943.
- Attempts by employés to prove they were inventors. Child v. Boston and Fair Haven Iron Works, 1 Holmes, 303; 6 Fisher, 606; 5 Off. Gaz. 61.
- Burden of proof. Roemer v. Simon, 5 Off. Gaz. 555.

Must be here, not in England. Dixon v. Moyer, 4 Wash. 68; 1 Robb, 324.

Proof. Judson v. Cope, 1 Bond, 327; 1 Fisher, 615.

Extent. Reed v. Cutter, 1 Story, 590; 2 Robb, 81.

Foreign prior use. Roemer v. Simon, 5 Off. Gaz. 555.

Without knowledge of patentee, avoids his patent. Roemer v. Simon, 5 Off. Gaz. 555.

Must be more than two years. Sisson v. Gilbert, 9 Blatch. 185; 5 Fisher, 109.

Notice of time and place. Judson v. Moore, 1 Bond, 285; 1 Fisher, 544.

Thirty days. Pickering v. Phillips, 10 Off. Gaz. 420.

Is peremptorily required. La Baw v. Hawkins, 6 Off. Gaz. 724.

That parties are unknown, amended nunc pro tunc. Roemer v. Simon, 5 Otto, 214; 12 Off. Gaz. 796.

Prior invention is not prior use. Colt v. Massachusetts Arms Co., 1 Fisher, 108.

(See SALE; WANT OF NOVELTY.)

PRIVATE USE.

(See Inventions; Publications.)

PRIVITY.

(See Defendants.)

PROCEEDINGS.

(See Commissioner; Court; Patent.)

PROCESS.

(See Infringement; Patent; Reissue; Repeal; Want of Novelty.)

PRODUCT.

(See Infringement; Patent; Reissue; Want of Novelty.)

PROFERT.

(See PATENT.)

PROFITS.

(See Account; Bill; Damages; Definition.)

PUBLICATIONS (PRINTED).

- Must be so clear as to leave no reasonable doubt. Cohn v. United States Corset Co., 12 Blatch. 225; 6 Off. Gaz. 259.
- Must be clear of the thing, not the steps to it. Cohn v. United States Corset Co., 3 Otto, 366; 11 Off. Gaz. 457.
- Unless clear enough to anticipate is only evidence of state of art. Geier v. Goetinger, 7 Off. Gaz. 563.
- Must not be vague references but clear enough to construct. Hays v. Sulsor, 1 Bond, 279; 1 Fisher, 532.
- Defense under general issue. Bates v. Coe, 8 Otto, 31; 15 Off. Gaz. 337.
- Knowledge derived must enable those skilled in that branch to practise it. Goff v. Stafford, 14 Off. Gaz. 748.
- How pleaded and proved. Kelleher v. Darling, 14 Off. Gaz. 673.
- For private use. Reeves v. Keystone Bridge Co., 5 Fisher, 456; 9 Phila. 368; 1 Off. Gaz. 466.
- Must be prior to invention not patent. City of Elizabeth v. Pavement Co., 7 Otto, 126.
- Omission of important element. Woodward v. Dinsmore, 4 Fisher, 163.
- Put on same footing as patent of its date. Webb v. Quintard, 9 Blatch. 352; 5 Fisher, 276; 1 Off. Gaz. 525.
- Substantial representation enough to construct. Seymour v. Osborne, 11 Wall. 516.
- Sufficient to give practical knowledge. Roberts v. Dickey, 4 Fisher, 532; 1 Off. Gaz. 4.
- Pure theory not enough. National Filter Oil Co. v. Arctic Oil Co., 4 Fisher, 514.

(See New Trial; Prior Use.)

PUBLIC OFFICER.

Acts of, record showing disregard of rules. Whitely v. Swayne, 4 Fisher, 117.

(See Commissioner.)

PUBLIC USE FOR TWO YEARS.

Must be before date of application. Bell v. Daniels, 1 Bond, 212; 1 Fisher, 372; Singer v. Braunsdorf, 7 Blatch. 521.

First application. Blandy v. Griffeth, 3 Fisher, 609.

Consent of inventor. Egbert v. Lippman, 14 Off. Gaz. 822; Mellus v. Silsbee, 4 Mason, 108; 1 Robb, 506.

Burden of proof is on defendant. Crouch v. Roemer, 11 Off. Gaz. 1112.

Doubt goes against defendants. Comstock v. Sandusky Seat Co., 13 Off. Gaz. 230.

Not open under general issue. Kelleher v. Darling, 14 Off. Gaz. 673.

What is.

Use by one man for himself nuknown to public is not. Adams v. Edwards, 1 Fisher, 1.

Two years before patent but after application is not. Adams v. Jones, 1 Fisher, 521.

What public and common use means. American Hide and Leather Splitting, &c. Co. v. American Tool Co., 1 Holmes, 503; 4 Fisher, 284.

Honest public experimenting to test is not. American Nicolson Pavement Co. v. City of Elizabeth, 4 Fisher, 189; 3 Off. Gaz. 522.

Rejected application, delay of ten years using it. Bevin v. East Hampton Bell Co., 9 Blatch. 50.

Use in good faith to test is not. City of Elizabeth v. Pavement Co., 7 Otto, 126.

Things made on same principle. Cleveland v. Towle, 3 Fisher, 525.

Actual extensive use. Consolidated Fruit Jar Co. v. Wright, 12 Blatch. 149; 6 Off. Gaz. 327.

By patentee himself. Consolidated Fruit Jar Co. v. Wright, 4 Otto, 92.

What is — continued.

Waiting during experiments. Henry v. Francistown Soapstone Stove Co., 9 Off. Gaz. 408.

Two years' sale. Jones v. Sewall, 3 Cliff. 563; 6 Fisher, 343.

Presumption is in favor of patent. Brown v. Whittemore, 5 Fisher, 524; 2 Off. Gaz. 248.

Two years must be alleged, public use is not enough. Agawam Co. v. Jordan, 7 Wall. 583.

(See ABANDONMENT; DEFINITION.)

PURCHASERS.

Bona fide. Pierson v. Eagle Screw Co., 3 Story, 402; 2 Robb,
268; Pitts v. Whitman, 2 Story, 609; 2 Robb, 189; United
States Annunciator Co. v. Sanderson, 3 Blatch. 184; Woodworth v. Cook, 2 Blatch. 151.

(See Assignment; Defense; Estoppel; Infringement; Inventor; Sale; Suits.)

PURPOSE.

(See Infringement; Patentability.)

QUANTUM VALEBANT.

For use of patented machines. Batten v. Kear, 2 Phila. (Penn.) 301.

(See Jurisdiction.)

QUESTIONS.

(See Jury; Preliminary Injunctions; Reissue; Uncertainty; Witness.)

QUIA TIMET.
(See Bill.)

REASSIGNMENT.

(See BILL; CONTRACT; NOTE.)

RECEIVER.

(See Corporation; Suits.)

RECORD.

(See Assignment; Disclaimer; License; Public Officer; Title.)

RECOUPMENT.

(See Damages.)

REDUNDANCY.

(See PLEADING.)

REFERENCE.

(See Master.)

REHEARING.

- Because agreement to waive want of notice was not accepted by court. American Saddle Co. v. Hogg, 1 Holmes, 177; 6 Fisher, 67; 2 Off. Gaz. 595.
- Fo introduce testimony on statute of 1868 not known till after decree. American Wood Paper Co. v. Glen Falls Paper Co., 8 Blatch. 513; 4 Fisher, 324.
- For mistake, putting in wrong patent. Baldwin v. Schultz, 9 Blatch. 494; 5 Fisher, 75; 2 Off. Gaz. 317.
- Newly discovered evidence. Hitchcock v. Tremaine, 9 Blatch. 550; 5 Fisher, 537; 1 Off. Gaz. 633; Reeves v. Keystone Bridge Co., 9 Off. Gaz. 885.
- Want of proper expert testimony. Hitchcock v. Tremaine, 9 Blatch. 550; 5 Fisher, 537; 1 Off. Gaz. 633.

REISSUES.

To assignee of executor. Carew v. Boston Elastic Fabric Co., 1 Holmes, 45.

To sectional assignees. Commissioner of Patents v. Whitely, 4 Wall. 522.

To assignee of assignee. Swift v. Whisen, 2 Bond, 115; 3 Fisher, 343.

Assignee's oath in lifetime of patentee. Whitely v. Swayne, 4 Fisher, 117.

Assignee's consent. Woodworth v. Stone, 3 Story, 749; 2 Robb, 296.

To administrators. Smith v. Mercer, 5 Penn. L. J. 529.

Antedating. Whitely v. Fisher, 4 Fisher, 248.

Anthority to reissue. Parham v. American Button, &c. Co., 4 Fisher, 468.

Danger of. Bailey Washing, &c. Co. v. Lincoln, 4 Fisher, 379.

Date of. Hussey v. Bradley, 5 Blatch. 134; 2 Fisher, 362.

Defects remedied by. Stimpson v. West Chester Railroad, 4 How. 380; 2 Robb, 335.

Defense under general issue. Bates v. Coe, 8 Otto, 31; 15 Off. Gaz. 337.

And disclaimers. Schillinger v. Gunther, 14 Off. Gaz. 713.

Effect of. Forbes v. Barstow Stove Co., 2 Cliff. 379; Kelleher v. Darling, 14 Off. Gaz. 673.

To executors. Providence Rubber Co. v. Goodyear, 9 Wall. 788.

Expiration. Whitely v. Fisher, 4 Fisher, 248.

Generally. Baldwin v. Schultz, 9 Blatch. 494; 5 Fisher, 75; 2 Off. Gaz. 317; Herring v. Gage, 14 Blatch. 293; 12 Off. Gaz. 753; Marsh v. Seymour, 7 Otto, 348; 13 Off. Gaz. 723; Russell v. Dodge, 3 Otto, 460; 11 Off. Gaz. 151; Vogler v. Semple, 11 Off. Gaz. 923.

Good, prima facie. Allen v. Blunt, 3 Story, 742; 2 Robb, 288;
Bantz v. Elsas, 6 Off. Gaz. 117; Poppenhusen v. Falke, 4
Blatch, 493; 2 Fisher, 181.

Granting of. Carew v. Boston Elastic Fabric Co., 3 Cliff. 356;
5 Fisher, 90.

Incapacity of patentee. Jordan v. Wallace, 5 Fisher, 185.

Not for same invention.

May omit, but not add new matter. Albright v. Celluloid Harness Trimming Co., 12 Off. Gaz. 227.

Not for same invention — continued.

Expanded to claim too much. American Middlings Purifier Co. v. Atlantic Middlings Co., 15 Off. Gaz. 467.

Modification of opinion as to relative value of two modes. American Nicolson Pavement Co. v. City of Elizabeth, 6 Fisher, 424; 3 Off. Gaz. 522.

Unless repugnant to original. Andrews v. Wright, 13 Off. Gaz. 968.

Original for process, reissue for compound. Atlantic Giant Powder Co. v. California Powder Works, 3 Sawyer, 448.

Must be for same invention. Atlantic Giant Powder Co. v. California Powder Works, 8 Otto, 126.

Proper tests to be applied. Aultman v. Holley, 11 Blatch. 317; 6 Fisher, 534; 5 Off. Gaz. 3.

Right to reissue in two divisions. Badische Anilin, &c. v. Hamilton Manufacturing Co., 13 Off. Gaz. 273.

Reissued to cover the whole invention is allowed. Badische Anilin, &c. v. Hamilton Manufacturing Co., 14 Off. Gaz. 414.

Fraud only open to government. Birdsell v. McDonald, 6 Off. Gaz. 682:

Can claim what is shown in model. Black v. Thorne, 10 Blatch. 66; 5 Fisher, 550; 2 Off. Gaz. 388.

Fraud. Blake v. Stafford, 6 Blatch. 195; 3 Fisher, 295.

Immaterial omissions. Boomer v. United Power Press Co., 13 Blatch. 107.

Granting not conclusive. Bridge v. Brown, 1 Holmes, 53; 6 Fisher, 236; 3 Off. Gaz. 121.

Is question of law. Bridge v. Brown, 1 Holmes, 53; 6 Fisher, 236; 3 Off. Gaz. 121.

Fraud on the public. Brooks v. Fiske, 15 How. 212.

Fraud. Brown v. Guild, 23 Wall. 181; 6 Off. Gaz. 392.

Claim cut down. Brown v. Selby, 2 Bissell, 457; 4 Fisher, 363.

Practice and frequency of reissues. Burr v. Duryee, 2 Fisher, 275.

Expansion of equivocal claims. Burr v. Duryee, 1 Wall. 531.

Not for same invention - continued.

General rules and principles. Cahart v. Austin, 2 Cliff. 528; 2 Fisher, 543.

Described, but not claimed in original. Calkins v. Bertrand, 9 Off. Gaz. 795.

Ingenious attempts to expand simple into complex. Carlton v. Bokee, 6 Fisher, 40.

General claims are carefully scrutinized. Carlton v. Bokee, 17 Wall. 463; 2 Off. Gaz. 520.

May omit, but not add new matter. Carver v. Braintree Manufacturing Co., 2 Story, 438; 2 Robb, 141.

Question is, Is it in the original in any shape? Chicago Fruit House Co. v. Busch, 2 Bissell, 472; 4 Fisher, 395.

Need not claim all that was claimed. Crompton v. Belknap Mills, 3 Fisher, 536.

Expanded claims. Curtis v. Branch, 15 Off. Gaz. 919.

Mere matters of mechanical adaptation. Decker v. Grote, 10 Blatch. 331; 6 Fisher, 143; 3 Off. Gaz. 65.

Different application of invention, new forms, &c. De Florey v. Raynolds, 14 Blatch. 505.

Original must be produced to substantiate the defense. Doherty v. Haynes, 6 Off. Gaz. 118.

Indistinct description in original. Dorsey Revolving Harvester Rake Co. v. Marsh, 6 Fisher, 387.

Omission is allowed in reissue. Dorsey Revolving Harvester Rake Co. v. Marsh, 6 Fisher, 387.

Better description. Draper v. Potomska Mills, 13 Off. Gaz. 276.

More claims in reissue. Fisher v. Craig, 3 Sawyer, 69.

How far court will look into reissues. Forsyth v. Clapp, 1 Holmes, 278; 6 Fisher, 528; 4 Off. Gaz. 527.

Difference in composition. Francis v. Mellor, 5 Fisher, 153; 1 Off. Gaz. 48.

Must be broader than original. French v. Rogers, 1 Fisher, 133.

Claiming separately what were conjointly. Gallahue v. Butterfield, 10 Blatch. 232; 6 Fisher, 203; 2 Off. Gaz. 645.

Not for same invention - continued.

Claiming different shapes of same toy. Gong Bell Manufacturing Co. v. Clark, 13 Off. Gaz. 274.

Broader than original. Goodyear v. Berry, 2 Bond, 189; 3 Fisher, 439.

Claiming product and process separately. Goodyear v. Providence Rubber Co., 2 Cliff. 351; 2 Fisher, 499.

Matter of legal construction. Goodyear Dental Vulcanite Co. v. Smith, 1 Holmes, 354; 5 Off. Gaz. 585.

More specific directions. Goodyear Dental Vulcanite Co. v. Wetherbee, 2 Cliff. 555; 3 Fisher, 87.

Leaving out minor features. Gould v. Ballard, 13 Off. Gaz. 1081.

In absence of fraud, only as matter of law. Graham v. Mason, 5 Fisher, 1.

Presumed to be the same. Guidet v. Barber, 5 Off. Gaz. 149.

Is question for jury. Heilner v. Battin, 27 Penn. St. 517. For what is described or shown in original. Hoffheims v.

For what is described or shown in original. Hoffheims v. Brandt, 3 Fisher, 218.

Disclaimer by mistake in original. Hussey v. Bradley, 5 Blatch. 134; 2 Fisher, 362.

Legal presumption is in favor of the reissue. Hussey v. McCormick, 1 Bissell, 300; 1 Fisher, 509.

Different drawing for reissue. Johnson v. Beard, 8 Off. Gaz. 435.

After patent was declared void for want of novelty. Jones v. McMurry, 2 Hughes, 527; 13 Off. Gaz. 6.

Can claim whatever is shown in drawings of original. Kerosene Lamp, &c. Co. v. Littell, 13 Off. Gaz. 1009.

Fraud to cover more. Keystone Bridge Co. v. Phœnix Iron Co., 5 Otto, 274; 12 Off. Gaz. 980.

Objection not taken in court below, so not open. Klein v. Russell, 19 Wall. 433.

Inadvertence. Lorillard v. McDowell, 11 Off. Gaz. 640.

Apparent on face or not, state of art. Metropolitan Washing Machine Co. v. Providence Tool Co., 1 Holmes, 161.

Vagueness brought into reissue to conceal the invention. Metropolitan Wringing Machine Co. v. Young, 14 Blatch. 46. Not for same invention - continued.

Repugnancy to original. Middletown Tool Co. v. Judd, 3 Fisher, 141.

Combination changed. Miller v. Bridgeport Brass Co., 14 Blatch. 282; 12 Off. Gaz. 667.

Only open for fraud. Miller and Peters Manufacturing Co. v. Du Brul, 12 Off. Gaz. 351.

Granting of, is conclusive unless different on its face. Milligan and Higgins Glue Co. v. Upton, 6 Off. Gaz. 837.

Broader claim but same invention. Morey v. Lockwood, 8 Wall, 230.

Strong legal presumption in its favor. Morris v. Roger, 2 Bond, 66; 3 Fisher, 176.

By statute. National Car Spring Co. v. Union Car Spring Co., 12 Blatch. 80; 6 Off. Gaz. 224.

Need not have the exact language of original. Pearl v. Ocean Mills, 11 Off. Gaz. 2.

Subject-matter is important, not the title or description. Pennsylvania Salt Co. v. Thomas, 5 Fisher, 148.

After adverse decisions, may be good. Poppenhusen v. Falke, 5 Blatch. 46; 2 Fisher, 213.

What the provision means. Putnam v. Yerrington, 9 Off. Gaz. 689.

Must be for same invention. Reedy v. Scott, 7 Off. Gaz. 463.

Features of model may be put into reissue. Reissner v. Anness, 13 Off. Gaz. 870.

Putting in a comma. Robertson v. Secombe Manufacturing Co., 10 Blatch. 481; 6 Fisher, 268; 3 Off. Gaz. 412.

Suggestions not found in original. Rogers v. Sargent, 7
Blatch, 507.

Outside evidence. Sarven v. Hall, 9 Blatch. 524; 5 Fisher, 415; 1 Off. Gaz. 437.

More methodically and clearly described. Searls v. Van Nest, 13 Off. Gaz. 772.

Interpolation of new features. Seymour v. Osborne, 11 Wall. 516.

When its consistency with original is conclusive. Sickels v. Evans, 2 Cliff. 203; 2 Fisher, 417.

Not for same invention — continued.

- After fourteen years cannot claim any thing not specified. Sickels v. Falls Co., 4 Blatch. 508; 2 Fisher, 202.
- Defendant must overcome plaintiff's presumption. Smith v. Goodyear Dental Vulcanite Co., 3 Otto, 486; 11 Off. Gaz. 246.
- Settled in prior cases on the same subject. Smith v. Mercer, 5 Penn. L. J. 529.
- New features. Stevens v. Pritchard, 10 Off. Gaz. 505.
- Frand. Swift v. Whisen, 2 Bond, 115; 3 Fisher, 343.
- Under act of 1870, part not necessarily described. Tarr v. Webb, 10 Blatch, 96; 5 Fisher, 593; 2 Off. Gaz. 568.
- In general. Tucker v. Tucker Manufacturing Co., 10 Off. Gaz. 464.
- Combination may be subdivided in reissue. Turrell v. Spaeth, 14 Off. Gaz. 377.
- No repugnancy or introduction of new features allowed. Union Paper Collar Co. v. Leland, 1, Holmes, 427.
- Question is, would claims in reissue be good in original. Union Paper Collar Co. v. Van Deusen, 10 Blatch. 109; 5 Fisher, 597; 2 Off. Gaz. 361.
- Reason for the rule. United States and Foreign Salamander Felting Co. v. Haven, 3 Dillon, 131; 9 Off. Gaz. 253.
- Cannot be made to cover any thing not in original. Vogler v. Semple, 11 Off. Gaz. 923.
- Decision of Commissioner not re-examinable. Wells v. Gill, 2 Off, Gaz. 490.
- Ambiguity. Westinghouse v. Gardner and Ransom Air Brake Co., 9 Off. Gaz. 538.
- Claiming the several parts separately. Wheeler v. Clipper Mower, &c. Co., 10 Blatch. 181; 6 Fisher, 1; 2 Off. Gaz. 442.
- Fraud on the Patent Office. Whitely v. Swayne, 4 Fisher, 117.
- Claiming what was abandoned in original. Wicks v. Stevens, 2 Woods, 310.
- Void for change in process. Wood Paper Patent, 23 Wall. 566.

Not for same invention — continued.

Decision of Commissioner conclusive unless wrong on its face. Woodworth v. Stone, 3 Story, 749; 2 Robb, 296.

Joining patentee is not necessary. Swift v. Whisen, 2 Bond, 115; 3 Fisher, 343.

Laches. Hussey v. Bradley, 5 Blatch. 134; 2 Fisher, 362. Nature of.

What they are, and what they must contain. Gill v. Wells, 22 Wall. 1.

Are not new patents. McBurney v. Goodyear, 11 Cush. (Mass.) 569.

Without oath. Earth Closet Co. v. Fenner, 5 Fisher, 15. Object of.

Is to cure defects. Keystone Bridge Co. v. Phænix Iron Co., 5 Otto, 274; 12 Off. Gaz. 980; Knight v. Baltimore and Ohio Railroad, Taney, Dec. 106; 3 Fisher, 1; Sarven v. Hall, 9 Blatch. 524; 5 Fisher, 415; 1 Off. Gaz. 437; Schuessler v. Davis, 13 Off. Gaz. 1011.

Too broad claims. Seymour v. Osborne, 3 Fisher, 555; Union Paper Collar Co. v. White, 7 Off. Gaz. 698; Westinghouse v. Gardner and Ransom Air Brake Co., 9 Off. Gaz. 538.

Of older patent is complete defense in absence of fraud. House v. Young, 3 Fisher, 335.

Parol testimony in reissuing patents. Union Paper Collar Co. v. Van Deusen, 23 Wall. 530.

To patentee after assignment. Wing v. Warren, 5 Fisher, 548; 2 Off. Gaz. 312.

Power of Commissioner. Whitely v. Fisher, 4 Fisher, 248.

Power of Secretary of State to accept surrender and reissue. Grant v. Raymond, 6 Peters, 218; 1 Robb, 604.

Prima facie valid. House v. Young, 3 Fisher, 335; Philadelphia and Trenton Railroad v. Stimpson, 14 Peters, 448;
2 Robb, 46; Stevens v. Pritchard, 10 Off. Gaz. 505.

Relate back to original. Shaw v. Cooper, 7 Peters, 29; 1 Robb, 643; Stanley v. Whipple, 2 McLean, 35; 2 Robb, 1; Woodworth v. Hall, 1 W. & M. 248; 2 Robb, 495.

Res adjudicata. Whitely v. Fisher, 4 Fisher, 248.

Right to. Conklin v. Stafford, 5 Off. Gaz. 235; Platts, Exparte, 15 Off. Gaz. 827; Potter v. Holland, 4 Blatch. 206; 1 Fisher, 327; Read v. Bowman, 2 Wall. 591.

English law. Shaw v. Cooper, 7 Peters, 29; 1 Robb, 643.

Scope of. Seymour v. Marsh, 2 Off. Gaz. 675.

Several reissues of one patent. French v. Rogers, 1 Fisher, 133; Goodyear v. Wait, 5 Blatch. 418; 3 Fisher, 242; Morse v. Bain, 9 West. L. J. 106; Wheeler v. McCormick, 11 Blatch. 334; 6 Fisher, 551; 4 Off. Gaz. 692.

"Substantially as described," is implied. Westinghouse v. Gardner and Ransom Air Brake Co., 9 Off. Gaz. 538.

Title of plaintiff is prima facie good. Middletown Tool Co. v. Judd, 3 Fisher, 141.

Validity of, generally. Grosjean v. Peck, Stow, &c. Co., 11 Blatch. 54; Woodward v. Dinsmore, 4 Fisher, 163.

(See Abandonment; Appeal; Assignee; Commissioner; Contract; Drawing; Extension; Infringement; Injunction; Interference; Renewal; Title.)

REJECTION.

(See Application; Assignment; Patent.)

RELEASE.

(See Injunction.)

REMEDY.

(See Contract; Equity; Infringement; Injunction; Jurisdiction; Patent.)

REMOVAL.

(See Suits.)

RENEWAL.

What it is. Wilson v. Turner, Taney, Dec. 278.

Means "extension," not "reissue." Day v. Cary, 1 Fisher,
424; Goodyear v. Cary, 4 Blatch. 271.

(See Extension.)

REPEAL OF PATENTS.

Application to repeal. McGaw v. Bryan, 1 U. S. L. J. 582.
Bill to vacate must appear to be by District Attorney. United States ex rel. West v. Doughty, 7 Blatch. 424.

Extension already expired. Bourne v. Goodyear, 9 Wall. 811. False suggestions. Delano v. Scott, 1 Gilpin, 489; 1 Robb, 700.

Government alone can vacate. Mowry v. Whitney, 14 Wall. 434; 5 Fisher, 513; 1 Off. Gaz. 499.

Issued to wrong man. Appleton v. Bacon, 2 Black, 699.

Mandamus, scire facias, matters of record. Wood, Ex parte, 9 Wheat, 603; 1 Robb, 438.

Power of court. Foster v. Lindsay, 7 Off. Gaz. 514; Foster v. Lindsay, 8 Off. Gaz. 1032.

Process to repeal. Wood v. Williams, 1 Gilpin, 517; 1 Robb, 717.

Proper way, to vacate. Attorney-General v. Rumford Chemical Works, 9 Off. Gaz. 1062.

(See Jurisdiction; Patent; Suits.)

REPLEVIN.

Avery v. Bushnell, 123 Mass. 349.

REPLICATION. (See Pleading.)

REPORT.

(See MASTER'S REPORT.)

REPRESENTATIVES.

(See Partners.)

RES ADJUDICATA.

(See Decree; Master; Reissue.)

RESCISSION.

(See Contract.)

RESTORATION.

(See Invention.)

RESTRAINT OF TRADE.

(See CONTRACT; ESTOPPEL.)

RESTRICTION.

(See Assignment.)

RESULT.

(See Construction of Patents; Infringement; Patentability.)

REVIEW.

(See BILL; SUPPLEMENTAL BILLS.)

REVISION.

(See Interlocutory Orders.)

REVIVOR.

(See BILL; SUITS; SURRENDER.)

RIGHTS.

(See Assignee; Assignment; Contract; Damages; Inventor; Joint Owners; License.)

ROYALTY.

(See Administrator; Contract; Damages; Inventor; Master; Sale; Suits.)

RULINGS.

(See Exceptions; Master's Report; New Trial; Pre-LIMINARY INJUNCTIONS.)

SALARY OF DEFENDANT.

(See Damages.)

SALE.

By agent. Morse v. Davis, 5 Blatch. 40.

What it carries. Farrington v. Gregory, 4 Fisher, 221; Farrington v. Water Commissioners, 4 Fisher, 216.

Gives right to use and repair, but not make new ones. Aiken v. Manchester Print Works, 2 Cliff, 435; Union Metallic Cartridge Co. v. United States Cartridge Co., 11 Off, Gaz. 1113.

Under contract, royalty. Wilder v. Stearns, 48 N. Y. 656.

Effect of sale. Howe v. Wooldredge, 12 Allen (Mass.), 18.

Election to recede. Hotchkiss v. Oliver, 5 Denio (N. Y.), 314.

Purchaser from inventor before extension may use after. Blanchard v. Whitney, 3 Blatch. 307.

False representations. Cowan v. Dodd, 3 Cold. (Tenn.) 278.

Fraud. Burrall v. Jewett, 2 Paige (N. Y.), 134.

By guardians, injurious to infants, set aside. Leonard v. Barnum, 34 Wisc. 105.

Of infringing machine. Bell v. Bonney, 7 La. Ann. 170.

General law of right to sell. Celluloid Manufacturing Co. c. Goodyear Dental Vulcanite Co., 11 Blatch. 375; 10 Off. Gaz. 41.

Legislation, State.

Indiana law. W. A. Wood, &c. Co. v. Caldwell, 54 Ind. 270.

Against, is void. Crittenden v. White, 23 Minn. 24; Robinson, Ex parte, 4 Fisher, 186.

Patentee's rights are federal. Hollida v. Hunt, 70 Ill. 109. Right to sell unless injurious to public morals, health, &c. Patterson v. Commonwealth, 11 Bush (Ky.), 311: Patterson v. Commonwealth of Kentucky, 7 Otto, 501.

Machine (or thing) sold passes out of monopoly. Adams v. Burke, 1 Holmes, 40; 4 Fisher, 392; 1 Off. Gaz. 282; Adams v. Burke, 17 Wall. 453; American Cotton Tie Co. v. Simons, 13 Off. Gaz. 967; Chaffee v. Boston Belting Co., 22 How. 217; Goodyear v. Beverly Rubber Co., 1 Cliff. 348; McKay v. Wooster, 2 Sawyer, 373; 6 Fisher, 375; 3 Off. Gaz. 441; Mitchell v. Hawley, 16 Wall. 544; 3 Off. Gaz. 241.

Sold by assignee does, by licensee does not. Hawley v. Mitchell, 1 Holmes, 42; 4 Fisher, 388; 1 Off. Gaz. 606.

Sale of patented machine and thing covered by other's patent is license to use thing. Burr v. Putney, 38 N. H. 44.

Patentee cannot control use of thing sold. Metropolitan Washing Machine Co. v. Earle, 3 Wall. Jr. 320; 2 Fisher, 203.

Of patent right, jurisdiction. Burr v. Gregory, 2 Paine, 426.

No implied warranty. Hiatt v. Twomey, 1 Dev. & B. Eq.

(N. C.) 315. Implied warranty. Johnson v. Willimantic Linen Co., 33

Conn. 436.

Of personal property, implied warranties. Sherman v. Champlain Transportation Co., 31 Vt. 162.

Prior sale for two years. Hovey v. Henry, 3 West. L. J. 153. Without title, general principle. Holden v. Curtis, 2 N. H.

(See Abandonment; Dedication; Definition; Estoppel; Infringement; Patent; Preliminarny Injunctions; Public Use; Want of Novelty.)

SATISFACTION.

(See Suits.)

SAVING.

(See Damages.)

SCIENCE.

(See Books; Statute.)

SCIENTIFIC MEN.

(See Experts; Original Inventor.)

SEAL.

(See NOTARY.)

SECRECY.

Inventions may be kept secret. Bates v. Coe, 8 Otto, 31; 15 Off. Gaz. 337.

(See Injunction; Uncertainty.)

SECRETARY OF STATE.

(See Reissue.)

SERVICE.

(See WAIVER.)

SHERIFF.

(See Infringement.)

SILENCE.

(See ESTOPPEL.)

SKETCHES.

(See Invention)

SPECIAL ACT.

(See Acts; Commissioner.)

SPECIAL MATTER.

(See Pleading.)

SPECIAL PLEAS.

(See Pleading.)

SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE.

(See Contract; Injunction.)

SPECIFICATION.

(See Construction of Patents; Drawing.)

STATE OF THE ART.

(See Construction of Patents; Evidence; Infringement; Original Inventor; Patentability; Want of Novelty.)

STATE COURTS.

(See Injunction; Jurisdiction; Patent.)

STATUTE OF FRAUDS.

(See Contract.)

STATUTES.

Analysis of the patent law. Evans v. Eaton, 1 Peters C. C. 322; 1 Robb, 68.

Construction of. Ransom v. Mayor of New York, 4 Blatch. 157; 1 Fisher, 252.

Courts do not supply deficiencies in legislation. Carver v. Braintree Manufacturing Co., 2 Story, 432; 2 Robb, 141.

Forbidding contracts for supplies by government with its army officers. United States v. Burns, 12 Wall. 246.

Patent law.

History of. Thompson v. Haight, 1 U. S. L. J. 563.

Construction of. Fuller r. Goodrich, 6 Bissell, 203.

In regard to foreign patents. Henry v. Providence Tool Co., 14 Off. Gaz. 855. Object of patent law.

To encourage useful experiments reduced to practice. Ellithorp v. Robertson, 4 Blatch. 307; 2 Fisher, 83.

To secure to public advantages derived from discoveries. Grant v. Raymond, 6 Peters, 218; 1 Robb, 604.

To carry out provision to promote science and the useful arts. Howe v. Wooldredge, 12 Allen (Mass.), 18.

Ultimately to benefit the public. Kendall v. Winsor, 21 How. 322.

Analysis of certain provisions. Page v. Ferry, 1 Fisher, 298.

To secure to inventors exclusive right for definite periods. Pike v. Potter, 3 Fisher, 55.

Reasons for patent law. Goodyear v. Hullihen, 2 Hughes, 492; 3 Fisher, 251.

(See Abandonment; Acts; Assignment; Damages; Evidence; Limitation; Patent; Rehearing; Reissue; Vacancies.)

SUBJECT-MATTER. (See Jurisdiction.)

"SUBSTANTIALLY AS DESCRIBED." (See Definition; Reissue.)

SUBSTITUTE. (See Infringement.)

SUCCESS.
(See Usefulness.)

SUGGESTIONS.

(See Inventor; Original Inventor; Patentability; Reissue; Repeal; Want of Novelty.)

SHITS.

Authority to build no bar to suit. Pitts v. Jameson, 15 Barb. (N. Y.) 310.

Brought wherever defendant is found. Thompson v. Mendelsohn, 5 Fisher, 187.

On separate claims. Cook v. Ernest, 5 Fisher, 396; 2 Off. Gaz. 89.

Unreasonable delay in bringing. Cooper v. Mattheys, 8 Law Rep. 413.

May be for any one device covered by patent. McComb v. Ernest, 1 Woods, 195.

Importance of patent suits. Seymour v. Osborne, 11 Wall. 516. For infringement.

Are by jury. Motte v. Bennett, 2 Fisher, 642.

Must be in United States courts. Woven Tape Skirt Co., In re, 12 Hun (N. Y.), 111.

Inventor selling may sue for infringements before. Moore v. Marsh, 7 Wall. 515.

Interest required to sue. Moore v. Marsh, 7 Wall. 515. By licensee.

Properly in name of patentee. Goodyear v. Bishop, 2 Fisher, 96; Goodyear v. McBurney, 3 Blatch. 32.

Release by patentee of no avail. Goodyear v. Bishop, 2 Fisher, 96.

Name of patentee, suit by territorial grantee. Hill v. Whitcomb, 1 Holmes, 317; 5 Off. Gaz. 430.

Notice of suit for fraud. Consolidated Fruit Jar Co. v. Whitney, 31 Leg. Int. 229.

Patent suits in law and equity. Goff v. Stafford, 14 Off. Gaz. 748.

Are between parties, not United States. Wood v. Williams, 1 Gilpin, 517; 1 Robb, 717.

Patentee having full satisfaction from maker cannot sue his purchaser. Perrigo v. Spaulding, 13 Blatch. 389; 12 Off. Gaz. 352.

Against purchaser after preliminary injunction against maker. Gilbert and Barker Manufacturing Co. v. Bussiug, 12 Blatch. 426; 8 Off. Gaz. 144.

By receiver for conveyance of patent. Clan Ranald v. Wyckoff, 41 N. Y. Supr. 527.

To recover purchase-money for assignment. Foss v. Richardson, 15 Gray (Mass.), 303.

- To recover royalties. Howe v. Wooldredge, 12 Allen (Mass.), 18. Removal to United States courts. Florence Sewing Machine Co. v. Grover and Baker Sewing Machine Co., 110 Mass. 70.
- Reviving suit against legal representatives. Smith v. Baker's Administrators, 5 Off. Gaz. 496.
- Right to sue. Aiken v. Dolan, 3 Fisher, 197; Chambers v. Smith, 5 Fisher, 12; Potter v. Holland, 4 Blatch. 206; 1 Fisher, 327.
 - Assignee of half, joint action. Whittemore v. Cutter, 1 Gall. 429; 1 Robb, 28.
- To vacate patents. Merserole v. Union Paper Collar Co., 6 Blatch. 356; 3 Fisher, 483.
- (See Assignee; Assignment; Corporation; Disclaimer; Equity; Injunction; Partners; Surrender; Survivor.)

SUPPLEMENTAL BILLS.

In nature of bill of review. Blandy v. Griffeth, 6 Fisher, 434.

SUPREME COURT.

(See Construction of Patents; Court; Prior Judgment.)

SURPRISE.

(See NEW TRIAL.)

SURRENDER.

- Bars suit and it cannot be revived. Fry v. Quinlan, 13 Blatch. 205; Moffitt v. Gaar, 1 Black, 273; Reedy v. Scott, 7 Off. Gaz. 463.
- Withdrawing surrenders. Forbes v. Barstow Stove Co., 2 Cliff. 379.

(See PATENT.)

SURREPTITIOUS PATENT.

(See PATENT.)

SURROGATE.

(See JURISDICTION.)

SURVIVOR.

Of actions for infringement. Atterbury v. Gill, 13 Off. Gaz. 276.

SUSPENSION.

(See Injunction; Master's Report.)

TAXATION.

(See Costs.)

TAXES.

Power of city to make taxpayers pay for use of patented pavement. Dean v. Charlton, 23 Wis. 590.

TECHNICAL TERMS.

(See Construction of Patents.)

TERMS.

(See Experts; Injunction; Uncertainty.)

TEST.

(See Infringement.)

TESTIMONY.

(See Evidence; Preliminary Injunctions; Witnesses.)

THEORY.

(See Construction of Patents; Preliminary Injunctions; Publications.)

TITLE.

Authority of Patent Office action on title. Wilson v. Barnum, 2 Fisher, 635.

Of complainant. Dorsey Revolving Harvester Rake Co. v. Marsh, 6 Fisher, 387.

Reissue taken by executor. Carew v. Boston Elastic Fabric Co., 3 Cliff. 356; 5 Fisher, 90.

Unrecorded assignment. Perry v. Corning, 7 Blatch. 195. Two assignments, question what passed by first. Mowry v. Grand Street and Newtown Railroad, 10 Blatch. 89; 5 Fisher, 586.

(See Assignment; Declaration; Equity; Extension; Note; Patent; Preliminary Injunctions; Reissue; Sale.)

TORT.

(See Corporation; Fraud; Infringement.)

TRESPASS.

(See Infringement.)

TRIAL.

(See Jury.)

UNCERTAINTY IN SPECIFICATION.

Ambiguity may be explained by affidavit annexed to specification. Pettibone v. Derringer, 4 Wash. 215; 1 Robb, 152.

Certainty in claims. Calkins v. Bertrand, 9 Off. Gaz. 795.

Reasonable certainty. Lowell v. Lewis, 1 Mason, 182; 1 Robb, 131; Parker v. Stiles, 5 McLean, 44.

Chemical compound should state ingredients clearly. Tyler v. Boston, 7 Wall. 327.

Clearness is condition precedent of validity. Seymour v. Osborne, 11 Wall. 516.

Clearness required.

So that it may not be ignorantly infringed. Judson v. Moore, 1 Bond, 285; 1 Fisher, 544.

Sufficient to show nature of invention. Leroy v. Tatham. 22 How. 132.

Clearness required — continued.

Must point out improvement so the public can know. Dixon v. Moyer, 4 Wash. 68; 1 Robb, 324.

Not for ordinary but for skilled mechanic. Dorsey Revolving Harvester Rake Co. v. Marsh, 6 Fisher, 387.

Must specify clearly what he claims as his own. Holliday v. Rheem, 18 Penn. St. 465.

Distinct and specific statement of what is new. Merrill v. Yeomans, 4 Otto, 568; 11 Off. Gaz. 970.

Distinction between new and old. Monce v. Adams, 12 Blatch. 1; 7 Off. Gaz. 177.

Disclosing whole truth, materiality of conceahment, for jury. Rentgen v. Kanowrs, 1 Wash. 168; 1 Robb, 1.

So that public could put machine in use. Sullivan v. Redfield, 1 Paine, 441; 1 Robb, 477.

Fixed rule given which will insure success. Tilghman v. Werk, 1 Bond, 511; 2 Fisher, 229.

Mechanic of ordinary skill to make. Wilbur v. Beecher, 2 Blatch. 132; Woodworth v. Wilson, 4 How. 712; 2 Robb, 473.

Skilled in the art could make. Wood v. Underhill, 5 How. 1; 2 Robb, 588.

Is for court, if without meaning is void. Davis v. McCormick, 2 Brock. 297; 1 Robb, 518; Emerson v. Hogg, 2 Blatch. 1.

Courts are reluctant to hold void for uncertainty. Swift v. Whisen, 2 Bond, 115; 3 Fisher, 343.

Disclosure of the secret, English practice and ours. Whitney v. Emmett, 1 Baldwin, 303; 1 Robb, 567.

Void on the face. Allen v. Hunter, 6 McLean, 303.

A question of law. Judson v. Cope, 1 Bond, 327; 1 Fisher, 615.

Intent to deceive is necessary. Whittemore v. Cutter, 1 Gall. 429; 1 Robb, 28.

Impossible to make merchantable goods by directions given. Providence Rubber Co. v. Goodyear, 9 Wall. 788.

Object of provision. Wayne v. Holmes, 1 Bond, 27; 2 Fisher, 20.

Twofold, public benefit at last, and no ignorant infringing. Mabie v. Haskell, 2 Cliff. 507.

Omissions. Burden v. Corning, 2 Fisher, 477.

Mainly a question of fact. Carver v. Braintree Manufacturing Co., 2 Story, 432; 2 Robb, 141.

Partly law and partly fact. Goodyear v. Wait, 5 Blatch. 468; 3 Fisher, 242.

Too vague and indefinite terms. Ryan v. Goodwin, 3 Sumner, 514; 1 Robb, 725.

Variance between specification and machine is judicial question. Grant v. Raymond, 6 Peters, 218; 1 Robb, 604.

(See DEFECTIVE SPECIFICATION; PATENT.)

UNMARKED ARTICLES.

(See Penalty.)

UNPATENTED ARTICLES.

(See Damages; Penalty.)

UNTESTED PATENTS.

(See Preliminary Injunctions.)

USE.

Distinction between right to make and vend and right to use. Jenkins v. Greenwald, 1 Bond, 126; 2 Fisher, 37; Mitchell v. Hawley, 16 Wall. 514; 3 Off. Gaz. 241; Steam Cutter Co. v. Shelden, 10 Blatch. 1; 5 Fisher, 477.

Means by others than patentce. Morris v. Huntington, 1 Paine, 348; 1 Robb, 448.

After expiration of license. Woodworth v. Curtis, 2 W. & M. 524; 2 Robb, 603.

Right to use continues after extension. Day v. Union Indiarubber Co., 3 Blatch. 488; Day v. Union India-rubber Co., 20 How. 216.

Right to use one machine implies right to make it. Woodworth v. Curtis, 2 W. & M. 524; 2 Robb, 603.

(See Abandonment; Assignee; Assignment; Extension; Inventor; License; Prior Knowledge.)

USEFULNESS.

- To any degree useful. Bell v. Daniels, 1 Bond, 212; 1 Fisher, 372; Wilbur v. Beecher, 2 Blatch. 132.
- Degree required, no particular amount. Bliss v. City of Brooklyn, 10 Blatch. 521.
- Extensive use as evidence. Adams v. Edwards, 1 Fisher, 1.
- Good faith of defense questioned. Robertson v. Garrett, 10 Blatch, 490; 6 Fisher, 278.
- Whether for court or jury, quære. Langdon v. De Groot, 1 Paine, 203. 1 Robb, 433.
- If imposition on public, court should so charge the jury. Langdon v. De Groot, 1 Paine, 203.
- License to defendant is evidence. Lee v. Blandy, 1 Bond, 361; 2 Fisher, 89.

Means.

- Not frivolous or mischievous. Cook v. Ernest, 5 Fisher, 396; 2 Off. Gaz. 89.
- Not mischievous. Cox v. Griggs, 1 Bissell, 362; 2 Fisher, 174.
- Not pernicious, frivolous, or worthless. Crompton v. Belknap Mills, 3 Fisher, 536.
- Not frivolous or dangerous. Hoffheims v. Brandt, 3 Fisher, 218.

Shown by.

- By being contested by defendant. Rice r. Heald, 13 Pac. . L. R. 33.
- Patent prima facie evidence. Bell r. Daniels, 1 Bond, 212;
 1 Fisher, 372; Vance v. Campbell, 1 Fisher, 483;
 Waterbury Brass Co. v. Miller, 9 Blatch. 77; 5 Fisher, 48.
- Popularity. Stuart v. Shantz, 6 Fisher, 35; 9 Phila. 376; 2 Off. Gaz. 524.
- Practical success. Bussey v. Hicks, 9 Off. Gaz. 300.
- Use by defendants and others. Rice v. Heald, 13 Pac. L. R. 33; Smith v. Glendale Elastic Fabric Co., 1 Holmes, 340; 5 Off. Gaz. 429.
- General utility and non-use are inconsistent. Sayles v. Chicago and Northwestern Railroad, 3 Bissell, 52; 4 Fisher, 586.

Must be a practical means of doing what is desired. Roberts v. Ward, 4 McLean, 565; 2 Robb, 746.

(See Damages; Definition; Infringement; Original Inventor; Patentability; Want of Novelty.)

VACANCIES.

Statute for filling. American Wood Paper Co. v. Glen Falls Paper Co., 8 Blatch. 518.

VAGUENESS.

(See Publications; Reissue.)

VALIDITY.

As a defense. Rice v. Garnhart, 34 Wis. 453.

Generally. Carr v. Rice, 1 Fisher, 198.

Is for jury. Magic Ruffle Co. v. Douglass, 2 Fisher, 330.

Oath. Dyer v. Rich, 1 Metcalf (Mass.), 180.

Patent prima facie evidence. Alden v. Dewey, 1 Story, 336; 2
Robb, 17; Allen v. Hunter, 6 McLean, 303; Jones v. Burnham, 67 Maine, 93; McDougall v. Fogg, 2 Bosw. (N. Y.) 387; Smith v. Woodruff, 1 McArthur, 459; 6 Fisher, 476; 4 Off. Gaz. 635.

Several reasons why a patent may be invalid. Marsh v. Seymour, 7 Otto, 348; 13 Off. Gaz. 723.

(See Contract; Injunction; Jurisdiction; Preliminary Injunctions; Prior Judgment; Reissue.)

VARIANCE.

(See Nonsuit; Uncertainty.)

VARIATIONS.

(See Infringement.)

VERDICT.

Further instructions after verdict. Florence Sewing Machine Co. v. Grover and Baker Sewing Machine Co., 110 Mass. 70. (See Assignment; Defective Specification; New Trial; Preliminary Injunctions.)

VINDICTIVE.

(See Damages; Injunction.)

WAIVER.

- Of conditions prescribed by court. Ransom v. Mayor of New York, 4 Blatch. 157.
- Of irregular service by appearance. Goodyear v. Chaffee, 3 Blatch. 268.
- Of jurisdiction. Doughty v. West, 2 Fisher, 553. (See Defense; Jurisdiction; New Trial; Rehearing.)

WANT OF NOVELTY.

- (For particular patents, and that patent is prima facie evidence, cases are too numerous to cite.)
- Aggregation. Kerosene Lamp Heater Co. v. Littell, 13 Off. Gaz. 1009; Sarven v. Hall, 9 Blatch. 524; 5 Fisher, 415; 1 Off. Gaz. 437.
- Amount of invention required. Middletown Tool Co. v. Judd, 3 Fisher, 141.
- Amount of novelty required. Miller and Peters Manufacturing Co. v. Du Brul, 12 Off. Gaz. 351.

Anticipation.

- What it requires. Foote v. Silsby, 2 Blatch. 260.
- More than a model. Johnson v. McCullock, 4 Fisher, 170; Kelleher v. Darling, 14 Off. Gaz. 673; Stillwell, &c. Co. v. Cincinnati, &c. Co., 7 Off. Gaz. 829.
- Simple and cheap invention by complex and expensive. King v. Hammond, 4 Fisher, 488.
- By incomplete invention. Richardson v. Noyes, 10 Off. Gaz. 507.
- Must be a practical machine. Sayles v. Chicago and Northwestern Railroad, 1 Bissell, 468; 2 Fisher, 523.
- Art, state of. Cawood Patent, 4 Otto, 695; 12 Off. Gaz. 709; Kirby v. Dodge and Stevenson Manufacturing Co., 10 Blatch. 307; 6 Fisher, 156; 3 Off. Gaz. 181; Putnam v. Wetherbee, 1 Holmes, 497; 8 Off. Gaz. 320; Reckendorfer v. Faber, 12 Blatch. 68; 5 Off. Gaz. 697; Whitney v. Mowry, 2 Bond, 45; 3 Fisher, 157.

Art, state of — continued.

New process, new product. Cahill v. Beckford, 1 Holmes, 48.

Burden of proof. Coffin v. Ogden, 18 Wall. 120; Parker v. Remhof, 14 Off. Gaz. 601; Putnam v. Yerrington, 9 Off. Gaz. 689.

Change.

Mechanical. Fuller v. Goodrich, 6 Bissell, 203.

Of material. Hicks v. Kelsey, 18 Wall. 670; 5 Off. Gaz. 94; Holbrook v. Small, 10 Off. Gaz. 508; Hotchkiss v. Greenwood, 11 How. 248.

Material and form. Isaacs v. Abrams, 14 Off. Gaz. 861.

Of location. Kirby v. Beardsley, 5 Blatch. 438; 3 Fisher, 265.

Colorable. Middletown Tool Co. v. Judd, 3 Fisher, 141.

Of form. Milligan and Higgins Glue Co. v. Upton, 7 Otto, 3.

Structural. Zane v. Peck, 12 Off. Gaz. 518.

Cheapness as evidence. Forbush v. Cook, 2 Fisher, 668.

Claims, too big. Cross v. Huntly, 13 Wend. (N. Y.) 385.

Completed inventions, rejected applications not evidence. Howes v. McNeal, 15 Off. Gaz. 608.

Convenience, greater. Milligan and Higgins Glue Co. v. Upton, 6 Off. Gaz. 837.

New effect as evidence. Forbush v. Cook, 2 Fisher, 668.

Examiner's adverse report on extension. McMahon v. Tyng, 14 Allen (Mass.), 167.

False suggestions. Hoffman v. Aronson, 8 Blatch. 324; 4 Fisher, 456.

Five presumptions of novelty. Hussey v. Whitely, 1 Bond, 407; 2 Fisher, 120.

Foreign patents. Union Sugar Refinery v. Matthieson, 3 Cliff. 639; 2 Fisher, 600.

Inventive skill. Clark Patent, &c. Co. v. Copeland, 2 Fisher, 221. Old machine with new element. Rheem v. Holliday, 16 Penn. St. 347.

Old thing to new use. Smith v. Elliott, 9 Blatch. 400; 5 Fisher, 315; 1 Off. Gaz. 331; Strong v. Noble, 6 Blatch. 477; 3 Fisher, 586.

- By special plea, if plea is stricken out, no evidence admitted. Foote v. Silsby, 1 Blatch. 445.
- Prior use. Dalton v. Jennings, 3 Otto, 271; 11 Off. Gaz. 111; Smith v. Nichols, 21 Wall. 112.
- Private use not known to public. Haselden v. Ogden, 3 Fisher, 378.
- Sale, two years. Smith v. O'Connor, 2 Sawyer, 461; 6 Fisher, 469; 4 Off. Gaz. 633.
- Must be specially set up. Pitts v. Edmonds, 1 Bissell, 168; 2 Fisher, 52.
- Last step being new makes all new. Klein v. Park, &c. Co., 13 Off. Gaz. 5.
- "Substantially the same." Adams v. Edwards, 1 Fisher, 1.
- Utility. Blake v. Robertson, 4 Otto, 728; 11 Off. Gaz. 877.
- Greater utility. Smith v. Nichols, 1 Holmes, 172; 6 Fisher, 61; 2 Off. Gaz. 649; Stillwell, &c. Co. v. Cincinnati Co., 7 Off. Gaz. 829.

(See Injunction; Note; Reissue.)

WAR.

(See Abandonment.)

WARRANTY.

(See Contract; Jurisdiction; Note; Sale.)

WASTE.

(See Injunction.)

WITNESSES.

- Credibility, how tested. Odiorne v. Winkley, 2 Gall. 51; 1 Robb, 52; Teese v. Huntingdon, 23 How. 2.
- Interested. Evans v. Eaton, 7 Wheat. 356; 1 Robb, 336;
 Evans v. Hettick, 7 Wheat. 453; 1 Robb, 417; Howe v.
 Underwood, 1 Fisher, 160.
- Want of notice of, must be objected to at time. Roemer v. Simon, 5 Off. Gaz. 555.
- Patent Office clerk as witness. Sone v. Palmer, 28 Mo. 539.

Presumed to speak the truth. Union Sugar Refinery v. Matthieson, 3 Cliff. 639; 2 Fisher, 600.

Proper questions to. Philadelphia and Trenton Railroad v. Stimpson, 14 Peters, 448; 2 Robb, 46.

Single witness against patentee and his presumption. Woodworth v. Sherman, 3 Story, 171; 2 Robb, 257.

(See Assignment; Costs; Evidence; Injunction; Parties.)



PART III.

LIST OF PATENTS ON WHICH SUIT HAS BEEN BROUGHT, AS SHOWN IN LIST I.



PART III.

LIST OF PATENTS ON WHICH SUIT HAS BEEN BROUGHT, AS SHOWN IN LIST I.

A.

Acid. Attorney-General v. Rumford Chemical Works; Oliver v. Morgan.

Advertising apparatus. Gould, H. W., Ex parte.

Ale. Hammer v. Barnes.

Alizarine. Badische Anilin, &c. Co. v. Hamilton Manufacturing Co.; Same v. Higgins.

Alkalies, caustic. Pennsylvania Salt Co. v. Thomas; Thompson v. Mendelsohn.

Amalgamator. Birdsell v. Coolidge; Brodie v. Ophir Silver, &c. Co.; Coolidge v. McCone.

Ambrotype. Tomlinson v. Battel.

Anvil. Cawood Patent.

Apple-parer. Sargent v. Carter; Same v. Larned; Same v. Seagrave.

Artificial gums, &c. Celluloid Manufacturing Co. v. Goodyear Dental Vulcanite Co. v. Benjamin; Same v. Davis; Same v. Flagg; Same v. Gardner; Same v. Ireland; Same v. Osgood; Same v. Perry; Same v. Preterre; Same v. Root; Same v. Sehmerhorn; Same v. Smith; Same v. Van Antwerp; Same v. Wetherbee; Same v. Willis; Knowles v. Peck; Sullings v. Goodyear Dental Vulcanite Co.; Smith v. Goodyear Dental Vulcanite Co.

Augers. Bruff v. Ives; De Witt v. Elmira Nobles, &c. Co.

Axles, self-lubricating. Jones v. Field.

Axle-boxes for railways. Lightner v. Boston and Albany Railroad; Same v. Brooks; Same v. Kimball.

В.

Bags, travelling. Roemer v. Simon.

Bag-ties. Foote v. Frost.

Baker, double reflecting. Dobson v. Campbell.

Balance. Vaughan v. East Tennessee, &c. Railroad; Same v. Potter.

Bale-ties. American Cotton Tie Co. v. Simons; Cook v. Ernest; Johnson v. Beard; Same v. Fassman; McComb v. Beard; Same v. Brodie; Same v. Ernest.

Bank-notes. Kneass v. Schuylkill Bank.

Bark. Bridge v. Brown.

Bark-mill. Wilbur v. Beecher.

Barrels. Reed v. Reed.

Base-ball covering. Mahn v. Harwood.

Baths, electric. House v. Young.

Baths, shower. Larabee v. Cortland.

Bedstead. Boyd v. Brown; Same v. McAlpin; Herbert v. Adams.

Bed-castors. Blake v. Sperry.

Bedstead-fastenings. Haven v. Brown.

Beer-coolers. Turrell v. Cammerrer.

Bilge-levers. Thomas v. Weeks.

Billiard cushions. Collender v. Bailey; Same v. Came; Same v. Griffeth; Decker v. Griffeth; Same v. Grote; Same v. New York Belting, &c. Co.; Same v. Silverbrandt.

Biscuit-finisher. Bell v. Bonney; Treadwell v. Bladen; Watson v. Bladen.

Blocks, spelling. Draper v. Hudson; Hill v. Houghton.

Blower, rotary. Hyndman v. Roots; Roots v. Hyndman.

Bobbins. Draper v. Potomska Mills; Pearl v. Ocean Mills.

Boilers, steam. Bell v. Daniels; Same v. McCulloch; Bellas v. Hays; Rice v. Heald.

Boilers steam, cover for. United States and Foreign Salamander Felting Co. v. Haven; Same v. Asbestos Felting Co.; Same v. Lawrence Manufacturing Co.; Same v. Merrimack Manufacturing Co.

Bolts. Clark v. Kennedy Manufacturing Co.; Stanley Works v. Sargent & Co.

Bomb-shells. Hubbell v. United States.

Bonnets. Doubleday v. Bracheo; Same v. Sherman; Kidd v. Spence.

Boom-spring traveller. Kempton v. Bray.

Boots and shoes, Stevens v. Pritchard; Bedford v. Hunt; United States v. Thacher.

Boots and shoes, rubber. Cohn v. National Rubber Co.

Boot and shoe tips. American Shoe Tip Co. v. National Shoe Toe Protector Co.

Boot-trees. Eames v. Cook; Same v. Godfrey; Godfrey v. Eames; Howe v. Newton.

Boring-machine. Farrington v. Gregory; Same v. Water Commissioners.

Bottle-stopper. Putnam v. Hickey; Same v. Wetherbee; Same v. Yerrington.

Box-fastenings. Parker v. Remhof.

Box-machinery. Roberts v. Ward.

Bracelets. Barclay v. Thayer.

Brakes, air. Westinghouse v. Gardner and Ransom Air Brake Co.

Brakes, car. Chicago and Northwestern Railroad v. Sayles;
Dunham v. Indiana and St. Louis Railroad; Emigh v.
Chamberlain; Same v. Railroad Co.; Hendrie v. Sayles;
Hodge v. Hudson River Railroad; Same v. Iron Mountain
Railroad; Same v. New York and Harlem Railroad; Same
v. North Missouri Railroad; Robinson v. Hodge; Sayles v.
Chicago and Northwestern Railroad; Vaughan v. Central
Pacific Railroad; Same v. Railroad Co.; Wood v. Railroad

Brakes, horse-car. Mowry v. Grand Street and Newtown Railroad.

Bran-duster. Carr v. Rice.

Bricks. Chambers v. Smith; Wood v. Underhill.

Brick-press. Hall v. Wiles.

Bridges. Dubois v. Philadelphia and Wilmington Railroad Co.; Keystone Bridge Co. v. Phœnix Iron Co.; King v. Hammond; McCay v. Burr; Railroad Co. v. Trimble; Reeves v. Keystone Bridge Co.; Westlake v. Cartter; Whipple v. Hutchinson.

Bristles. Wilkins v. Spafford.

Bronzing iron. Tucker v. Tucker Manufacturing Co.

Brooms. Gillespie v. Cummings; Pitcher v. United States.

Brooms, railroad. Isaacs v. Abrams.

Brush-head. Murphy v. Eastham; Same v. Kissling.

Buckets. Edwards v. Richards.

Buckles. Chase v. Sabin; Sargent Manufacturing Co. v. Woodruff.

Buckle-fastenings. Schuessler v. Davis.

Bungs for casks. Pentlarge v. Beeston.

Bungs, impervious. Geier v. Goetinger.

Burner, coal. Littlefield v. Perry.

Burner, gas. Clough v. Gilbert and Barker Manufacturing Co.

Burner, vapor. Jeffries v. Wiester.

Burnisher. Sweetzer v. Helms.

Bustles, ladies'. West v. Silver Wire, &c. Co.

Buttons. Goodyear v. Matthews; Platt v. United States Patent Button, &c. Co.; Potter v. Thayer.

Buttoner. Brooks v. Morehouse.

Buttonhole machine. Singer Co. v. Union Co.

С.

Cable, submarine. Colgate v. Western Union Telegraph Co.

Camera, plate-holder. Ormsbee v. Wood; Wing v. Richardson; Same v. Schoonmaker; Same v. Warren.

Camera, solar. Woodward v. Diusmore.

Candles. Stainthorp v. Elkinton; Same v. Humiston; Thayer v. Wales.

Cannon. Treadwell v. Parrott.

Cans, tin. Barry v. Everett; Same v. Gugenheim; De Florez v. Raynolds; Serviss v. Stockstill.

Capstans. McMillin v. Barclay.

Cars, hand. Brown v. Hinkley.

Cars, railroad. Cooper v. Mattheys; Finch v. Rikeman; Imlay v. Norwich and Worcester Railroad; Knight v. Railroad Co.; Winans v. Boston and Providence Railroad; Same v. Denmead; Same v. Eaton; Same v. New York and Erie Railroad; Same v. New York and Harlem Railroad; Same v. Schenectady and Troy Railroad; York and Maryland Line Railroad v. Winans.

Car-wheels. Many v. Jagger; Same v. Sizer; McMahon v. Tyng; Mowry v. Whitney; Needham v. Mowry; Whitney v. Same.

Car-wheels, horse. Lester v. Palmer.

Carburetting air. Gilbert and Barker Manufacturing Co. v. Bussing; Same v. Tirrell; Same v. Walworth Manufacturing Co.

Carding-engines. Dyson v. Danforth.

Carding-machine. Union Manufacturing Co. v. Lounsbury; Whittemore v. Cutter.

Carpenters' squares. Hart, &c. Manufacturing Co. v. Sargent & Co.

Carpet lining. Chipman v. Wentworth; Fales v. Wentworth.

Carpets, making. Thompson v. Haight.

Carriages. Comstock v. Sandusky Seat Co.; Richardson v. Noyes; Rubber Step, &c. Co. v. Noyes; Wood v. Wells.

Carriage-wheels. Sarven v. Hall.

Cartridge-heads. Union Metallic Cartridge Co. v. United States Cartridge Co.

Cement. Darst v. Brockway.

Chains, stretching. Hall v. Bird.

Cheese-press. Boomer v. United Power Press Co.

Child's toy. Gong Bell Manufacturing Co. v. Clark; New York Rubber Co. v. Chaskel.

Churn. Dunbar v. Marden; Rose v. Hurley.

Cider-mill. Head v. Stevens; Stevens v. Head.

Clapboards. Eastman v. Bodfish.

Cloth-felting machine. Peabody v. Norfolk; Union Manufacturing Co. v. Lounsbury.

Clover-machine. Birdsell v. Ashland, &c. Co.; Same v. Hagerstown Agricultural Implement, &c. Co.; Same v. McDonald; Same v. Perego; Perrigo v. Spaulding; Wood v. Williams.

Coal-screener. Batten v. Kear; Same v. Silliman; Battin v. Taggert; Heilner v. Batten.

Cock, steam-gauge. Dalton v. Nelson.

Coffins. Forbes v. Barstow Stove Co.

Coffin-lids. Adams v. Burke.

Combs. Bull v. Pratt; India-rubber Co. v. Phelps; Tryon v. White.

Cooling metal. Herring v. Gage; Same v. Nelson.

Corned beef. Wilson Packing Co. v. Clapp.

Corn-sheller. Adams v. Joliet Manufacturing Co.; Burrall v. Rumsey; McDowell v. Meredith.

Corsets. Cohn v. United States Corset Co.; Foy v. Hunter; Moody v. Taber; Thompson v. Jacobs.

Corset-clasp. Seligman v. Day.

Corset-springs. Barnes v. Straus.

Corset steel. Egbert v. Lippman.

Cotton-cleaner. Hayden v. Suffolk Manufacturing Co.; Nesmith v. Calvert; Suffolk Manufacturing Co. v. Hayden.

Cotton-gin. Carver v. Braintree Manufacturing Co.; Carver v. Hyde; Ely v. Monson; Kinsman v. Parkhurst; Morris v. Lowell Manufacturing Co.; Parkhurst v. Kinsman; Whipple v. Baldwin Manufacturing Co.; Same v. Middlesex Co.

Cotton-opener. Whitehead v. Kitson.

Cotton-preparing. Langdon v. De Groot.

Cotton-press. Tyler v. Hyde; Wicks v. Stevens.

Cotton-speeder. Boston Manufacturing Co. v. Fiske; Davoll v. Brown; Moody v. Fiske.

Crucibles. Pickering v. McCulloch; Same v. Phillips; Storrs v. Howe.

Cultivators (see Harvesters). Calkins v. Bertrand; Couklin v. Stafford; Dennis v. Eddy; Eddy v. Dennis; Marsh v. Commissioner of Patents; Same v. Sayles; Sayles v. Hapgood; State v. Peck; Tracy v. Torrey; Turnbull v. Weir Plow Co.

Curves, turning. Philadelphia and Trenton Railroad v. Stimpson; Stimpson v. Baltimore and Susquehanna Railroad; Same v. The Railroads; Same v. West Chester Railroad.

Cuspadores. Ingersoll v. Benham; Same v. Musgrove; Same v. Turner.

Cutlery. Russell v. Lathrop.

Cutlery polisher. Armstrong v. Hanlenbeck.

D.

Dam, adjustable. Cammeyer v. Newton.

Deflector, dust. Cook v. Howard.

Dental plates. Smith v. McClelland.

Door-fastenings. Kittle v. Merriam.

Door-plates. Loudon v. Birt.

Dredge. Morris v. Shelburne.

Dredging-boats. Brady v. Atlantic Works.

Drilling-machine. Bates v. Coe.

Drills, grain. Ingels v. Mast; Moore v. Marsh.

Drills, rock. American Diamond Rock Boring Co. v. Sullivan Co.; Burleigh Rock Drill Co. v. Lobdell.

Drills, twist. Morse Twist Drill Co. v. Morse.

Drills, well. Rouse v. Fletcher.

Drill, wheat. Newell v. Gatling.

Drive-well. Craig v. Smith; Ferree v. Smith.

Dualin. Powder Co. v. Burkhardt.

Dyes, &c. Badische Anilin, &c. v. Higgins.

Dyeing cloth. Barrett v. Hall; Stearns v. Barrett.

E.

Egg-beater. Munroe v. Dover Stamping Co.

Egg-transporter. McKay v. Wooster.

Elastic fabrics. Smith v. Elliott; Same v. Glendale Fabric Co.

Elevator, hay. Bennet v. Fowler; Elkins v. Kenyon; Nellis v. McLanahan.

Engine, fire. City of New York v. Ransom; Park v. Little; Ransom v. City of New York.

Engines, steam. Blandy v. Griffeth; Butch v. Boyer; Clark Patent, &c. Co. v. Copeland; Corliss v. Wheeler and Wilson Manufacturing Co.; Emerson v. Hogg; Gould v. Rees; Hogg v. Emerson; Packet Co. v. Sickels; Sullivan v. Redfield.

Exercise apparatus. Taylor v. Weed.

Explosives. Atlantic Giant Powder Co. v. California Powder Works; Same v. Goodyear; Same v. Mowbray; Same v. Townsend.

Extinguisher, fire. Northwestern Fire Extinguisher Co. v. Philadelphia Fire Extinguisher Co.

F.

Fanning-mill. Johnson v. McCabe; Scott v. Sweet.

Fats, purifying. Mitchell v. Tilghman; Tilghman v. Mitchell; Same v. Werk.

Faucet, self-closing. Zane v. Peck.

Feathers, renovating. Mulliken v. Latchem.

Felting-machine. Asbestos Felting Co. v. United States and Foreign Salamander Felting Co.

Fences, wire. New York Wire Rail Co. v. Walker.

Filters. Hall v. Orvis; Stillwell, &c. Co. v. Cincinnati, &c. Co.

Filter, well. Tillotson v. Munson.

Fire-arms. Allen v. Blunt; Same v. Sprague; Berdan Fire-arm, &c. Co. v. Remington; Colt v. Massachusetts Arms Co.; Same v. Young; Henry v. Providence Tool Co.; Pettibone v. Derringer; Remington v. Allen; Renwick v. Cooper; Same v. Pond; Roberts v. Schuyler; Shaw v. Cooper, Smith v. Allen; Same v. Rifle Co.; United States Rifle, &c. Co. v. Whitney Arms Co.; White v. Allen; Same v. Boker.

Fireplaces. Dodge v. Card.

Flax-dressing. Dickinson v. Hall.

Flour-process. American Middlings Purifier Co. v. Atlantic Middlings Co.; Same v. Christian; Cochrane v. Deener.

Flour-machine. Evans v. Chambers; Same v. Eaton; Same v. Hettick; Same v. Jordan; Same v. Kremer; Same v. Robinson; Same v. Weiss.

Flour-separator. Swift v. Whisen.

Fluting-machine. Cole v. Kennedy; King v. Mandelbaum; Same v. Werner; Knox v. Loweree; Kursheedt v. Werner; Werner v. King.

Forge-hammer. Geiger v. Cook.

Frescoing. Bliss v. Negus.

Fruit-house. Chicago Fruit House Co. v. Busch.

Fruit-jar. Consolidated Fruit Jar Co. v. Whitney; Same v. Wright; Houghton v. Rowley; McCully v. Cunningham; Watson v. Cunningham.

Fuel, economizing. Detwold v. Reeves.

Furnace, hot-air. Bantz v. Elsas; Black v. Hubbard; Same v. Munson; Same v. Thorne; Same v. Wells; Burus v. Barnes; Burrows v. Lehigh Zinc Co.; Collins v. Peebles; Knox v. Great Western Quicksilver Mining Co.; Liddle v. Cory; Thatcher Heating Co. v. Carbon Stove Co.

Furnace, metallurgic. Bevin v. East Hampton Bell Co.

Furnace, puddling. Pennock v. Beale.

G.

Gaffs for sails. Brown v. Duchesne.

Gage lathe. American Whip Co. v. Lombard.

Gang-plow. Carter v. Baker; Same v. Rice.

Gas-machine. McDougall v. Fogg.

Gas-stove. First National Bank v. Peck.

Gates, farm. Wright v. Glick; Same v. Hickey; Same v. Mc-Millan; Same v. Smithpeter.

Gauge, steam. United States Gauge Co. v. American Gauge Co.

Glass-cutter. Monce v. Adams.

Glue. Milligan and Higgins Glue Co. v. Upton.

Gold-plating. Shaw and Wilcox Co. v. Lovejoy.

Grain-dryer. Sykes v. Manhattan Elevator and Grain Drying Co.

Grain-grinder. Smith v. Pearce.

Grain-screener. Hess v. Young.

Grain-separators. Booth v. Parks; Howes v. McNeal; Moffitt v. Gaar; Schwarzel v. Holenshade.

Grate-bars. Harlow v. Putnam. Grating, wire. Chase v. Walker. Grist-mill. Kernodle v. Hunt; Tyler v. Tuel.

H.

Halter-rings. Chase v. Wesson.

Hams, putting up. Billings v. Ames.

Hand-stamp. Robertson v. Garrett; Same v. Hill; Same v. Secombe Manufacturing Co.

Harness, saddle. North v. Kershaw; Williams v. Hicks.

Harness, saddle-pad. American Saddle Co. v. Hogg; Kendall v. Winsor.

Harness-trimmings. Albright v. Celluloid Harness Trimming Co.

Harrow. Rowe v. Blanchard.

Harvester (see Cultivator). Aultman v. Holley; Dorsey Revolving Harvester Rake Co. v. Bradley Manufacturing Co.;
Same v. Marsh; Graham v. Gammon; Ketchum, &c. Co. v. Johnston, &c. Co.; Kirby v. Beardsley; Same v. Dodge and Stevenson Manufacturing Co.; Mann v. Bayliss; Morse v. Davis; Read v. Miller; Rice v. Garnhart; Seymour v. Marsh.

Harvester (see Mower and Reaper). Seymour v. Osborne; Wheeler v. McCormick; Whitely v. Kirby; Same v. Swayne.

Hats. Baldwin v. Bernhard; Same v. Schultz; Burr v. Cowperthwait; Same v. Duryee; Eickermeyer, &c. Co. v. Pearce;
Gill v. Wells; Gorham v. Mixter; Hawley v. Mitchell;
Mallory v. Rahmer; Same v. White; Mitchell v. Hawley;
Nichols v. Pearce; Sanford v. Merrimack Hat Co.; Wells v.
Gill; Same v. Hagaman; Same v. Jacques; Same v. Yates.

Hay-cutter. Stevens v. Pierpont.

Heddles. Emmons v. Sladdin.

Hoisting-apparatus. Reedy v. Scott; Tufts v. Boston Machine Co.

Hook, self-mousing. Middletown Tool Co. v. Judd.

Horse-collars. Cowan v. Dodd; Same v. Mitchell.

Horse-power. Gray v. Hulshizer; Pitts v. Hall.

Horse-shoe. Burden v. Corning; Foss v. Richardson.

Hose-couplings. Bliss v. City of Brooklyn; Same v. Gaylord Manufacturing Co.; Same v. Haight.

Hotel-register. Hawes v. Antisdel; Same v. Cook; Same v. Gage; Same v. Washburne.

Houses, warming. Gold v. Ives.

Hydrants. Meyer v. Bailey.

I.

Ice-cutter. Wyeth v. Stone.

India-rubber. Ayling v. Hall; Carew v. Boston Elastic Fabric Co.; Chaffee v. Boston Belting Co.; Same v. Hayward; Day v. Boston Belting Co.; Same v. Candee; Same v. Cary; Same v. Hartshorn; Same v. Newark India-rubber Co.; Same v. New England Car Spring Co.; Same v. Stellman; Same v. Union India-rubber Co.; Elastic Fabric Co. v. Rubber Thread Co.; Goodyear v. Allen; Same v. Berry; Same v. Beverly Rubber Co.; Same v. Bishop; Same v. Bourn; Same v. Cary; Same v. Chaffee; Same v. Congress Rubber Co.; Same v. Day; Same v. Dunbar; Same v. Evans; Same v. Hills; Same v. Housinger; Same v. Hullihen; Same v. Lunsford; Same v. McBarney; Same v. Mullee; Same v. New Jersey Central Railroad; Same v. New York Gutta-percha Co.; Same v. Phelps; Same v. Providence Rubber Co.; Same v. Rust: Same v. Toby; Same v. Union Rubber Co.; Same v. Wait; Same v. Wingerter; Gutta-percha Co. v. Goodyear Rubber Co.; Hartshorn v. Day; McBurney v. Goodyear; Metropolitan Washing Co. v. Earle; Poppenhusen v. Falke; Same v. New York Guttapercha Co.; Suydam v. Day.

India silks, staining. McGaw v. Bryam. Inkstands. Philp v. Nock. Iron-rounder. Reutgen v. Kanowrs. Iron, working. Page v. Dickerson.

J.

Jelly-glass. Atterbury v. Gill. Joints, steam-tight. Poillon v. Schmidt.

K.

Kettles, brass. Phelps v. Brown; Waterbury Brass Co. v. Miller; Same v. New York, &c. Co.

Key-coupling instruments. Berger v. Peterson.

Knitting-machine. Tompkins v. Gage.

Knitting-needles. Aiken v. Dolan; Same v. Manchester Print Works; Sands v. Wardwell.

Knobs, door. Hotchkiss v. Greenwood; Whitney v. Emmett.

L.

Lampblack. Child v. Adams.

Lamps. Ashcroft v. Hollings; Bierce v. Stocking; Carlton v. Bokee; Hardesty v. Smith; Irwin v. Dane; Jones v. Vankirk; Kerosene, &c. Co. v. Littell; Merrill, Rufus S.; Miller & Co. v. Bridgeport Brass Co.; Nichols v. Newell; Wallace v. Holmes.

Lamps, locomotive. Williams v. Rome, Watertown, and Ogdensburg Railroad.

Lanterns. Dane v. Chicago Manufacturing Co.; Same v. Illi nois Manufacturing Co.; Dennis v. Cross; Sangster v. Miller.

Last, shoe. Mabie v. Haskell.

Lath-machine. Moore v. Bare.

Lathe, irregular forms. Blanchard v. Beers; Same v. Haynes; Same v. Reeves; Same v. Sprague; Same v. Whitney; Blanchard Gunstock Co. v. Jacobs; Same v. Warner; Gear v. Grosvenor; Same v. Holmes; Spring v. Howard; Same v. Packard; Whitney v. Rollstone, &c. Works.

Leather. American Hide and Leather Splitting, &c. Co. v. American Tool, &c. Co.; Cahill v. Beckford; Same v. Brown; Davis v. Bell; Klein v. Russell.

Leather, crimping. Platt, Ex parte; Russell v. Dodge; Same v. Place; Stimpson v. Woodman; Woodcock v. Parker; Woodman v. Stimpson.

Lever, compound. Gnyon v. Serrell.

Lime, burning. Hill v. Thuermer.

Lime-kilns. Shelly v. Brannan.

Lister. Green v. Willard Improved Barrel Co.

Locks, door. Adams v. Jones; Ball v. Murry; Coffin v. Ogden.
Locks, sash. Groff v. Hansel; Hopkins, &c. Co. v. Corbin;
Jones v. Morchead; Livingston v. Jones; Morehead v.
Jones; Norwalk Lock Co. v. Berger; Russell and Erwin Manufacturing Co. v. Mallory; Same v. Manufacturing Co.;
Yale, &c. Co. v. North.

Looms. Carstaedt v. United States Corset Co.; Crompton v.
Belknap Mills; Dyer v. Rich; Fitz v. Corney; Forbush v. Bradford; Same v. Cook; Graham v. Mason; Lane v.
Smith; Lowell Manufacturing Co. v. Hartford Co.; Pierce v. Wilson; Stone v. Sprague; Taylor v. Collins; Webster v. New Brunswick Carpet Co.; Wright v. Wilson.

Lottery drawing. Vannini v. Paine.

Lubricators. Garratt v. Seibert; Pelton v. Waters; Seibert v. Garratt.

M.

Martingale rings. Rubber Coated, &c. Co. v. Welling; Welling v. Rubber Coated, &c. Co.

Mat, rubber. Brown v. Rubber Step, &c. Co.

Matches. Brooks v. Byam; Byam v. Bullard; Same v. Eddy; Same v. Farr; Ryan v. Goodwin.

Mattress. Howe v. Abbott; Kittle v. Frost; Muscan Hair Manufacturing Co. v. American Hair Manufacturing Co.

Meats, curing. Pike v. Potter.

Medicine. Brown v. Wright; Jordan v. Dayton; Smith v. Tracy; Thompson v. Staats.

Metallic plates. Allen v. Hunter.

Meters. Nusbaum v. Emery.

Millstone. Gilmore v. Aiken.

Millstones, balancing. Wise v. Allis.

Mineral waters. Bowker v. Dows.

Mining apparatus. Fisher v. Craig.

Mirror, hand. Clark v. Scott; Florence Manufacturing Co. v. Boston Diatite Co.

Miter-machine. La Baw v. Hawkins.

Mocassin pac. Kelleher v. Darling.

Moldings. Serrell v. Collins.

Molds, eigar. Miller, &c. Co. v. Du Brul.

Molds. Smith v. Marshall.

Mop-head. Garretson v. Clark; Taylor v. Garretson.

Mortising-machine. Smith v. Fay & Co.

Mower (see Cultivator, &c.). Commissioner of Patents v. Whitely.

Mower (see Reaper, &c.). Clough v. Patrick; Jackson v. Breck; Read v. Bowman; Saxton v. Dodge; Sprague v. Adriance; Walter A. Wood, &c. Co. v. Caldwell.

N.

Nail-machine. Corning v. Burden; Gray v. James; Odiorne v. Amesbury Nail Factory; Odiorne v. Winkley; Sawin v. Guild; Troy Iron and Nail Factory v. Corning; Same v. Odiorne; Same v. Winslow; West v. Morrison.

Nail-pullers. Maltby v. Bobo.

Navigation. Livingston v. Van Ingen.

Necklaces. Mulford v. Pearce.

Nets, hair. Dalton v. Jennings.

Nickel-plating. Hawkes v. Remington; United Nickel Co. v. Authes; Same v. Keith.

Nuts. Wood v. Cleveland, &c. Co.; Same v. Union Iron Works.

0.

Oil. Patterson v. Commonwealth; Tyler v. Boston.

Oil, hydrocarbon. Merrill v. Yeomans.

Oil, purifying. National Filtering Oil Co. v. Arctic Oil Co.

Oil, sperm. Thomas v. Quintard.

Oil-wells. Roberts v. Dickey; Same v. Roter.

Operator, self. Avery v. Bushnell.

Oven, rotary. Vale v. Butler.

Ovens. Ball v. Bailie; Same v. Withington.

Overshoes. Meyer v. Pritchard.

Ρ.

Packing for boxes. Tuck v. Bramhill.

Packing, elastic. Jenkins v. Johnson; Same v. Walker.

Packing, metallic. Matthews v. Skates.

Paint-cans. Brown v. Hall; Masury v. Anderson; Same v. Tie-mann.

Paint, marine. Tarr v. Folsom; Same v. Webb; Wonson v. Gilman; Same v. Peterson.

Paper-bags. Arkell v. Hurd Paper Bag Co.; Binney v. Annan; Union Paper Bag Machine Co. v. Binney; Same v. Crane; Same v. Murphy; Same v. Newell; Same v. Nixon; Same v. Pultz and Walkley Co.

Paper-collars. Hoffman v. Aronson; Same v. Stiefel; Merserole v. Union Paper Collar Co.; Snow v. Tapley; Same v. Taylor; Union Paper Collar Co. v. Leland; Same v. Van Deusen; Same v. White.

Paper-folding. Appleton v. Bacon.

Paper-holders. Smith v. Woodruff.

Paper-machine. Ames v. Howard.

Paper-making. Middlebrook v. Broadbent.

Paste, flour. Woodward v. Morrison.

"Patent." Stimpson v. Pond; United States v. Morris.

Pavement, concrete. Jenkins v. Abbotts; Schillinger v. Gunther.

Pavement, stone. Guidet v. Barber; Same v. Palmer.

Pavement, wooden. American Nicolson Pavement Co. v. City of Elizabeth; Same v. Hatch; Same v. Jenkins; Bigelow v. City of Louisville; Dane v. Charlton; Greaton v. Griffin; Jenkins v. Nicolson Pavement Co.

Pegging-machine. Baldwin v. Sibley.

Pegging shoes. Gallahue v. Butterfield.

Pen, fountain. Morse Fountain Pen Co. v. Esterbrook Co.

Pen, gold. Rapp v. Bard.

Peneil. Reckendorfer v. Faber.

Pencil-heads. Ashcroft v. Cutter; Hovey v. Rubber Tip Pencil Co.; Rubber Tip Pencil Co. v. Howard

Perforating-machine. Tappan v. National Bank Note Co.

Petroleum. Densmore v. Schofield; Slemmer's Appeal.

Picks. Klein v. Park & Co.

Pin-machine. American Pin Co. v. Oakville Co.

Pipe-tongs. Ashcroft v. Walworth.

Pipes, lead. Gay v. Cornell; Tatham v. Leroy; Same v. Loring; Same v. Lowber.

Pipes, stove. Hoeltze v. Hoeller.

Pitching barrels. Gottfried v. Bartholomae.

Planes, bench. Stanley Rule, &c. Co. v. Bailey.

Planes, circular. Isaacs v. Cooper.

Planing-machine. Baker v. Mason; Barnard v. Gibson; Bicknell v. Todd; Bloomer v. Gilpin; Same v. McQuewan; Same v. Millinger; Same v. Stolly; Brooks v. Bicknell; Same v. Fiske; Same v. Jenkins; Same v. Norcross; Same v. Stolly; Brown v. Shannon; Dean v. Mason; Foss v. Herbert; Gibson v. Bernard; Same v. Betts; Same v. Cook; Same v. Gifford; Same v. Harris; Same v. Van-Desar: Same v. Woodworth; Jenkins v. Greenwald; Lippincott v. Kelly; Livingston v. Woodworth: Motte v. Bennett; Olcott v. Hawkins; Pitts v. Edmonds; Rich v. Atwater; Ritter v. Serrell; Simpson v. Wilson; Sloat v. Patton; Smith v. Patton; Stover v. Halsted; Van Hook v. Pendleton; Washburn v. Gould; Wilson v. Barnum; Same v. Rousseau; Same v. Sandford; Same v. Sherman; Same v. Simpson; Same v. Stolly; Same v. Turner; Woodworth v. Cheever: Same v. Cook: Same v. Curtis; Same v. Edwards; Same v. Hall; Same v. Rogers; Same v. Sherman; Same v. Stone; Same v. Weed; Same v. Wilson.

Plank-protector. Mellus v. Silsbee.

Plow. Hall v. Speer.

Plow, drain. Cox v. Griggs; Davis v. McCormick; Hays v. Sulsor; Ogle v. Ege; Prouty v. Draper; Same v. Ruggles.

Plow, mold-board. Davis v. Palmer; Dennis v. Eddy.

Polisher, cylinder. Cowing v. Rumsey.

Powders, putting up. Sawyer v. Bixby.

"Power." Carl v. Morey.

Preserving corn. Jones v. Burnham; Same v. Hodges; Same v. McMurry; Same v. Merrill; Same v. Noyes; Same v. Ostrander; Same v. Sewall; Sewall v. Jones.

Preserving fish. Brown v. Piper; Piper v. Brown; Same v. Moon.

Press, baling. King v. Louisville Cement Co.

Printing-bed. Clark v. Bousfield.

Printing-galleys. Hoe & Co. v. Cole & Co.

Printing-machine. Bullock Printing Press Co. v. Jones.

Printing-press. Boston and Fair Haven Iron Works v. Montague; Child v. Boston and Fair Haven Iron Works; Hill v. Whitcomb; Montague v. Boston and Fair Haven Iron Works.

Prisons and jails. Jacobs v. Baker; Same v. Commissioners of Hamilton County.

Pulley-blocks. Weston v. White.

Pulp, wood. American Wood Paper Co. v. Fibre, &c. Co.; Same v. Glen Falls Co.; Same v. Heft; Anthony v. Carroll; Buchanan v. Howland; Miller v. Androscoggin Pulp Co.; Wood Paper Patent.

Pumps, chain. Barker v. Stowe.

Pumps, force. McClure v. Jeffrey.

Pumps, gas. Gould's Manufacturing Co. v. Cowing.

Pumps, oil. Shoup v. Henrici.

Pumps, steam. Haselden v. Ogden; Lowell v. Lewis; Reed v. Cutter; Scaife v. Fulton's Sons & Co.; Same v. Sheriffs.

Punching metal. Sturges v. Van Hagen.

Purifying middlings. Clark v. Smith.

Purifying spirits. Holden v. Curtis.

R.

Railroad bars. Turrill v. Illinois Central Railroad.

Rake, hay. Brown v. Whittemore; Joliffe v. Collins.

Rake, horse. Hoffheims v. Brandt.

Reaper (see Cultivator). Davis & Co. v. Gray; Hussey v. Bradley; Same v. McCormick; Same v. Whitely; Marsh v. Dodge; Same v. Dodge and Stevenson Manufacturing Co.; Same v. McCormick; McCormick v. Many; Same v. Seymour; Same v. Talcott; Nourse v. Allen; Seymour v. McCormick; Wheeler v. Clipper Mower, &c. Co.

Reed instrument. Cahart v. Austin.

Reed organ. Burdett v. Estey.

Reflector, gas. Frink v. Petry.

Refrigerators. Roberts v. Buck; Same v. Harnden; Same v. Ryer.

Register, fare. Yuengling v. Johnson.

Reservoir. Bussey v. Hicks; Same v. Wager.

Rocking-chair. Bean v. Smallwood.

Rollers, chilled. McClurg v. Kingsland.

Rollers, dough. Nevins v. Johnson.

Rollers, ink. Francis v. Mellor.

Rollers, iron. Pennock, J. L.

Roof, composition. Plastic Slate, &c. Co. v. Moore.

Roof, paper. Howard v. Christy.

Ruffles. Elm City Co. v. Wooster; Magic Ruffle Co. v. Douglass; Same v. Elm City Co.; Wooster v. Calhoun.

S.

Saddle. Williams v. Hicks.

Saddle-making. Dixon v. Moyer.

Safes. Adams v. Edwards; Delano v. Scott; Gaylor v. Wilder; Rich v. Lippincott; Wilder v. Adams; Same v. McCormick; Same v. Stearns.

Sails for vessels. Gardiner v. Howe.

Salt, putting up. Pennsylvania Salt Co. v. Gugenheim.

Sand-blast. Tilghman v. Hartell.

Sashes. Elmer v. Pennell.

Sawing-machine. Dunbar v. Myers; Emerson v. Simm; Eunson v. Dodge; Same v. Peddie; Myers v. Dunbar; Same v. Frame; Same v. Swift; Peek v. Frame; Whitney v. Graves.

Saw-mill. Crosby v. Lapouraille; Hamilton v. Ives; Same v. Kingsbury; Ives v. Hamilton; Nye v. Raymond; Page v. Ferry; Phillips v. Page.

Saws. Buss v. Putney.

Saws, circular. Curtis v. Branch; Hoe v. Simpson; Lee v. Blandy; Orr v. Burwell; Spaulding v. Duff; Same v. Page; Tucker v. Spaulding; Wheeler v. Simpson.

Saw-set. Aiken v. Bemis.

Screw. Pierson v. Eagle Screw Co.

Screw-peg. Estabrook v. Dunbar.

Scythe-snaths. Alden v. Dewey.

Seats for circus. Allen v. City of Brooklyn; Jackson v. Allen; Price v. Kelly.

Seed-sower. Brown v. Guild; Same v. Selby; Cahoon v. Ring; Case v. Brown; Cragin v. Fowler; Holbrook v. Matthews; Same v. Small; Moore v. Thomas; Sone v. Palmer; Trader v. Messmore.

Sewing-machine. Bachelder v. Moulton; Bland, Ex parte; Burdell v. Denig; Chabot v. American Button Hole, &c. Co.; Cornely v. Henderickx; Dibble v. Augur; Same v. Sibley; Domestic Sewing Machine Co. v. Hatfield; Ellithorp v. Robertson; Ely v. McKay; Florence Sewing Machine Co. v. Grover and Baker Sewing Machine Co.; Same v. Singer Co.; Fuller v. Goodrich; Same v. Yentzer; Galpin v. Atwater; Grover and Baker Sewing Machine Co. v. Butler; Same v. Sloat; Same v. Williams; Haskell v. Shoe Machine Manufacturing Co.; Howe v. Morton; Same v. Underwood; Same v. Williams; Same v. Wooklredge; Johnson v. Root; Parham v. American Button Hole, &c. Co.; Potter v. Braunsdorf; Same v. Crowell; Same v. Davis Sewing Machine Co.; Same v. Dixon; Same v. Empire Sewing Machine Co.; Same v. Fuller; Same v. Holland; Same v. Mack; Same v. Muller; Same v. Schenck; Same v. Stevens; Same v. Whitney; Same v. Wilson; Sanford v. Messer; Singer v. Braunsdorf; Same v. Walmesley; Vose v. Singer; Wooster v. Sidenberg; Same v. Taylor.

Shade-fixtures. Bray v. Hartshorn; Hartshorn v. Almy; Same v. Shorey; Same v. Tripp; Vetter v. Leutzinger.

Shawl-strap. Crouch v. Roemer; Same v. Speer.

Shears, tailors'. Heinrich v. Luther.

Shearing sheep. Earl v. Harlow.

Shingle-machine. Earl v. Page; Same v. Sawyer; Evarts v. Ford; Peck v. Bacon; Same v. Farrington.

Ships' armor. Heaton v. Quintard; Webb v. Quintard.

Sieve, wire. Adams and Westlake Manufacturing Co. v. St. Louis Wire Goods Co.; Dayton v. Wright.

Silk, sewing. Belding v. Turner.

Sizing-machine. Fuzzard Wadding, &c. Co. v. Dickinson.

Skates. Turrell v. Spaeth.

Skirts, hoop. Doughty v. Day; Same v. West; Same v. West, &c. Co.; United States v. Doughty; Wilcox v. Komp; Woven Tape Skirt Co., In re; Young v. Lippman.

Skirt-protector. McDonald v. Blackmer.

Slate, writing. Street v. Silver.

Sled-runners. Lockwood v. Lockwood.

Sleigh-shafts. Davy v. Morgan.

Sluice-fork. Teese v. Huntingdon.

Smut-mill. Cansler v. Eaton; Knox v. Murtha.

Soap, chemical. Lindsay v. Roraback.

Soap-making. Warren v. Cole.

Soda-powders. Rumford Chemical Works v. Hecker; Same v. Lauer; Same v. Vice.

Soda-water. Bigelow v. Matthews.

Soda-water apparatus. Blaisdell v. Tufts.

Soles for shoes. Adamson v. Dedrick; Foster v. Moore.

Sole-cutter. Weed v. Draper.

Spark-arrester. Pike v. Providence and Worcester Railroad.

Speaking-tube. Woolcocks v. Many.

Spoons and forks. Grosjean v. Peck, Stow, and Wilcox Co.

Springs, car. Goodyear v. New Jersey Central Railroad; National Car Spring Co. v. Union Car Spring Co.

Spring, steel. Midkiff v. Boggess.

Staple, wire. Rogers v. Sargent.

Staves. Brammer v. Jones; May v. Chaffee; Sisson v. Gilbert.

Steamboat. Cutting v. Myers.

Steamboat staging. Converse v. Cannon.

Steam-generator. Bishchoff v. Wethered; Latta v. Shawk.

Steel, tempering. Waterman v. Thompson; Same v. Wallace.

Stone-breaking machine. Blake v. Eagle Works Manufacturing Co.; Same v. Greenwood Cemetery; Same v. Rawson; Same v. Robertson; Same v. Stafford; Robertson v. Blake.

Stone-channelling machine. Steam Cutter Co. v. Sheldon.

Stone-crushing machine. Smith v. Freyer.

Stone-cutting machine. Tilghman v. Morse.

Stone-dressing machine. Gilmore v. Golay; Wheeler v. Billings.

Stoves. Buck v. Cobb; Same v. Gill; Same v. Hermance; Detroit Stove Works v. Michigan Stove Co.; Dudley v. Mayhew; Foote v. Silsby; Gold and Silver Ore, &c. Co. v. United States, &c. Co.; Hailes v. Van Wormer; Hathaway v. Roach; Hawks v. Swett; Henderson v. Cleveland, &c. Co.; Henry v. Francestown Soapstone Stove Co.; Marston v. Swett; Orr v. Badger; Same v. Littlefield; Same v. Merrill; Perry v. Corning; Peterson v. Wooden; Rathbone v. Orr; Reissner v. Anness; Root v. Ball; Ruggles v. Eddy; Silsby v. Foote; Stanley v. Whipple; Stuart v. Shantz; Vance v. Campbell; Wilson v. Janes.

Straw-cutter. Gale v. Nourse; Hovey v. Henry.

Sugar. Hunt v. Hoover; Union Sugar Refinery v. Matthieson; Weston v. Nash.

Surgical operations. Morton v. New York Eye Infirmary.

Suspender ends. Fisk v. Church.

Suspender straps. Greeley, Ex parte.

Suspenders. Cleveland v. Towle; Dawson v. Follen.

Syringe. Morey v. Lockwood; Richardson v. Lockwood.

T.

Table beverages. Rogers v. Ennis.

Tables, extension. Carter v. Messenger; Doherty v. Haynes.

Tables, folding. Munde v. Lambie.

Tailors' pressing-machine. Storrs v. Howe.

Tanning-machine. England v. Thompson.

Tape, arranging. Goff v. Stafford.

Telegraph. Bain v. Morse; Clum v. Brewer; Day v. Bankers, &c. Telegraph Co.; French v. Rogers; Morse v. Bain; Same v. Oreilly; Oreilly v. Morse; Smith v. Clark; Same v. Cumnings; Same v. Downing; Same v. Ely; Same v. Selden; United States Annunciator Co. v. Sanderson; Western Telegraph Co. v. Magnetic Telegraph Co.; Same v. Penniman.

Telephones, pneumatic signal. Gower, Exparte, 15 Off. Gaz. 828. Tent. United States v. Burns.

Thread. Johnson v. Willimantic, &c. Co.

Threshing-machine. Harmon v. Bird; Moffitt v. Gaar; Pitts v. Jameson; Same v. Wemple; Same v. Whitman; Van Ostrand v. Reed.

Timber frame. Hockholzer v. Eager.

Time-detector. Buerk v. Imhauser; Same v. Valentine.

Tobacco. Eppinger v. Richey.

Tobacco, labelled. Lorillard v. McDowell.

Tool-grinder. Hovey v. Stevens.

Tools. Campbell v. Barclay; Miller's Falls Co. v. Ives & Co.

Topsail-yards. Howes v. Nute.

Torpedoes. Roberts v. Reed Torpedo Co.

Tow-boats. Sullivan v. Redfield.

Tremolo attachment for organs. Hitchcock v. Tremaine; Saxe v. Hammond; Tremaine v. Hitchcock.

Trucks, cars. Gregerson v. Imlay; Locomotive Engine Safety Truck Co. v. Erie Railroad; Same v. Pennsylvania Railroad.

Truck-wheels, removing. Simpson v. Mad River Railroad.

Trunks. Gould v. Ballard; Vogler v. Semple.

Truss. Higgins v. Strong.

Tube-machine. LeRoy v. Tatham.

Tubes, flexible. Taylor v. Archer.

Tubes, leather. Pennock v. Dialogue.

Type. Hudson v. Draper.

U.

Umbrella. American Manufacturing Co. v. Lane. Umbrella-case. Odiorne v. Denney.

V.

Valves. Bartholomew v. Sawyer.

Valves for governors. Judson v. Cope; Same v. Moore; Snow v. Judson.

Valves for petroleum. Meissner v. Devoe Manufacturing Co.

Valves for steam-engines. Ashcroft v. Boston and Lowell Railroad; Morris v. Huntington; Pacific Iron Works v. Newhall; Sherman v. Champlain Transportation Co.; Sickels v. Borden; Same v. Evans; Same v. Falls Co.; Same v. Gloucester Manufacturing Co.; Same v. Mitchell; Same v. Tileston; Same v. Young.

Vault-covers. Lake v. Fitzgerald.

Vehicles. Hall v. Jones.

Veneers. Burr v. Gregory.

Ventilating rooms. Lyman Ventilating, &c. Co. v. Chamberlain; Same v. Lalor.

W.

Wadding (see Sizing-Machine). Fuzzard Wadding Co. v. Dickinson.

Wagons. Flood v. Hicks; Halsey v. Garlick; Hicks v. Kelsey; Leonard v. Barnum.

Wagon-wheels. Young v. Hunter.

Wash-board. Wayne v. Holmes; Same v. Winter.

Washing-machine. Cross v. Huntly; Eureka Co. v. Bailey Washing Machine Co.; Osborn v. Herron.

Watch. Jurgensen v. Magnin.

Watch-chain. Keplinger v. De Young.

Water-closet. Earth Closet Co. v. Fenner.

Water-closet pan. Smith v. O'Connor; Same v. Pryor.

Water-supply. Holly v. Union City.

Water-wheel. Black v. Stone; Blakenay v. Goode; Boyce v. Dorr; Case v. Redfield; Holliday v. Rheem; Hotchkiss v. Oliver; Hiatt v. Twomey; Merchant v. Lewis; Parker v. Bamker; Same v. Bigler; Same v. Brandt; Same v. Corbin; Same v. Ferguson; Same v. Hatfield; Same v. Hawk; Same v. Haworth; Same v. Hulme; Same v. Sears; Same v. Stiles; Phillips v. Combstock; Rheem v. Holliday; Rich v. Close; Swain Turbine Co. v. Ladd; Wintermute v. Redington.

Weaver's harness. Kendall v. Winsor; Kendrick v. Emmons. Weaving fabric. Smith v. Nichols.

Wells, making. Andrews v. Carman; Same v. Denslow; Same v. Speer; Same v. Wright.

Well-tubes. Buttrick v. Park; David v. Park.

Whips. Merriam v. Drake; Same v. Van Nest; Searls v. Van Nest: Strong v. Noble.

Wind-wheels. Continental Windmill Co. v. Empire Windmill Co.

Window-screen. Adjustable Window Screen Co. v. Boughton.

Window weather-guards. Wilson v. Marlow.

Winnowing-machine. Burrall v. Jewett; Sanders v. Logan.

Wood-bending machine. Blanchard v. Putnam; Morris v. Barrett; Same v. Royer.

Wood-shaping machine. Hale v. Stimpson.

Wood-splitting machine. Conover v. Dohrman; Same v. Mers; Same v. Rapp; Same v. Roach; Johnson v. McCulloch; Mers v. Conover.

Wool-making. Agawam Co. v. Jordan; Jordan v. Dobson; Same v. Wallace.

Wool-oiling machine. Earl v. Dexter; Harwood v. Mill River Co.

Wrenches. Cornell v. Downer and Bemis Brewing Co.; Same v. Littlejohn; Schumacher v. Cornell.

Wringer, clothes. Bailey Washing, &c. Machine Co. v. Lincoln; Forsyth v. Clapp; Metropolitan Washing, &c. Co. v. Providence Tool Co.; Metropolitan Wringing, &c. Co. v. Young.

Writing-fluid. Stephens v. Felt.

Y.

Yarn, parti-coloring. Smith v. Higgins.

Z_{\cdot}

Zinc. Wetherell v. New Jersey Zinc Co.; Same v. Passaic Zinc Co.

Zinc-white. Jones v. Osgood.

PART IV. LIST OF DEFENDANTS.



PART IV.

LIST OF DEFENDANTS.

Α.

Abbot, Rogers v. Abbott, Howe v. Abbotts, Jenkins v. Abrains, Isaac v. Acton, Bancroft v. Adams, Child v. Herbert v. Monce v. Wilder v. Adriance, Sprague v. Aiken, Gilmore v. Allen, Goodyear v. Jackson v. Nourse v. Remington v. Smith v. White v. Allis, Wise v. Almy, Hartshorn v. American Bush Co., Cornell v. Am. Button Hole, &c. Co., Chabot v. Parham r. Am. Gauge Co., U. S. Gauge Co. v. Am. Hair Mfg. Co., Musean Hair Mfg. Co. v. Am. Tool & Machine Co., Am. H. & L. Sp. Co. v. Ames, Billings v. Amesbury Nail Factory, Odiorne v. Anderson, Masury v. Androscoggin Pulp Co., Miller v. Annan, Binney v. Anness, Reissner v.

Anthes, United Nickel Co. v.

Antisdel, Hawes v.

Archer, Taylor v. Arctic Oil Co., National Filter Oil Co.v. Aronson, Hoffman v. Asbestos Felting Co., United States & F. Sal. F. Co. v. Ashland Machine Co., Birdsell v. Atlantic Middlings Co., Am. Middlings Co. v. Atlantic Works, Brady v. Atwater, Galpin v. Rich v. Atwood, Carleton v. Augur, Dibble v. Aultman, Saxton v. Austin, Cahart v.

В.

Bacon, Appleton v.

Peck v. Badger, Orr v. Bailey, Collender v. Meyer v. Stanley Rule, &c. Co. v. Bailey Washing, &c. Co., Eureka Co. v. Bailie, Ball v. Bain, Morse v. Baker, Carter v. Jacobs v. Baker's Admrs., Smith v. Baldwin Mfg. Co., Whipple v. Ball, Root v. Ballard, Gould v. Baltimore & Ohio R.R., Knight v. Baltimore & Susquehanna R.R., Stimpson v.

Bamker, Parker v. Bankers', &c. Telegraph Co., Day v. Barber, Guidet v. Barclay, Campbell v.

McMillan v.

Bard, Rapp v. Bare, Moore v.

Barnard, Gibson v.

Parker v.

Barnes, Burns v.

Hammer v.
Barney, Woodruff v.

Barnum, Leonard v.

Wilson v.

Barrett, Morris v.

Stearns v.

Barry, Thompson, &c. v. Barstow Stove Co., Forbes v.

Bartholomae, Gottfried v.

Battell, Tomlinson v. Batten, Heilner v.

Bayliss, Mann v.

Bay State Screw Co., Newbury v.

Beale, Pennock v.

Beard, Johnson v.

McComb v.

Beardsley, Kirby v.

Beckford, Cahill v. Beecher, Wilbur v.

Beers, Blanchard v.

Beeston, Pentlarge v.

Belknap Mills, Crompton v.

Bell, Davis v.

Bemis, Aiken v. Benham, Ingersoll v.

Benjamin, Goodyear D. V. Co. v.

Rennett Motte #

Bennett, Motte v. Benson, Gates v.

Berger, Norwalk Lock Co. v.

Bernard, Baldwin v.

Berry, Goodyear v.

Bertrand, Calkins v.

Betts, Gibson v.

Beverly Rubber Co. Go

Beverly Rubber Co., Goodyear v. Bicknell, Brooks v.

Bigler, Parker v.

Billings, Wheeler v.

Binney, Union Paper Bag Co. v.

Bird, Hall v.

Harmon v.
Birt, Loudon v.

Bishop, Goodyear v. Bixby, Sawyer v.

Blackmer, McDonald v. Bladen, Treadwell v.

Watson v.

Blake, Robertson v.

Blanchard, Rowe v. Blandy, Lee v.

Blunt, Allen v.

Bobo, Maltby v.

Bodfish, Eastman v. Boggess, Midkiff v.

Bokee, Carlton v.

Boker, White v.

Bonney, Bell v. Borden, Sickels v.

Boston, Tyler v.

Boston & Albany R.R., Lightner v. Boston Belting Co., Chaffee v.

Day v.

Boston Diatite Co., Florence Mfg. Co. v.

Boston Elastic Fabrics Co., Carew v. Boston & Fair Haven Iron Works, Child v.

Boston & Fair Haven Iron Works, Montague v.

Boston & Lowell R.R., Ashcroft v.

Boston & Providence R.R., Winans v. Boston Machine Co., Tufts v.

Boughton, Adjustable Window Screen Co. v.

Bourne, Goodyear v.

Bousfield, Clark v. Bowman, Read v.

Boyer, Butch v.

Bracheo, Doubleday v.

Bradford, Forbush v.

Bradley, Hussey v.

Bradley Mfg. Co., Dorsey, R. H. R. Co. v.

Braintree Mfg. Co., Carver v.

Brambill, Tuck v.

Branch, Curtis v. Brandt, Hoffheims v.

Brannan, Shelly v.

Brant, Parker v.

Braunsdorf, Potter v.

Singer v.

Bray, Kempton v. Breck, Jackson v.

Brewer, Clum v.
Bridgeport Brass Co., Miller. v.
Broadbent, Middlebrook v.
Brockway, Darst v.
Brodie, McComb v.
Brooklyn, Allen v.
Palmer v.
Brooks, Lightner v.
Brower, State v.
Brown, Boyd v.

Bridge v.
Cahill v.
Case v.
Dayoll v.

Haven v. Phelps v.

Piper v.
Bryan, McGaw v.
Buck, Roberts v.
Bullard, Byam v.

Burden, Corning v. Burke, Adams v.

Boyden v. Burkhardt, Powder Co. v.

Burnham, Jones v.
Burns, United States v.

Burr, McCay v. Burwell, Orr v.

Busch, Chicago Fruit House Co. v. Bushnell, Avery v.

Bussing, Gilbert & B. Mfg. Co. v. Butler, Grover & B. S. M. Co. v. Vale v.

Butterfield, Gallahue v. Byam, Brooks v.

C.

Caldwell, Walter A. Wood & Co. v. Calhoun, Wooster v. California Powder Works, Atlantic Giant P. Co. v. Calvert, Nesmith v. Came, Collender v. Cammerrer, Turrell v. Campbell, Dobson v. Vance v.

Candee, Day v. Cannon, Converse v. Carbon Stove Co., Thatcher Heating Co. v.

Card, Dodge v.
Carman, Andrews v.

Carroll, Anthony v. Carter, Sargent v. Cartter, Westlake v.

Cary, Day v.

Goodyear v.
Celluloid Harness Trimming Co., Albright v.

Central Pac. R.R., Vaughan v.

Chaffee, Goodyear v.
May v.

Chamberlain, Emigh v.

Lyman Vent., &c. Co. v.

Chambers, Evans v.

Champlain Trans. Co., Sherman v. Chaskel, New York Rubber Co. v.

Chcever, Woodworth v.

Chicago, B. & Q. R.R., Emigh v.

Chicago Mfg. Co., Dane v. Chicago & N. W. R.R., Sayles v.

Christian, Am. Middlings Purifying
Co. v.

Christy, Howard v. Church, Fisk v.

Cincinnati, &c. Co., Stillwell, &c. Co. v.

City of Brooklyn, Allen v.

Bliss v. Guidet v.

City of Elizabeth, Am. Nicolson Pavement Co. v.

City of Louisville, Bigelow v.

Clapp, Forsyth v.

Wilson Packing Co. v.

Clark, Garretson v.

Gong Bell Mfg. Co. v. Smith v.

Cleveland, &c. Co., Henderson v.

Cleveland Rolling Mills Co., Wood v. Clipper Mower, &c. Co., Wheeler v.

Close, Rich v. Cobb, Buck v.

Coe, Bates v.

Cole, Hoe v.

Warren v. Collins, Joliffe v.

Serrell v.

Taylor v.

Combstock, Phillips v. Darling, Kelleher v. Comey, Fitz v. Davis, Goodyear D. V. Co. v. Commissioner of Patents, Hull v. Morse v. Marsh v. Schuessler v. Commissioners of Hamilton Co., Ja-Davis Sewing M. Co., Potter v. cobs v. Day, Doughtv v. Congress Rubber Co., Goodyear v. Goodyear v. Conover, Mers v. Hartshorn v. Coolidge, Birdsall v. Seligman v. Cook, Eames v. Suydam v. Forbush v. Davton, Jordan v. Geiger v. Dedrick, Adamson v. Gibson v. Deener, Cochrane v. Hawes v. De Groot, Langdon v. Woodworth v. Denig, Burdell v. Denmead, Winans v. Cooper, Isaacs v. Renwick v. Denney, Odiorne v. Shaw v. Dennis, Eddy v. Cope, Judson v. Denslow, Andrews v. Copeland, Clark Patent, &c. Co. v. Derringer, Pettibone v. Corbin, Hopkins, &c. Mfg. Co. v. Devel, Tyler v. Parker v. Devoe Mfg. Co., Meissner v. Cornell, Gay v. Dewey, Alden v. Schumacher v. Dexter, Earl v. Corning, Burden v. De Young, Keplinger v. Dialogue, Pennock v. Perry v. Troy Iron & Nail Factory v. Dickerson, Page v. Cortlan, Larabee v. Dickey, Roberts v. Dickinson, Fuz. Wadding, &c. Co. v. Corv, Liddle v. Cowing, Gould's Mfg. Co. v Dinsmore, Woodward v. Cowperthwait, Burr v. Dixon, Potter v. Craig, Fisher v. Dobson, Jordan v. Crane, Union P. Bag Mac. Co. v. Dodd, Cowan v. Dodge, Eunson v. Cross, Dennis v. Crowell, Potter v. Marsh v. Cummings, Gillespie v. Russell v. Smith v. Saxton v. Cunningham, McCully v. Dodge & S. Mfg. Co., Kirby v. Watson v. Marsh v. Dohrman, Conover v. Curtis, Holden v. Woodworth v. Dolan, Aiken r. Cutter, Ashcroft v. Dorr, Boyce v. Doughty, United States v. Read v. Whittemore v. Douglass, Magic Ruffle Co. v.

D.

Dane, Irwin v.
Danforth, Dyson v.
Daniels, Bell v.

Downing, Smith v. Dows, Bowker v. Drake, Merriam v. Draper, Hudson v.

Prouty v.

Dover Stamping Co., Munroe v. Downer & B. Brewing Co., Cornell v.

Draper, Weed v.
Dubois, Railroad Co. v.
Du Brul, Miller, &c. Mfg. Co. v.
Duchesne, Brown v.
Duff, Spaulding v.
Dunbar, Estabrook v.
Goodyear v.
Myers v.

Duryee, Burr v.

Eager, Hockholzer v. Eagle Screw Co., Pierson v.

Eames, Godfrey v.

W. M. Co. v.

Ennis, Rogers r.

E.

Eagle Works Mfg. Co., Blake v.

Earle, Metropolitan W. M. Co. v.

Eastham, Murphy v. East Hampton Bell Co., Bevin v. East Hampton Rubber Thread Co., Elastic Fabric Co. v. East Tennessee, &c. R. R., Vaughan v. Eaton, Cansler v. Evans v. Winans v. Eddy, Byam v. Dennis v. Ruggles v. Edmonds, Pitts v. Edwards, Adams v. Stone r. Woodworth v. Ege, Ogle v. Eldridge, Blanchard v. Elizabeth, Am. Nic. Pav. Co. v. Elkinton, Stainthorp v. Elliott, Smith v. Elm City Co., Magie Ruffle Co. v. Elmira Nobles Mfg. Co., De Witt v. Elsas, Bantz v. Elv, Smith v. Emerson, Hogg v. Emery, Nusbaum v. Emmett, Whitney v. Emmons, Kendrick v. Empire S. M. Co., Potter v. Empire Trans. Co., Williams v. Empire Windmill Co., Continental Erie R. R., Loco. Eng. Safety Tr. Co. v.

Ernest, Cook v.
McComb n.

Esterbrook Co., Morse Fountain Pen Co. v.

Estey, Burdett v.

Evans, Goodyear v.
Sickels v.

Everett, Barry v.

F.

Faber, Reckendorfer v. Falke, Poppenhusen v. Falls Co., Sickels v. Farr, Byam v. Farrington, Peck v. Fassman, Johnson v. Fay & Co., Smith v. Felt, Stevens v. Fenner, Earth Closet Co. v. Ferguson, Parker v. Ferry, Page v. Fibre Disintegrating Co., Am. Wood Paper Co. v. Field, Jones v. First Nat. Bank, Helm v. Fisher, Craig v. Whitely v. Fiske, Boston Mfg. Co. v. Brooks v. Moody v. Fitzgerald, Lake r. Flagg, Goodyear D. V. Co. v. Fletcher, Rouse v. Fogg, McDougall v. Follen, Dawson v. Folsom, Tarr v. Foote, Silsby v. Ford, Evarts v. Fowler, Bennet v. Cragin v. Frame, Myers v. Peek v. Francestown Soapstone Stove Co., Henry v. Frayer, Smith v. Frost, Foote v.

Kittle v.

Fuller, Potter v. Fulton's Sons & Co., Scaife v.

G.

Gaar, Moffitt v.
Gage, Hawes v.
Herring v.
Tompkins v.

Gammons, Graham v.
Gardiner, Goodyear D. V. Co. v.
Gardner & R. Air Brake Co., West-

inghouse v.
Garlick, Halsey v.
Garnhart, Rice v.
Garratt, Siebert v.
Garrett, Robertson v.

Garretson, Taylor v.
Gas Consumers' Assoc., Herring v.

Gatling, Newell v. Gaylor, Wilder v.

Gaylord Mfg. Co., Bliss v. Gibson, Barnard v.

Gifford, Gibson v.
Gilbert, Sisson v.

Gilbert & Barker Mfg. Co., Clough v. Gill, Atterbury v.

Buck v. Wells v.

Gilman, Wonson v. Gilpin, Bloomer v.

Glendale El. Fab. Co., Smith v. Glen Falls, &c. Co., Am. Wood P. Co. v.

Glick, Wright v.

Gloucester Mfg. Co., Sickels v.

Goddard, Stein v. Godfrey, Eames v. Goetinger, Geier v. Golay, Gilmore v.

Goode, Blakenay v. Goodrich, Fuller v.

Goodwin, Ryan v.

Goodyear, Atlantic Giant P. Co. v.
Bourne v.

McBarney v.
Prov. Rubber Co. v.

Goodyear D. V. Co., Celluloid Mfg.

Co. v. Goodyear D. V. Co., Gardner v.

Smith v. Sullings v.

Goodyear Rubber Co., Gutta-percha

Gould, H. W., Ex parte.

Gould, Rees v.

Washburn v. Gower, Ex parte.

Graham, Mason v.

Grand Street & N. R.R., Mowry v.

Graves, Whiting v. Gray, Davis v.

Great Western Q. M. Co., Knox v.

Great Western Q. M. Co., Knox Greeley, Ex parte. Green, Turner v. Greenwald, Jenkins v. Greenwood, Hotchkiss v. Greenwood Cemetery, Blake v. Gregory, Burr v.

Farrington v. Griffeth, Blandy v.

Collender v.

Decker v.

Griffin, Greaton v.
Griggs, Cox v.
Grosvenor, Gear v.

Grote, Decker v. Grover & Baker S. M. Co., Florence

S. M. Co. v. Gugenheim, Barry v.

Penn. Salt Co. v.

Guild, Brown v.
Sawin v.

Gunther, Schillinger v.

Η.

Hagaman, Wells v.

Hagerstown Agric. Mfg. Co., Bird-sell v.

Haight, Bliss v.

Thompson v.

Hall, Barrett v.

Brown v.
Dickinson v.

Pitts v. Sarven v.

Woodworth v.

Hallock, Parker v. Halsted, Stover v.

Hamilton, Ives v.

Hamilton Mfg. Co., Badische Anilin, &c. Co. v.

Hammond, King v. Saxe v. Hank's Case. Hanlenbeck, Armstrong v. Hansel, Groff v. Hapgood, Sayles v. Harbert, National Hay Rake Co. v. Harlow, Earl v. Harnden, Roberts v. Harris, Gibson v. Hartell, Tilghman v. Hartford Carpet Co., Lowell Mfg. Co. v. Hartshorn, Bray v. Day v. Harwood, Mahn v. Haskell, Mabie v. Hatch, Am. Nicolson Pav. Co. v. Hatfield, Domestie S. M. Co. v. Parker v. Haven, U. S. & F. Sal. F. Co. v. Hawk, Parker v. Hawkins, La Baw v. Olcott v. Hawley, Mitchell v. Haworth, Parker v. Hawxhurst, Walker v. Hayden, Suffolk Mfg. Co. v. Haynes, Blanchard v. Doherty v. Havs, Bellas v. Hayward, Chaffee v. Day v. Head, Stevens v. Heald, Rice v. Hecker, Rumford Ch. Works v. Heft, Am. Wood Paper Co. v. Helms, Sweetzer v. Henderickx, Cornely v. Henrici, Shoup v. Henry, Hovev v. Herbert, Foss v. Hermance, Buck v. Herron, Osborn v. Hettick, Evans v. Hickey, Putnam v.

Wright v.

Williams v.

Higgins, Badische Anilin, &c. Co. v.

Hicks, Bussey v.

Flood v.

Higgins, Smith v. Webster Loom Co. v. Hill, Robertson v. Hills, Goodyear v. Hinkley, Brown v. Hitchcock, Tremaine v. Hite, McKernan v. Hodge, Robinson v. Hodges, Jones v. Hoeller, Hoeltge v. Hogg, Am. Saddle Co. v. Emerson v. Holdredge, Manvel v. Holenshade, Schwarzel v. Holland, Potter v. Holley, Aultman v. Holliday, Rheem v. Hollings, Asheroft v. Holmes, Gear v. Wallace v. Wavne v. Hoover, Hunt v. Houghton, Hill v. Housinger, Goodyear v. Howard, Ames v. Cook v. Rubber Tip, &c. Co. v. Spring v. Howe, Gardiner v. Storrs v. Howland, Buchanan v. Hubbard, Black v. Hudson, Draper v. Hudson River R.R., Hodge v. Hull, Ayling v. Hullihen, Goodvear v. Hulme, Parker v. Hulshizer, Gray v. Humiston, Stainthorp v. Hunt, Bedford v. Hollida v. Kernodle v. Hunter, Allen v. Foy v. Young v. Huntingdon, Teese v. Huntington, Morris v. Huntly, Cross v. Hurd Paper Bag Co., Arkell v. Hurley, Rose v. Hutchinson, Whipple v.

Hyde, Carver v.

Tyler v.

Hyndman, Roots v.

I.

Ill. Cent. R.R., see Cawood Patent Turrill v.

Ill. Mfg. Co., Dane v.
Imhauser, Buerk v.
Imlay, Gregerson v.
Indiana & St. L. R.R., Dunham v.
Ireland, Goodyear D. V. Co. v.
Iron Mountain R.R., Hodge v.
Ives, Bruff v.

Bruff v.
Gold v.
Hamilton v.
Miller's Falls Co. v.

J.

Jacobs, Blanchard Gunstock Co. v.
Thompson v.

Jacques, Wells v.
Jagger, Many v.
James, Gray v.
Jameson, Pitts v.
Janes, Wilson v.
Jeffrey, McClure v.

Jenkins, Am. Nicolson Pav. Co. v.

Jennings, Dalton v. Jewett, Burrall v. Johnson, Jenkins v.

Nevins v.

Yuengling v.

Johnston Harvester Co., Ketchum, &c.
Co. v.

Joliet Mfg. Co., Adams v.

Jones, Adams v.

Brammer v. Bullock P. P. Co. v.

Hall v. Livingston v.

Morehead v. Sewall v.

Jordan, Agawam Co. v.
Evans v.

Judd, Middletown Tool Co. v.

Judson, Snow v.

K.

Kanowrs, Reutgen v. Kear, Batten v. Keith, United Nickel Co. v. Kelly, Lippincott v. Price v. Kelsev, Hicks v. Kennedy, Cole v. Kennedy Mfg. Co., Clark v. Kentucky, Patterson v. Kenyon, Elkins v. Kershaw, North v. Keystone Bridge Co., Reeves v. Kimball, Lightner v. King, Werner v. Kingsbury, Hamilton v. Kingsland, McClurg v. Kinsman, Parkhurst v. Kirby, Whitely v. Kissling, Murphy v.

Kitson, Whitehead v. Kleinknecht, Wicke v.

Komp, Wilcox v.

Kremer, Evans v.

E.

Ladd, Swain Turbine Co. v.
Lalor, Lyman Vent., &c. Co. v.
Lambie, Munde v.
Lane, American Mfg. Co. v.
Lapouraille, Crosby v.
Larned, Sargent v.
Latchem, Mullikin v.
Lathrop, Russell v.
Lauer, Rumford Ch. Works v.
Lawrence Felting Co., U. S. & F. Sal.
F. Co. v.

F. Co. v.
Lehigh Zinc Co., Burrows v.
Leland, Union Paper Collar Co. v.
Leonard, Williams v.
Leroy, Tatham v.

Leutzinger, Vetter v.
Lewis, Lowell v.
Merchant v.

Lincoln, Bailey Washing, &c. Co. v. Lindsay, Foster v.

Lippincott, Rich v. Lippman, Egbert v. Lippman, Young v. Littell, Kerosene Lamp Heater Co. v. Little, Park v. Littlefield, Orr v. Littlefohn, Cornell v.

Lobdell, Burleigh R. D. Co. v. Lockwood, Lockwood v.

Morey v. Richardson v.

Logan, Sanders v.
Lombard, Am. Whip Co. v.
Loring, Tatham v.
Louisville, Bigelow v.
Louisville Cement Co., King v.
Lounsbury, Union Mfg. Co. v.
Lovejoy, Shaw & Wilcox Co. v.
Lowel, Tatham v.
Lowere, Knox v.
Lunsford, Goodyear v.
Luther, Heinrich v.

M.

Mack, Potter v.

Mad River R.R., Simpson v.

Magnetic Tel. Co., Western Union Tel. Co. v.

Magnin, Jurgenson v.

Mallory, Russell & E. Mfg. Co. v.

Manchester Print Works, Aiken v.

Manhattan Elevator, &c. Co., Sykes v.

Manufacturing Co., Blank v.

Manufacturing Co., Russell & E. Mfg. Co. v.

Many, McCormick v.

Sizer v. Woolcocks v.

Marden, Dunbar v.

Marlow, Wilson v.

Marsh, Dorsey R. H. R. Co. v.

Moore v.

Seymour v. Marshall, Smith v.

Mason, Baker v.

Dean v.

Graham v. Rowley v.

Mass. Arms Co., Colt v.

Mast, Ingels v.

Matthews, Bigelow v.
Goodvear v.

Holbrook v.

Mattheys, Cooper v.
Matthieson, Union Sugar Ref. v.

Mandelbaum, King v. Mayhew, Dudley v.

Mayor of New York, Ransom v.

M'Alpin, Beyd v. McBarney, Goodyear v.

McCabe, Johnson v.

McClelland, Smith v.

McCone, Coolidge v.

McCormick, Davis v.

Hussey v.
Seymour v.
Wheeler v.
Wilder v.

McCulloch, Bell v.

Johnson v.

Pickering v. McDonald, Birdsell v.

McDowell, Lorillard, &c. v.

McKay, Ely v.

McLanahan, Nellis v. McMillan, Wright v.

McMurray, Jones v.

McNeal, Howes v.

McQuewan, Bloomer v. Mellor, Francis v.

Mendelsohn, Thompson v.

Mercer, Smith v. Meredith, McDowell v.

Merriam, Kittle v.

Merrill, Rufus S. Merrill, Jones v.

Orr v.

Merrimack Felting Co., U. S. & F. Sal. F. Co. v.

Merrimack Hat Co., Sanford v.

Mers, Conover v.

Messer, Sanford v.

Messinger, Carter v.

Messmore, Trader v.

Metro. R.R., Rubber Step Co. v. Mich. Southern, &c. R.R., Turrill v.

Wood v.

Michigan Stove Co., Detroit Stove Works v.

Middlesex Co., Whipple v.

Millinger, Bloomer v.

Miller, Read v.

Sangster v.

Waterbury Brass Co. v.

Mill River, &c. Co., Harwood v.

Mitchell, Cowan v.

Hawley v. Sickels v.

Tilghman v.

Mixter, Gorham v.

Monson, &c. Co., Ely v.

Montague v. Boston & F. Iron Works v.

Moon, Piper v.

Moore, Foster v.

Judson v.

Plastic Slate Roofing Co. v.

Morehead, Evans v.

Jones v.

Morehouse, Brooks v.

Morey, Case v.

Morgan, Barnes v.

Davy v. Oliver v.

Morris, United States v.

Morrison, West v.

Woodward v.

Morse, Bain v.

Morse Twist Drill Co. v.

Oreilly v.
Tilghman v.

Morton, Howe v.

Moulton, Bachelder v.

Mowbray, Atlantic Giant Powd. Co. v.

Mowry, Whitney v.

Moyer, Dixon v.

Mullee, Goodyear v. Muller, Potter v.

Munson, Black v.

Tillotson v.

Murphy, Union Paper Bag Mac. Co. v.

Murry, Ball v.

Murtha, Knox v. Musgrove, Ingersoll v.

Myers, Cutting v.

Dunbar v.

Pennsylvania Salt Co. v.

N.

Nash, Weston v. National Bank Note Co.. Tappan v. National Rubber Co., Cohn v.

National Shoe Tip Pr. Co., Am. Shoe

Tip, &c. Co. v. Negus, Bliss v.

Nelson, Dalton v. Herring v.

Newark India-rubber Co., Day v.

New Brunswick Carpet Co., Webster v.

Newell, Gatling v.

Nichols v.

Union Paper B. M. Co. v.

New England Car Spring Co., Day v. Newhall, Pacific Iron Works v.

New Jersey Centr. R.R., Goodyear v.

New Jersey Zinc Co., Wetherill v. Newton, Cammeyer v.

Howe v.

New York, Ransom v.

New York Belting Co., Decker v. New York & B. Brass Co., Waterbury

Brass Co. v.

year v.

New York & Erie R.R., Winans v.

New York Eye Infirmary, Morton v. New York Gutta-Percha Co., Good-

New York Gutta-Percha Co., Poppenhusen v.

New York & Harlem R.R , Hodge v. Winans v.

Nichols, Smith v.

Nicolson Pavement Co., City of Eliza-

v

Nicolson Pavement Co., Jenkins v.

Nixon, Union Paper Bag Mac. Co. v. Noble, Strong v.

Nock Philp v.

Norcross, Brooks v.

Norfolk, Peabody v.

Norwich & W. R.R., Imlay v.

North, Yale, &c. Co. v.

North Missouri R.R., Hodge v.

Nourse, Gale v.

Noyes, Jones v.

Richardson v.

Nute, Howes v.

0.

Oakville Co., Am. Pin Co. v. Ocean Mills, Pearl v.

O'Connor, Smith v.
Odiorne, Troy I. & N. Faet. v.
Ogden, Coffin v.
Haselden v.
Oliver, Hotehkiss v.
Ophir Silver, &c. Co., Brodie v.
Oreilly, Morse v.
Organ Co., Hammond v.
Orr, Beane v.
Rathbone v.
Orvis, Hall v.
Ostorne, Seymour v.
Osgood, Goodvear D. V. Co. v.

P.

Jones v.

Ostrander, Jones v.

Packard, Spring v.
Page, Earl v.
Phillips v.
Spaulding v.
Paine, Vannini v.
Palmer, Davis v.
Guidet v.
Lester v.
Sone v.
Park, Buttrick v.
David v.
Parker, Woodcock v.
Parker, Woodcock v.
Parker, W. Mfg. Co., Hopkins, &c.

Parkhurst, Kinsman v.
Parks, Booth v.
Parrott, Treadwell v.
Passaie Zinc Co., Wetherill v.
Patton, Sloat v.

Smith v. Patrick, Clough v.

Mfg. Co. v.

Pearce, Eickemeyer Co. v.
Mulford v.
Nichols v.
Suith v.

Peck, First National Bank v.
Knowles v.
State v.

Zane v.

Peck, Stow, & W. Co., Grosjean v. Peddie, Eunson v.

Peebles, Collins v.

Pendleton, Van Hook v.

Pennell, Elmer v.

Penniman, Western Union Tel. Co. v. Pennock, J. L.

Pennock, J. L.

Penn. R.R., Loc. Eng. Safety Tr. Co. v.

Perego, Birdsell v.

Perry, Goodyear D. V. Co. v. Littlefield v.

Peterson, Wonson v.

Petry, Frink v.

Phelps, Goodyear v.

India-rubber Comb Co. v.

Teese v.

Philadelphia Fire Ex. Co., Northwest Fire Ex. Co. v. Philadelphia & Wilmington R.R., Du-

bois v.

Phillips, Pickering v.

Phœuix Iron Co., Keystone Bridge Co. v.

Pierpont, Stevens v.

Piper, Brown v. Place, Russell v.

Platts, Ex parte.

Pond, Renwick v. Stimpson v.

Porter, Vaughan v.

Potomska Mills, Draper v.

Potter, Pike v. Pratt, Ball v.

Preterre, Goodyear D. V. Co. v.

Prime, Brandon Mfg. Co. v. Prior, Smith v.

Pritchard, Meyer v.

Stevens v.

Providence Rubber Co., Goodyear v.

Providence Tool Co., Henry v.

Metropolitan W. M. Co. v.

Providence & W. R.R., Pike v.

Pultz & Walkley Co., Union Paper Bag Co. v.

Putnam, Blanchard v.

Harlow v.

Putney, Buss v.

Q.

Quinlan, Fry v. Quintard, Ileaton v.

Quintard, Thomas v. Webb v.

R.,

Rahmer, Mallory v. Railroad Co., Dubois v. Vaughan v.

Railroads, Stimpson v. Wilton v.

Ransom, City of New York v.

Rapp, Conover v. Rawson, Blake v.

Raymond, Grant v.

Nye v.

Raynolds, De Florez v.

Redfield, Case v. Sullivan v.

Redington, Wintermute v.

Reed, Reed v.

Van Ostrand v.

Reed Torpedo Co., Roberts v.

Rees, Gould v.

Reeves, Blanchard v. Detmold v.

Remhof. Parker v.

Remington, Berdan Fire Arms Mfg.

Remington, Hawks v. Rheem, Holliday v.

Rice, Carr v.

Rich, Dyer v.

Richards, Edwards v.

Richardson, Foss v. Wing v.

Richey, Eppinger v.

Ricketts, Rich v. Rifle Co., Smith v.

Rikeman, Finch v.

Ring, Cahoon v.

Roach, Conover v.

Hathaway v. Robertson, Blake v.

Ellithorp v.

Robinson, Ex parte.

Robinson, Evans v. Roemer, Crouch v.

Rogers, French v.

Stimpson v.

Woodworth v.

Rollstone Machine Works, Whiting v. Rome, Watertown, &c. R.R., Williams v.

Root, Goodyear D. V. Co. v.

Johnson v. Roots, Hyndman v.

Roraback, Lindsav v.

Roter, Roberts v. Rousseau, Wilson v.

Rowley, Houghton v. Mason v.

Rover, Morris v.

Rubber Coated Harness Tr. Co., Well-

Rubber Step, &c. Co., Brown v. Rubber Thread Co., Elastic Fab. Co. v.

Rubber Tip, &c. Co., Hovey v.

Ruggles, Prouty v.

Rumford Ch. Works, Att'y-Gen. v.

Rumsey, Burrall v. Cowing v.

Russell, Klein v.

Rust, Goodyear v. Ryer, Roberts v.

S.

Sabin, Chase v.

St. Louis Wire Goods Co., Adams &

Westlake Mfg. Co. v. Sanderson, U. S. Annunc. Co. v.

Sandford, Wilson v.

Sandusky Seat Co., Comstock v.

Sargeant & Co., Hart, &c. Co. v.

Sargent. Rogers v.

Sargent & Co., Stanley Works v.

Sawyer, Bartholomew v.

Earl v.

Sayles, Chicago & N. W. R.R. v. Hendrie v.

Marsh v.

Schemerhorn, Goodyear D. V. Co. v.

Schenck, Potter v.

Schenectady & Troy R.R., Winans v.

Schmidt, Poillon v.

Schotield, Densmore v. Schoonmaker, Wing v.

Schultz, Baldwin v.

Schuvler, Roberts v.

Schuylkill Bank, Kneass v.

Scott, Clark v.

Delano v.

Reedy v.

Seagrave, Sargent v.

Sears, Parker v.

Secombe Mfg. Co., Robertson v.

Seibert, Garratt v.

Selby, Brown v.

Selden, Smith v.

Semple, Vogler v. Serrell, Guyon v.

Ritter v.

Sewall, Jones v.

Seymour, Marsh v.

McCormick v.

Shannon, Brown v.

Shantz, Stuart v.

Shawk, Latta v.

Shelburne, Morris v.

Sheldon, Steam Cutter Co. v.

Sheriffs, Scaife v.

Sherman, Doubleday v.

Wilson v.

Woodworth v. Shoe Machinery Mfg. Co., Haskell v.

Shorey, Hartshorn v.

Short, Webster Loom Co. v.

Sibley, Baldwin v.

Sibly, Dibble v.

Siekles, Packet Co. v.

Sidenberg, Wooster v.

Silliman, Batten v. Silsbee, Mellus v.

Silsby, Foote v.

Silver, Street v.

Silverbrandt, Decker v.

Silver Wire, &c. Co., West v.

Simm, Emerson v.

Simon, Roemer v.

Simons, American Cotton Tie Co. v.

Hamilton v.

Simpson, Hoe v. Wheeler v.

Wheeler v. Wilson v.

Singer, Vose v.

Singe. S. M. Co., Florence S. M. Co. v.

Sizer, Many v.

Skates, Matthews v.

Sladdin, Emmons v. Slemmer's Appeal.

Sloat, Grover & B. S. M. Co. v.

Small, Holbrook v.

Smallwood, Bean v.

Smith, Chambers v.

Clark v.

Craig v.

Ferree v.

Goodyear D. V. Co. v.

Hardesty v. Lane v.

Smithpeter, Wright v.

Spaeth, Turrell v. Spafford, Wilkins v.

Spaulding, Perrigo v.

Tucker v.

Spear, Andrews v.

Speer, Crouch v.

Hall v.

Spence, Kidd v.

Sperry, Blake v.

Sprague, Allen v.
Blanchard v.

Blanenare

Stone v.

Squire, John L., Ex parte.

Staats, Thompson v. Stafford, Blake v.

Conklin v.

Goff v.

Stearns, Wilder v.

Stellman, Day v.

Stevens, Head v. Hovev v.

Potter v.

Wicks v.

Stiefel, Hoffman v.

Stiles, Parker v.

Stimpson, Hale v.

Phila. & Tr. R.R. v.

Woodman v.

Stocking, Bierce v.

Stockstill, Serviss v.

Stolly, Bloomer v.

Brooks v.
Wilson v.

Stone, Black v.

Woodworth v.

Wyeth v.

Stowe, Barker v. Straus, Barnes v.

Strong, Higgins v.

Suffolk Mfg. Co., Hayden v.

Sullivan Co., Am. Dia. R. B. Co. v.

Sulsor, Hays v. Swayne, Whitely v. Sweet, Scott v. Swett, Hawks v. Marston v. Swift, Myers v.

T.

Taber, Moody v. Taggert, Batten v. Talcott, McCormick v. Tapley, Snow v. Tatham, Leroy v. Taylor, Snow v. Wooster v. Thacher, United States v. Thayer, Barclay v. Potter v. Thomas, Moore v. Penn. Salt Co. v. Thompson, England v. Waterman v. Thorne, Black v. Thuermer, Hill v. Tiemann, Masury v. Tileston, Sickels v. Tilghman, Mitchell v. Tirrell, Gilbert & B. Mfg. Co. v. Toby, Goodyear v. Todd, Bicknell v. Tool Co., Metro. W. M. Co. v. Torrey, Tracy v. Towle, Cleveland v. Townsend, Atlantic Giant P. Co. v. Tracy, Smith v. Tremaine, Hitchcock v. Trimble, Railroad Co. v. Tripp, Hartshorn v. Tucker, Spaulding v. Tucker Mfg. Co., Tucker v. Tuel, Tyler v. Tufts, Blaisdell v. Turner, Belding v. Ingersoll v.

Turrill, Chicago & Alton R.R. v. Chicago, B. & Q. R.R. v. III. Cent. R.R. v. Mich. S. & N. Ind. R.R. v. Pittsburgh, Ft. W. &c. R.R. v. | Wait, Goodyear v.

Wilson v.

Twogood, Hawes v. Twomey, Hiatt v. Tyng, McMahon v.

Underhill, Wood v.

U.

Underwood, Howe v. Union Car Spring Co., Nat. Spring Union City, Holly v. Union Co., Singer Co. v. Union India Rubber Co., Day v. Goodyear v. Union Iron Works, Wood v. Union Paper Collar Co., Merserole v.

United Power Press Co., Boomer v. United States, Hubbell v. Pitcher v.

United States Corset Co., Carstaedt v. Cohn v. United States & F. Sal. F. Co., As-

bestos Felting Co. v. United States Met. Cart. Co., Union Met. Cart. Co. v. United States Disintegrating Ore Co., Gold & Silver Ore, &c. Co. v. United States Patent Button, &c. Co., Platt v. Upton, Milligan & H. Glue Co. v.

V.

Valentine, Buerk v. Van Antwerp, Goodyear D. V. Co. v. Van Deusen, Union P. Collar Co. v. Van Dresar, Gibson v. Van Hagen, Sturges v. Van Ingen, Livingston v. Van Kirk, Jones v. Van Nest, Merriam v. Searls v. Van Wormer, Hailes v.

W.

Vice, Rumford Ch. Works v.

Wager, Bussey v.

Wales, Thaver v. Walker, Chase v.

Jenkins v.

New York Wire Rail Co. v.

Wallace, Jordan v.

Waterman v.

Walmesley, Singer v.

Walworth, Asheroft v.

Walworth Mfg. Co., Gilbert & B. Mfg. Co. v.

Ward, Roberts v.

Wardwell, Sands v.

Warner, Blanchard Gunstock, &c. v.

Warren, Wing v.

Washburne, Hawes v. Washburn, Needham.v.

Water Commissioners, Farrington v.

Waters. Pelton v.

Webb, Tarr v.

Weed, Woodworth v.

Weeks, Thomas v.

Weir Plow Co., Turnbull v.

Weiss, Evans v.

Welling, Rubber Coated Har. Tr. Co. v.

Wells, Black v.

Gill v. Wood v.

Wemple, Pitts v.

Wentworth, Chipman v. Fales v.

Werk, Tilghman v.

Werner, King v. Kursheedt v.

Wesson, Chase v.

West, Doughty v.

West, &c. Co., Doughty v.

West, Newell v.

West Chester R.R., Stimpson v. Western Union Tel. Co., Colgate v.

Wetherbee, Goodyear D. V. Co. v. Putnam v.

Wethered, Bishchoff v.

Wheeler & W. Mfg. Co., Corliss v.

Whipple, Stanley v.

Whisen, Swift v. Whitcomb, Hill v.

White, Crittenden v.

Mallory v. Tryon v.

. Union Paper Collar Co. v. Weston v.

Whitely, Commissioner of Patents v.

Hussev v. Whitman, Pitts v.

Whitney, Blanchard v.

Consol. F. J. Co. v.

Mowry v. Potter v.

Whitney Arms Co., U. S. Rifle Co. v.

Whittemore, Brown v.

Wiester, Jeffries v.

Wilcox, Woodbury v. Wilder, Gaylor v.

Wiles, Hall v.

Willard Improved Barrel Co., Green v. Williams, Grover & B. S. M. Co. v.

Howe v.

Wood v. Willimantic Linen Co., Johnson v.

Willis, Goodyear D. V. Co. v.

Wilson, Pierce v.

Potter v. Simpson v.

Woodworth v. Wright v.

Winans, York & Maryland R.R. v.

Wingerter, Goodyear v. Winkley, Odiorne v.

Winslow, Troy Iron & Nail Factory v.

Winsor, Kendall v. Winter, Wayne v.

Withington, Ball v.

Wolfinger, Ross v.

Wood, Ex parte. Ormsbee v.

Taylor v. Wooden, Peterson v.

Woodman, Stimpson v. Wood Paper Patent.

Woodruff, Sargent Mfg. Co. v.

Smith v.

Woodworth, Gibson v.

Livingston v.

Wooldredge, Howe v. Wooster, Elm City Co. v.

McKay v.

Woven Tape Skirt Co., In re.

Wright, Andrews v.

Brown v.

Consolidated F. J. Co. r.

Wright, Dayton v. Wyckoff, Clan Ranald v.

Y.

Yates, Wells v. Yentzer, Fuller v. Yeomans, Merrill v.
Yerrington, Putnam v.
Young, Colt v.
Hess v.
House v.
Metropolitan W. M. Co. v.
Youngs, Sickels v.



SA SA

LAW HITTERY UNIVERSITY OF CHIFORNIA LOS ANGELES



